



JUN 03 2011

AIR PROTECTION BRANCH

June 3, 2011

113-87509 Via Electronic Delivery

Susan Jenkins Stationary Source Permitting Program Georgia Environmental Protection Division 4244 International Parkway Suite 120 Atlanta, GA 30354

RE.

PSD PERMIT APPLICATION NO. 19810
MACKINAW POWER, LLC – EFFINGHAM COUNTY POWER PLANT
EMISSIONS ESTIMATES DURING OIL-FIRING STARTUP SCENARIO

Dear Ms. Jenkins:

In response to the Georgia Environmental Protection Division's (GEPD's) request for information on nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions estimates during the oil-firing startup scenario for the proposed Effingham Power Plant combustion turbines (CTs), Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) has performed a detailed calculation with data obtained from Mackinaw Power, LLC (see attached Table 2-3A). The calculation demonstrates that with selective catalytic reduction (SCR) operating and ammonia flow at typical level, NO_x emissions during a cold startup scenario firing fuel oil are estimated to be lower than the NO_x emissions estimated during the same scenario firing natural gas (see Table 2-3 of PSD application). The air quality modeling analysis presented with the application included the natural gas-firing startup scenario. Since the oil-firing startup emissions are estimated to be lower, the predicted impacts are also expected to be lower. As a result, a modeling analysis for the oil-firing scenario should not be required.

As currently planned, the proposed CTs will always be started with natural gas before switching to fuel oil, which can occur during the second hour of cold startup. The 5-hour cold startup scenario assumes natural gas-firing in the first hour and then fuel oil-firing for hours 2 to 5. The following assumptions and steps were used in the calculation:

- The SCR system will start to operate at about 10 minutes into the 2nd hour [ammonia (NH₃) flow will begin].
- NH₃ slip is assumed to be 10 parts per million on a dry volume basis at 15-percent oxygen (ppmvd @ 15% O₂).
- NH₃ emissions in pounds per hour (lb/hr) are calculated based on the NH₃ slip, fuel heat input, and fuel F factor.
- Pre-SCR NO_x emissions for the first hour firing natural gas are obtained from the existing CT startup data based on natural gas-firing.
- Pre-SCR NO_x emissions for fuel oil-firing for hours 2, 3, and 4 are obtained from an estimated pre-SCR NO_x concentration of 180 ppmvd @ 15% O₂. This value is a conservative (high) estimate from available GE information available on-line and prior experience with permitting GE 7FA CTs. Water injection will be started in the 5th hour of the cold startup scenario and the pre-SCR uncontrolled NO_x for the 5th hour is assumed to be 42 ppmvd @ 15% O₂. Mass emissions are calculated using the concentration data, fuel heat input, and fuel F factor.



Assuming almost all of the pre-SCR NO_x emissions are in the form of nitric oxide (NO), the following stoichiometric NO_x reduction equation was used to estimate the mass ratio of NO/NH₃:

$$4NO + 4NH_3 + O_2 \rightarrow 4N_2 + 6H_2O$$

- Finally, a typical NH₃ flow of 150 lb/hr within the normal operating range was assumed for hours 2, 3, and 4 to estimate the NO_x emissions from the stack. NH₃ flow for the 5th hour was assumed to be 50 lb/hr, which is the normal flow for the existing SCRs at full load.
 - NH₃ consumed in the SCR = NH₃ flow into SCR NH₃ slip
 - NO reduced = NH₃ consumed in SCR x NO_x reduction ratio (NO/NH₃)
 - Stack NO_x emission = Pre-SCR NO_x NO reduced

As shown in the attached calculation, maximum stack NO_x emissions were estimated to be 135.4 lb/hr.

Senior Project Engineer

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (352) 336-5600.

Sincerely,

GOLDER ASSOCIATES INC.

Robert C. Mclan Jr. Robert C. McCann, Jr.

Principal and Air Group Leader

cc: Matthew Lydon, Mackinaw Power

Enclosures

SKM/tz



TABLE 2-3a STACK, OPERATING, AND EMISSIONS DATA FOR THE CT - SWITCHING TO FUEL OIL DURING STARTUP

		Heat	Power			SC SS	(B)		_	(E) Reduction Ratio	(F) = (D) x (E)	(G) = (B) - (F) Stack (post-SCR)
	Fire	Input * (MMBtu)	Output *	Load *	SCR (On/Off)	NH ₃ Flow ° (Ib/hr)	NO _x by (lb/hr)	Emissions (lb/hr)	Consumed (lb/hr)		Reduced (Ib/hr)	NOx (lb/hr)
1	92	529,8	13.1	8.0%	8	0.0	129.7			2.71	0.0	129.7
	NG→Fùel Oil	576,3	17.1	10.4%	5	150.0	403.1	8.3	141.7	2.71	383,5	9,61
	Fuel Oil	584.1	17,5	10.7%	ŏ	150.0	408,5	8,4	141.6	2.71	383.2	25,3
	Fuel Oil	718.3	31.7	19,4%	ō	150.0	502.4	10.3	139.7	2.71	378.0	124.4
	Fuel	1,339.5	112.2	68,5%	δ	20.0	218.6	19.2	30.8	2.71	83.2	135.4

Table 2-3b

	Stack Exit Velocity	(£Us)	32.6	46.3	42.9	39.1	48.6
	Stack Exit Flow	acfm	554.519.7	787,355.4	729,190.6	665,669.9	827,081.1
neters	Stack Exhaust Temp *	٤	104,9	256.3	274.3	262.6	229.8
Stack Parameters	Stack Exit Flow *	(lb/h)	2,258,722,7	2,529,118.0	2,284,861.1	2,119,605,5	2,758,978.7
	Heat Input ª	(MMBtu)	529,8	576.3	584.1	718,3	1,339.5
	Fuel		ő	NG→Fuel Oil	Fuel Oil	Fuel Oil	Fuel Oil
	Hoer		1.0	2.0	3.0	4,0	5.0

based on assumed uncontrolled NOx concentration of 180 ppmvd @ 15% O2 for oil firing. NOTES

Average value for the hour, see Table 2-3 of PSD application.

Pre-SCR NO_X for hour 1 is based on natural gas firing. Pre-SCR NOX for Hours 2-5 are This value was is a conservative high estimate of uncontrolled NOx emissions during low-load operation based on GE information available on-line and prior experience with permitting GE 7FA CTs.

7 Typical NH₃ flow assumed

Table 2-3c Ammonia Slip Calculation

		Heat			-			NH ₃ Emission	NH, Emission
Hour	Fuel	Input	NH ₃ Slip	Stack O ₂ (Dry)	NH ₃ Concentration	ntration	Fuel F Factor	Factor	Rafe
		(MMBtu)	(ppmvd @15% O2)	(%)	(ppmvd actual)	(Cd, lb/dscf)	(F _d , dscf/MMBtu)	(Ib/MMBtu)	(lb/hr)
0,	92	529.8	0.0	18.4	0.0	0.00E+00	8,710.0	0.0000	00:0
2.0	NG→Fuel Oil	576.3	10.0	17.7	5,4	2,38E-07	9,190.0	0.0144	8.28
3.0	Fuel Oil	584.1	10.0	17.2	6,2	2.76E-07	9,190.0	0.0144	8,39
4.0	Fuel Oil	718.3	10.0	16.3	7.8	3.42E-07	9,190.0	0.0144	10.31
5.0	FuelOil	1,339.5	10.0	14.9	10,2	4,50E-07	9,190,0	0.0144	19.24

Table 2-3d Pre-SCR NOX Emissions Calouhtion 5--

		Heat						NO _x Emission	NO _x Emission
Hour	Fuel	Input	Pre-SCR NO _x	Stack O ₂ (Dry)	NO _x Concentration	ntration	Fuel F Factor	Factor	Rate
		(MMBtu)	(ppmvd @15% O2)	(%)	(ppmvd actual)	ai) (Cd, lb/dscf)	(F _d , dscf/MMBtu)	(Ib/MMBtu)	(Ib/hr)
1.0	g	529.8	ı	1	ı	1	ı	ı	ı
2,0	NG→Fuel Oil	576.3	180.0	17.7	97.2	1,16E-05	9,190.0	0.6994	403.05
3.0	Fuel Oil	584.1	180.0	17.2	112.5	1.34E-05	9,180.0	0.6994	408.51
4,0	Fuel Oil	718,3	180.0	16.3	139.6	1,67E-05	9,190.0	0.6994	502,36
5.0	Fuel Oil	1,339,5	45.0	14.9	42.9	5.12E-06	9,190,0	0.1632	218.61

Note: Pre-SCR Nox emissions at hour 5 is based on uncontrolled NOx emissions for 50% load oil fring scenario (see Table A-10)

Table 2-3e

2.71
2.71
0.059
0,059
0,1

Source: Mackinaw Power, 2011; Golder, 2011.

