

# BART Exemption Modeling Report:

## Georgia Power Company Plant McIntosh

Prepared by:

Southern Company Services and ENSR Corporation  
for Georgia Power Company

September 2006

# Contents

<b>1.0 Introduction.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Objectives .....	1-1
1.2 Location of source vs. relevant Class I Areas .....	1-1
1.3 Organization of protocol document.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
<b>2.0 Source description and emissions data .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Unit-specific source data.....	2-1
<b>3.0 Modeling results.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>Appendix A Delta-Deciview Values for The Top 20 Days – For Each Year/Each Class I Area and for the Top 25 Days – Over Three Years .....</b>	<b>A-1</b>

## List of Tables

Table 2-1	Plant McIntosh modeling emission parameters .....	2-3
Table 3-1	Summary of Results – Plant McIntosh Refined BART Exemption Modeling .....	3-1

## List of Figures

Figure 1-1	Location of PSD Class I Areas in relation to Plant McIntosh .....	1-2
------------	---	-----

# 1.0 Introduction

## 1.1 Objectives

The Regional Haze Rule requires Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) for any BART-eligible source that “emits any air pollutant which may reasonably be anticipated to cause or contribute to any impairment of visibility” in any mandatory Class I federal area. Pursuant to federal regulations, states have the option of exempting a BART-eligible source from the BART requirements based on dispersion modeling demonstrating that the source cannot reasonably be anticipated to cause or contribute to visibility impairment in a Class I area. In addition, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has promulgated a rule allowing states subject to the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) to determine that CAIR satisfies the BART requirements for SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> for electric generating units (EGUs). Feedback from the Georgia Environmental Protection Division indicates that CAIR satisfies BART for SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> for EGUs. Therefore, this modeling report focuses on performing the BART modeling analysis for particulate matter (PM) only.

Unit 1 at Plant McIntosh, located near Albany, which is owned and operated by Georgia Power Company\*, has been identified as a BART-eligible source. The modeling procedures outlined in the source-specific BART modeling protocol for Plant McIntosh were used to determine whether the source is subject to BART requirements (exemption modeling). The modeling procedures are consistent with those outlined in the updated final VISTAS common BART modeling protocol (dated December 22, 2005, revision 3 – July 18, 2006), available at [http://www.vistas-sesarm.org/BART/BARTModelingProtocol\\_rev3\\_18Jul2006.pdf](http://www.vistas-sesarm.org/BART/BARTModelingProtocol_rev3_18Jul2006.pdf). This source-specific BART modeling protocol references relevant portions of the common VISTAS modeling protocol.


## 1.2 Location of source vs. relevant Class I Areas

The Georgia Environmental Protection Division, which is in charge of the state’s BART program, has determined that Unit 1 at Plant McIntosh is BART-eligible for PM. Figure 1-1 shows a plot of Plant McIntosh relative to nearby Class I Areas. There are three Class I areas within 300 km of the plant: Cape Romain (152.6 km), Okefenokee (177.1 km), and Wolf Island (110.5 km). The BART exemption modeling was conducted for these Class I areas in accordance with the referenced VISTAS common BART modeling protocol and the procedures described in the source-specific BART modeling protocol.

---

\* The merger of Georgia Power and Savannah Electric has been completed. Therefore, this unit is now owned and operated by Georgia Power Company.

Figure 1-1 Location of Class I Areas in Relation to Plant McIntosh



## 2.0 Source description and emissions data

### 2.1 Unit-specific source data

The emissions data used to assess the visibility impacts at the Class I areas within 300 km of Plant McIntosh are discussed in this section. The Georgia Environmental Protection Division has indicated that CAIR will satisfy BART for EGUs for SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>. Therefore, this BART exemption modeling analysis focuses only on PM<sub>10</sub>. Since various components of PM<sub>10</sub> emissions have different visibility extinction efficiencies, the PM<sub>10</sub> emissions are divided, or “speciated,” into several components (VISTAS common protocol Sections 4.3.3 and 4.4.2). The VISTAS protocol (Section 5) allows for the use of source-specific emissions and speciation factors and/or default values from AP-42. The PM<sub>10</sub> emissions and speciation approach that were used for the modeling is indicated below. Where default speciation values are used, the data represents a unit where current (baseline) emission controls include electrostatic precipitators (ESPs), but no post-combustion NO<sub>x</sub> or SO<sub>2</sub> control equipment exists.

- Total PM<sub>10</sub> is comprised of filterable and condensable emissions.
- Baseline filterable PM<sub>10</sub> emissions are based on the highest stack test for the most recent 3-year period (2003-2005). This stack test is combined with the highest 24 hour heat input value for this period from CEMS data to calculate the “maximum 24 hour average emission rate” as required by the VISTAS protocol.
- Filterable PM<sub>10</sub> has been subdivided by size category consistent with the default approach from AP-42 Table 1-1.6, and as noted on pages 43 and 44 of the VISTAS common BART modeling protocol. The AP-42 Table 1-1.6 specifies for the emission controls indicated above that 55.6% of filterable PM<sub>10</sub> emissions is coarse (greater than 2.5 microns in size) and 44.4% is fine. Of the fine portion, 3.7% is elemental carbon and the remainder is inorganic fine particulates (soil).
- Condensable PM<sub>10</sub> consists of inorganic and organic compounds. The inorganic portion is by default assumed to be H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, although other non-sulfate inorganic condensables could be present. The organic portion is modeled as organic aerosols.
- Baseline H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> emissions are calculated consistent with the method used by Georgia Power to derive these emissions for TRI purposes. This approach assumes that the H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> emissions released from the stack are proportional to SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from combustion and are dependent on the fuel type and the removal of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> by downstream equipment (i.e., ESP and air heater). For eastern bituminous coal the baseline H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> release rate is in the range of 0.3 to 0.8% of the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Appendix A of the site-specific modeling protocol provides the basis for the site-specific values used.
- Baseline emissions of condensable organics (the remaining portion of condensable PM<sub>10</sub>) are derived based on the supporting field observational information in Appendix B of the site-specific modeling protocol and is estimated as 0.32% of SO<sub>2</sub> emitted.
- Coarse filterable particles (between 2.5 and 10 microns in size) will be modeled with a geometric mass mean diameter of 5 microns, while fine filterable and all condensable particles will be modeled with a geometric mass mean diameter of 0.48 microns, consistent with the CALPUFF default value for fine particles. The geometric standard deviation for both fine and coarse particles will be set to 2 microns, consistent with the CALPUFF default value. The 0.48 micron diameter value for fine particles comes from the default values in sample input files presented on the TRC web site. There is no default value presented for the coarse particles on the TRC web site. However, since 5 is the geometric mass mean diameter of 2.5 and 10 (the bounds of coarse particle sizes), it is a reasonable estimate for the geometric mass mean diameter for that class of particles.

In practice, CALPUFF allows for the user to input certain components of PM<sub>10</sub> as separate species and separate sizes, which will result in more accurate wet and dry deposition velocity results and also more

accurate effects on light scattering. As noted above, the particle size distribution information is provided in AP-42 Table 1-1.6, and will be used for the BART exemption modeling.

Table 2-1 provides a summary of the modeling emission parameters used in the BART CALPUFF modeling, consistent with the source emissions data presented in Appendices A and B of the site-specific modeling protocol for the baseline. All of the emissions in Table 2-1 were derived from CEMS data for the 2003 to 2005 period and represent the maximum 24-hour average lb/hr rates (excluding days where startup, shutdown, or malfunctions occurred). For NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> the values are directly from CEMS. Filterable PM<sub>10</sub> emissions were calculated using the highest stack test over the 2003 to 2005 period and multiplying these values times the maximum 24-hour average heat input derived from CEMS. These values were then adjusted using AP-42 factors from Table 1.1-6 that indicate that PM<sub>10</sub> is 67% of total PM for a pulverized coal unit with an ESP. PM<sub>10</sub> speciation was then performed as indicated above such that total Filterable PM<sub>10</sub> is made up of Coarse Soil plus total Fine PM and total Fine PM is made up of Fine Soil plus Elemental Carbon (EC).

**Table 2-1 Plant McIntosh modeling emission parameters**

Case	Source / Unit	Location UTM (Zone 17 NAD-83)		Actual Stack Ht	Base Elev.	Flue Dia-meter	Gas Exit Vel.	Stack Gas Exit Temp.	Emissions <sup>1</sup>			Particle Speciation <sup>2</sup>								
		UTM East	UTM North						SO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	Filt. PM <sub>10</sub>	Coarse Soil	Fine PM	Fine Soil	EC	Cond. PM <sub>10</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Organic	
		m	m	m	m	m	m/s	deg K	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr
<b>Baseline Data - Current Configuration (Unit Basis)</b>																				
Baseline	Unit 1	484,162	3,579,890	121.9	9.75	3.5	24.2	425.8	3904.00	1323.33	44.49	20.51	11.41	9.11	8.77	0.34	23.98	11.48	12.49	
<b>Baseline Data - Current Configuration (Stack Basis)</b>																				
				<b>Modeled Stk Ht<sup>3</sup></b>																
Baseline		m	m	m	m	m	m/s	deg K	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr	lbs/hr
Stack 1	Unit 1	484,162	3,579,890	105.16	9.75	3.5	24.2	425.8	3904.00	1323.33	44.49	20.51	11.41	9.11	8.77	0.34	23.98	11.48	12.49	
<b>Stack Basis Emissions Converted to g/sec</b>									<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>	<b>g/sec</b>
Stack 1	Unit 1	484,162	3,579,890	105.16	9.75	3.5	24.2	425.8	491.90	166.74	5.61	2.58	1.44	1.15	1.11	0.04	3.02	1.45	1.57	

<sup>1</sup> SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are not BART-applicable for EGU sources in CAIR states, if the state agency agrees with EPA's interpretation of the BART final rule. The emissions for SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> are provided for information purposes, and for reference in the computation of certain particle species such as H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

<sup>2</sup> Elemental carbon (EC) and Fine PM are a part of Filterable PM<sub>10</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and Organics are a part of Condensable PM<sub>10</sub>. Note that H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is input to CALPUFF as SO<sub>4</sub>. The molecular weights of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and SO<sub>4</sub> are 98 and 96 respectively, therefore the conversion factor from H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> to SO<sub>4</sub> is 96/98.

<sup>3</sup> Stack credit is equal to GEP. GEP of 345 ft (105.2 m) is less than actual height therefore GEP height is used for modeling.



### 3.0 Modeling results

The exemption modeling results are provided in Table 3-1, and Appendix A lists delta-deciview results for the top 20 days for each year modeled and the top 25 days for the overall three years at each Class I area. The table indicates that both the 8<sup>th</sup> highest day's impacts for each year and the 22<sup>nd</sup> highest day's impacts over all three years are below 0.5 delta-dv. These results demonstrate that Plant McIntosh's PM<sub>10</sub> emissions do not cause or contribute to visibility impairment. Therefore, the source is not subject to BART for PM<sub>10</sub>, and no further BART analysis is required.

Electronic data related to this application are provided on the attached disk. They include all input (INP) and list (LST) files.

**Table 3-1 Summary of Results – Plant McIntosh Refined BART Exemption Modeling**

		2001			2002			2003			Highest of 8 <sup>th</sup> Highest delta-dv for the 3-years	22 <sup>nd</sup> Highest delta-dv over 3-year period
Class I area	Distance from source to Class I area boundary	# of days and receptors beyond 98 <sup>th</sup> percentile with impact > 0.5 delta-dv		8 <sup>th</sup> Highest delta-dv	# of days and receptors beyond 98 <sup>th</sup> percentile with impact > 0.5 delta-dv		8 <sup>th</sup> Highest delta-dv	# of days and receptors beyond 98 <sup>th</sup> percentile with impact > 0.5 delta-dv		8 <sup>th</sup> Highest delta-dv		
		Km	Days	Rec	delta-dv	Days	Rec	delta-dv	Days	Rec	delta-dv	delta-dv
Cape Romain	152.6	0	0	0.04	0	0	0.04	0	0	0.04	0.04	0.04
Okefenokee	177.1	0	0	0.02	0	0	0.03	0	0	0.03	0.03	0.03
Wolf Island	110.5	0	0	0.03	0	0	0.03	0	0	0.03	0.03	0.03

## **Appendix A**

**Delta-Deciview Values for the Top 20 Days – for Each Year/Each Class I Area and for the Top 25 Days – Over Three Years**

### Ranked Daily Visibility Change for Cape Romain (Top 20 Days for Each Year)

YEAR	DAY	HR	REC	DV(Total)	DV(BKG)	DELTA DV	F(RH)	% of Modeled Extinction by Species						Ranking
								% SO4	% NO3	% OC	% EC	% PMC	% PMF	
2001	16	0	1	7.582	7.519	0.064	3.3	69.77	0	22.67	1.44	2.11	4.01	1
2001	313	0	48	7.573	7.519	0.054	3.4	70.25	0	22.15	1.41	2.27	3.92	2
2001	175	0	7	7.573	7.519	0.054	3.7	72.18	0	20.92	1.33	1.87	3.7	3
2001	240	0	32	7.569	7.519	0.05	4.1	74.1	0	19.38	1.23	1.86	3.42	4
2001	242	0	25	7.559	7.519	0.04	4.1	74.36	0	19.45	1.24	1.51	3.44	5
2001	220	0	2	7.558	7.519	0.04	4.1	74.24	0	19.41	1.24	1.67	3.43	6
2001	8	0	65	7.556	7.519	0.038	3.3	70.35	0	22.85	1.46	1.29	4.04	7
2001	298	0	1	7.556	7.519	0.037	3.7	71.9	0	20.83	1.33	2.25	3.68	8
2001	48	0	62	7.555	7.519	0.036	3	67.34	0	24.07	1.53	2.81	4.25	9
2001	241	0	3	7.554	7.519	0.035	4.1	74.4	0	19.45	1.24	1.46	3.44	10
2001	224	0	32	7.552	7.519	0.033	4.1	74.02	0	19.36	1.23	1.97	3.42	11
2001	201	0	1	7.552	7.519	0.033	3.6	71.58	0	21.32	1.36	1.97	3.77	12
2001	32	0	2	7.552	7.519	0.033	3.3	69.71	0	22.65	1.44	2.19	4	13
2001	222	0	48	7.548	7.519	0.029	4.1	74.36	0	19.45	1.24	1.51	3.44	14
2001	221	0	1	7.547	7.519	0.028	4.1	74.28	0	19.42	1.24	1.62	3.43	15
2001	188	0	48	7.546	7.519	0.028	3.6	71.6	0	21.32	1.36	1.94	3.77	16
2001	277	0	48	7.546	7.519	0.027	3.7	71.98	0	20.86	1.33	2.14	3.69	17
2001	158	0	32	7.546	7.519	0.027	3.7	72.14	0	20.9	1.33	1.92	3.69	18
2001	245	0	2	7.544	7.519	0.025	4	73.85	0	19.79	1.26	1.59	3.5	19
2001	223	0	65	7.544	7.519	0.025	4.1	74.27	0	19.42	1.24	1.63	3.43	20
2002	198	0	2	7.568	7.519	0.049	3.6	71.66	0	21.34	1.36	1.86	3.77	1
2002	232	0	1	7.566	7.519	0.047	4.1	74.06	0	19.37	1.23	1.92	3.42	2
2002	187	0	48	7.565	7.519	0.046	3.6	71.61	0	21.33	1.36	1.92	3.77	3
2002	153	0	1	7.56	7.519	0.041	3.7	72.5	0	21.01	1.34	1.43	3.71	4
2002	6	0	39	7.559	7.519	0.04	3.3	69.45	0	22.56	1.44	2.55	3.99	5
2002	18	0	1	7.558	7.519	0.039	3.3	69.9	0	22.71	1.45	1.93	4.01	6
2002	146	0	32	7.555	7.519	0.036	3.2	69.4	0	23.25	1.48	1.75	4.11	7
2002	236	0	32	7.554	7.519	0.035	4.1	74.27	0	19.42	1.24	1.63	3.43	8
2002	233	0	127	7.554	7.519	0.035	4.1	74.06	0	19.37	1.23	1.9	3.42	9
2002	30	0	10	7.553	7.519	0.034	3.3	69.65	0	22.63	1.44	2.27	4	10
2002	202	0	144	7.552	7.519	0.033	3.6	71.7	0	21.35	1.36	1.81	3.77	11
2002	12	0	2	7.552	7.519	0.033	3.3	69.67	0	22.63	1.44	2.25	4	12
2002	330	0	163	7.551	7.519	0.032	3.4	70.65	0	22.28	1.42	1.7	3.94	13
2002	196	0	78	7.55	7.519	0.031	3.6	71.93	0	21.42	1.36	1.5	3.79	14
2002	231	0	1	7.549	7.519	0.03	4.1	74.36	0	19.44	1.24	1.52	3.44	15
2002	77	0	2	7.548	7.519	0.03	2.9	67.21	0	24.85	1.58	1.95	4.39	16
2002	357	0	2	7.546	7.519	0.028	3.2	68.91	0	23.09	1.47	2.44	4.08	17
2002	272	0	1	7.546	7.519	0.027	4	73.58	0	19.72	1.26	1.94	3.49	18
2002	260	0	1	7.546	7.519	0.027	4	73.82	0	19.79	1.26	1.62	3.5	19
2002	19	0	1	7.545	7.519	0.026	3.3	69.28	0	22.51	1.43	2.8	3.98	20
2003	263	0	2	7.57	7.519	0.051	4	73.67	0	19.75	1.26	1.84	3.49	1
2003	202	0	7	7.568	7.519	0.049	3.6	71.28	0	21.23	1.35	2.39	3.75	2
2003	317	0	20	7.561	7.519	0.042	3.4	70.48	0	22.23	1.42	1.94	3.93	3
2003	295	0	7	7.56	7.519	0.041	3.7	72.22	0	20.93	1.33	1.82	3.7	4
2003	231	0	2	7.558	7.519	0.039	4.1	73.96	0	19.34	1.23	2.04	3.42	5
2003	172	0	65	7.557	7.519	0.038	3.7	72.44	0	20.99	1.34	1.52	3.71	6
2003	22	0	2	7.557	7.519	0.038	3.3	70.02	0	22.75	1.45	1.76	4.02	7
2003	218	0	2	7.556	7.519	0.037	4.1	73.89	0	19.32	1.23	2.13	3.42	8
2003	198	0	32	7.556	7.519	0.037	3.6	71.9	0	21.41	1.36	1.54	3.78	9
2003	191	0	20	7.555	7.519	0.036	3.6	71.29	0	21.23	1.35	2.37	3.75	10
2003	130	0	2	7.555	7.519	0.036	3.2	68.78	0	23.04	1.47	2.64	4.07	11
2003	21	0	2	7.555	7.519	0.036	3.3	69.93	0	22.72	1.45	1.88	4.02	12
2003	3	0	32	7.552	7.519	0.033	3.3	70.19	0	22.8	1.45	1.51	4.03	13
2003	182	0	32	7.551	7.519	0.032	3.7	71.96	0	20.85	1.33	2.17	3.69	14
2003	147	0	2	7.55	7.519	0.032	3.2	69.02	0	23.12	1.47	2.29	4.09	15
2003	131	0	2	7.551	7.519	0.032	3.2	69.3	0	23.22	1.48	1.89	4.1	16
2003	236	0	65	7.549	7.519	0.031	4.1	74.36	0	19.45	1.24	1.51	3.44	17
2003	219	0	2	7.55	7.519	0.031	4.1	74.02	0	19.36	1.23	1.97	3.42	18
2003	35	0	37	7.55	7.519	0.031	3	67.77	0	24.22	1.54	2.18	4.28	19
2003	212	0	163	7.549	7.519	0.03	3.6	71.49	0	21.29	1.36	2.09	3.76	20

**Ranked Daily Visibility Change for Cape Romain (Top 25 Days Over Three Years)**

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>DAY</u>	<u>REC</u>	<u>DV(Total)</u>	<u>DV(BKG)</u>	<u>DELTA DV</u>	<u>F(RH)</u>	<u>% of Modeled Extinction by Species</u>						<u>Ranking</u>
							<u>% SO4</u>	<u>% NO3</u>	<u>% OC</u>	<u>% EC</u>	<u>% PMC</u>	<u>% PMF</u>	
2001	16	1	7.582	7.519	0.064	3.3	69.77	0.00	22.67	1.44	2.11	4.01	1
2001	313	48	7.573	7.519	0.054	3.4	70.25	0.00	22.15	1.41	2.27	3.92	2
2001	175	7	7.573	7.519	0.054	3.7	72.18	0.00	20.92	1.33	1.87	3.70	3
2003	263	2	7.570	7.519	0.051	4.0	73.67	0.00	19.75	1.26	1.84	3.49	4
2001	240	32	7.569	7.519	0.050	4.1	74.10	0.00	19.38	1.23	1.86	3.42	5
2002	198	2	7.568	7.519	0.049	3.6	71.66	0.00	21.34	1.36	1.86	3.77	6
2003	202	7	7.568	7.519	0.049	3.6	71.28	0.00	21.23	1.35	2.39	3.75	7
2002	232	1	7.566	7.519	0.047	4.1	74.06	0.00	19.37	1.23	1.92	3.42	8
2002	187	48	7.565	7.519	0.046	3.6	71.61	0.00	21.33	1.36	1.92	3.77	9
2003	317	20	7.561	7.519	0.042	3.4	70.48	0.00	22.23	1.42	1.94	3.93	10
2002	153	1	7.560	7.519	0.041	3.7	72.50	0.00	21.01	1.34	1.43	3.71	11
2003	295	7	7.560	7.519	0.041	3.7	72.22	0.00	20.93	1.33	1.82	3.70	12
2001	242	25	7.559	7.519	0.040	4.1	74.36	0.00	19.45	1.24	1.51	3.44	13
2001	220	2	7.558	7.519	0.040	4.1	74.24	0.00	19.41	1.24	1.67	3.43	14
2002	6	39	7.559	7.519	0.040	3.3	69.45	0.00	22.56	1.44	2.55	3.99	15
2002	18	1	7.558	7.519	0.039	3.3	69.90	0.00	22.71	1.45	1.93	4.01	16
2003	231	2	7.558	7.519	0.039	4.1	73.96	0.00	19.34	1.23	2.04	3.42	17
2001	8	65	7.556	7.519	0.038	3.3	70.35	0.00	22.85	1.46	1.29	4.04	18
2003	172	65	7.557	7.519	0.038	3.7	72.44	0.00	20.99	1.34	1.52	3.71	19
2003	22	2	7.557	7.519	0.038	3.3	70.02	0.00	22.75	1.45	1.76	4.02	20
2001	298	1	7.556	7.519	0.037	3.7	71.90	0.00	20.83	1.33	2.25	3.68	21
2003	218	2	7.556	7.519	0.037	4.1	73.89	0.00	19.32	1.23	2.13	3.42	22
2003	198	32	7.556	7.519	0.037	3.6	71.90	0.00	21.41	1.36	1.54	3.78	23
2001	48	62	7.555	7.519	0.036	3.0	67.34	0.00	24.07	1.53	2.81	4.25	24
2002	146	32	7.555	7.519	0.036	3.2	69.40	0.00	23.25	1.48	1.75	4.11	25

### Ranked Daily Visibility Change for Okefenokee (Top 20 Days for Each Year)

YEAR	DAY	HR	REC	DV(Total)	DV(BKG)	DELTA DV	F(RH)	% of Modeled Extinction by Species						Ranking
								% SO4	% NO3	% OC	% EC	% PMC	% PMF	
2001	271	0	662	7.663	7.608	0.055	4	74.21	0	19.89	1.27	1.12	3.52	1
2001	302	0	249	7.644	7.608	0.036	3.8	73.63	0	20.77	1.32	0.61	3.67	2
2001	281	0	613	7.64	7.608	0.032	3.8	73.63	0	20.77	1.32	0.59	3.67	3
2001	272	0	353	7.64	7.608	0.032	4	74.1	0	19.86	1.27	1.26	3.51	4
2001	23	0	557	7.636	7.608	0.028	3.5	71.93	0	22.03	1.4	0.74	3.89	5
2001	310	0	664	7.633	7.608	0.025	3.5	71.71	0	21.97	1.4	1.04	3.88	6
2001	295	0	658	7.633	7.608	0.025	3.8	73.16	0	20.64	1.31	1.22	3.65	7
2001	274	0	641	7.632	7.608	0.024	4	74.57	0	19.99	1.27	0.64	3.53	8
2001	294	0	534	7.631	7.608	0.023	3.8	73.32	0	20.69	1.32	1.01	3.66	9
2001	170	0	658	7.631	7.608	0.023	3.7	72.16	0	20.91	1.33	1.9	3.7	10
2001	303	0	658	7.63	7.608	0.022	3.8	73.62	0	20.77	1.32	0.61	3.67	11
2001	259	0	641	7.63	7.608	0.022	4	74.8	0	20.05	1.28	0.32	3.54	12
2001	50	0	658	7.63	7.608	0.022	3.2	70.01	0	23.46	1.49	0.89	4.15	13
2001	59	0	664	7.629	7.608	0.021	3.2	69.11	0	23.15	1.47	2.16	4.09	14
2001	45	0	353	7.63	7.608	0.021	3.2	70.08	0	23.48	1.5	0.78	4.15	15
2001	18	0	249	7.628	7.608	0.02	3.5	71.44	0	21.88	1.39	1.4	3.87	16
2001	320	0	658	7.627	7.608	0.018	3.5	71.9	0	22.03	1.4	0.77	3.89	17
2001	117	0	534	7.626	7.608	0.018	3	68.38	0	24.44	1.56	1.3	4.32	18
2001	321	0	197	7.625	7.608	0.017	3.5	71.64	0	21.94	1.4	1.13	3.88	19
2001	14	0	286	7.625	7.608	0.017	3.5	71.97	0	22.05	1.4	0.68	3.9	20
2002	343	0	663	7.653	7.608	0.045	3.6	72.3	0	21.53	1.37	0.99	3.81	1
2002	342	0	664	7.647	7.608	0.039	3.6	71.6	0	21.32	1.36	1.95	3.77	2
2002	141	0	663	7.645	7.608	0.037	3.6	71.89	0	21.41	1.36	1.55	3.78	3
2002	246	0	557	7.641	7.608	0.033	4	74.46	0	19.96	1.27	0.77	3.53	4
2002	337	0	197	7.64	7.608	0.032	3.6	72.1	0	21.47	1.37	1.25	3.8	5
2002	325	0	658	7.64	7.608	0.032	3.5	71.25	0	21.83	1.39	1.67	3.86	6
2002	333	0	657	7.638	7.608	0.03	3.5	71.16	0	21.8	1.39	1.8	3.85	7
2002	56	0	197	7.636	7.608	0.028	3.2	69.6	0	23.32	1.49	1.47	4.12	8
2002	140	0	651	7.635	7.608	0.027	3.6	72.25	0	21.52	1.37	1.05	3.8	9
2002	344	0	658	7.634	7.608	0.026	3.6	71.92	0	21.42	1.36	1.51	3.79	10
2002	296	0	663	7.632	7.608	0.024	3.8	73.18	0	20.65	1.32	1.2	3.65	11
2002	331	0	628	7.63	7.608	0.022	3.5	71.58	0	21.93	1.4	1.22	3.88	12
2002	55	0	628	7.63	7.608	0.022	3.2	69.67	0	23.34	1.49	1.36	4.13	13
2002	306	0	628	7.63	7.608	0.021	3.5	71.81	0	22	1.4	0.89	3.89	14
2002	324	0	664	7.627	7.608	0.019	3.5	71.63	0	21.94	1.4	1.14	3.88	15
2002	28	0	664	7.627	7.608	0.019	3.5	71.84	0	22.01	1.4	0.86	3.89	16
2002	126	0	353	7.624	7.608	0.016	3.6	72.39	0	21.56	1.37	0.85	3.81	17
2002	37	0	628	7.625	7.608	0.016	3.2	70.04	0	23.46	1.49	0.86	4.15	18
2002	319	0	386	7.622	7.608	0.014	3.5	70.86	0	21.71	1.38	2.21	3.84	19
2002	309	0	353	7.622	7.608	0.014	3.5	71.8	0	21.99	1.4	0.91	3.89	20
2003	256	0	658	7.665	7.608	0.057	4	73.8	0	19.78	1.26	1.66	3.5	1
2003	276	0	628	7.657	7.608	0.049	3.8	73.1	0	20.62	1.31	1.31	3.65	2
2003	255	0	663	7.648	7.608	0.04	4	74.12	0	19.87	1.27	1.23	3.51	3
2003	361	0	556	7.647	7.608	0.039	3.6	71.92	0	21.42	1.36	1.51	3.79	4
2003	32	0	664	7.647	7.608	0.039	3.5	71.74	0	21.98	1.4	0.99	3.88	5
2003	314	0	628	7.642	7.608	0.034	3.5	72.14	0	22.1	1.41	0.44	3.91	6
2003	254	0	663	7.641	7.608	0.033	4	74.12	0	19.87	1.27	1.23	3.51	7
2003	293	0	651	7.64	7.608	0.032	3.8	72.98	0	20.59	1.31	1.48	3.64	8
2003	273	0	534	7.638	7.608	0.03	4	74.57	0	19.99	1.27	0.63	3.53	9
2003	259	0	664	7.638	7.608	0.03	4	74.51	0	19.97	1.27	0.71	3.53	10
2003	14	0	596	7.633	7.608	0.025	3.5	71.39	0	21.87	1.39	1.48	3.87	11
2003	257	0	663	7.632	7.608	0.024	4	74.2	0	19.89	1.27	1.13	3.52	12
2003	253	0	197	7.632	7.608	0.024	4	74.17	0	19.88	1.27	1.17	3.51	13
2003	319	0	663	7.631	7.608	0.023	3.5	71.42	0	21.88	1.39	1.44	3.87	14
2003	362	0	663	7.63	7.608	0.022	3.6	72.29	0	21.53	1.37	0.99	3.81	15
2003	307	0	557	7.626	7.608	0.018	3.5	70.54	0	21.61	1.38	2.65	3.82	16
2003	275	0	628	7.626	7.608	0.018	3.8	73.36	0	20.7	1.32	0.96	3.66	17
2003	261	0	658	7.626	7.608	0.018	4	74.43	0	19.95	1.27	0.82	3.53	18
2003	326	0	166	7.623	7.608	0.015	3.5	71.41	0	21.87	1.39	1.44	3.87	19
2003	274	0	463	7.623	7.608	0.015	4	73.98	0	19.83	1.26	1.42	3.5	20

### Ranked Daily Visibility Change for Okefenokee (Top 25 Days Over Three Years)

YEAR	DAY	REC	DV(Total)	DV(BKG)	DELTA DV	F(RH)	% of Modeled Extinction by Species					Ranking	
							% SO4	% NO3	% OC	% EC	% PMC		% PMF
2003	256	658	7.665	7.608	0.057	4.0	73.80	0.00	19.78	1.26	1.66	3.50	1
2001	271	662	7.663	7.608	0.055	4.0	74.21	0.00	19.89	1.27	1.12	3.52	2
2003	276	628	7.657	7.608	0.049	3.8	73.10	0.00	20.62	1.31	1.31	3.65	3
2002	343	663	7.653	7.608	0.045	3.6	72.30	0.00	21.53	1.37	0.99	3.81	4
2003	255	663	7.648	7.608	0.040	4.0	74.12	0.00	19.87	1.27	1.23	3.51	5
2002	342	664	7.647	7.608	0.039	3.6	71.60	0.00	21.32	1.36	1.95	3.77	6
2003	361	556	7.647	7.608	0.039	3.6	71.92	0.00	21.42	1.36	1.51	3.79	7
2003	32	664	7.647	7.608	0.039	3.5	71.74	0.00	21.98	1.40	0.99	3.88	8
2002	141	663	7.645	7.608	0.037	3.6	71.89	0.00	21.41	1.36	1.55	3.78	9
2001	302	249	7.644	7.608	0.036	3.8	73.63	0.00	20.77	1.32	0.61	3.67	10
2003	314	628	7.642	7.608	0.034	3.5	72.14	0.00	22.10	1.41	0.44	3.91	11
2002	246	557	7.641	7.608	0.033	4.0	74.46	0.00	19.96	1.27	0.77	3.53	12
2003	254	663	7.641	7.608	0.033	4.0	74.12	0.00	19.87	1.27	1.23	3.51	13
2001	281	613	7.640	7.608	0.032	3.8	73.63	0.00	20.77	1.32	0.59	3.67	14
2001	272	353	7.640	7.608	0.032	4.0	74.10	0.00	19.86	1.27	1.26	3.51	15
2002	337	197	7.640	7.608	0.032	3.6	72.10	0.00	21.47	1.37	1.25	3.80	16
2002	325	658	7.640	7.608	0.032	3.5	71.25	0.00	21.83	1.39	1.67	3.86	17
2003	293	651	7.640	7.608	0.032	3.8	72.98	0.00	20.59	1.31	1.48	3.64	18
2002	333	657	7.638	7.608	0.030	3.5	71.16	0.00	21.80	1.39	1.80	3.85	19
2003	273	534	7.638	7.608	0.030	4.0	74.57	0.00	19.99	1.27	0.63	3.53	20
2003	259	664	7.638	7.608	0.030	4.0	74.51	0.00	19.97	1.27	0.71	3.53	21
2001	23	557	7.636	7.608	0.028	3.5	71.93	0.00	22.03	1.40	0.74	3.89	22
2002	56	197	7.636	7.608	0.028	3.2	69.60	0.00	23.32	1.49	1.47	4.12	23
2002	140	651	7.635	7.608	0.027	3.6	72.25	0.00	21.52	1.37	1.05	3.80	24
2002	344	658	7.634	7.608	0.026	3.6	71.92	0.00	21.42	1.36	1.51	3.79	25

**Ranked Daily Visibility Change for Wolf Island (Top 20 Days for Each Year)**

YEAR	DAY	HR	REC	DV(Total)	DV(BKG)	DELTA DV	F(RH)	% of Modeled Extinction by Species						Ranking
								% SO4	% NO3	% OC	% EC	% PMC	% PMF	
2001	272	0	693	7.633	7.58	0.053	4	73.5	0	19.7	1.25	2.05	3.48	1
2002	1	0	694	7.622	7.58	0.042	3.5	71.32	0	21.85	1.39	1.58	3.86	2
2001	45	0	666	7.622	7.58	0.042	3.1	68.8	0	23.79	1.52	1.69	4.21	3
2001	11	0	693	7.615	7.58	0.035	3.4	70.17	0	22.13	1.41	2.38	3.91	4
2001	260	0	688	7.614	7.58	0.034	4	73.93	0	19.82	1.26	1.49	3.5	5
2001	365	0	688	7.613	7.58	0.033	3.5	71.38	0	21.86	1.39	1.49	3.86	6
2001	291	0	688	7.612	7.58	0.032	3.7	72.58	0	21.03	1.34	1.33	3.72	7
2001	55	0	694	7.607	7.58	0.027	3.1	68.78	0	23.79	1.52	1.72	4.2	8
2001	356	0	693	7.606	7.58	0.026	3.5	70.77	0	21.68	1.38	2.34	3.83	9
2001	3	0	693	7.606	7.58	0.026	3.4	70.51	0	22.23	1.42	1.91	3.93	10
2001	44	0	694	7.605	7.58	0.025	3.1	68.65	0	23.74	1.51	1.9	4.2	11
2001	36	0	694	7.605	7.58	0.025	3.1	68.8	0	23.79	1.52	1.68	4.21	12
2001	261	0	682	7.604	7.58	0.024	4	73.69	0	19.75	1.26	1.8	3.49	13
2001	275	0	688	7.603	7.58	0.023	3.7	72.03	0	20.87	1.33	2.08	3.69	14
2001	68	0	666	7.6	7.58	0.02	3.1	68.07	0	23.54	1.5	2.72	4.16	15
2001	24	0	691	7.6	7.58	0.02	3.4	70.46	0	22.22	1.42	1.98	3.93	16
2001	228	0	694	7.598	7.58	0.018	4.1	73.97	0	19.34	1.23	2.03	3.42	17
2001	270	0	693	7.597	7.58	0.017	4	73.53	0	19.71	1.26	2.02	3.48	18
2001	172	0	688	7.597	7.58	0.017	3.7	72.42	0	20.98	1.34	1.55	3.71	19
2001	117	0	688	7.597	7.58	0.017	3	68.27	0	24.4	1.55	1.45	4.31	20
2002	308	0	688	7.676	7.58	0.096	3.5	70.68	0	21.65	1.38	2.46	3.83	1
2002	307	0	694	7.633	7.58	0.053	3.5	70.86	0	21.71	1.38	2.21	3.84	2
2002	126	0	688	7.621	7.58	0.041	3.3	70.24	0	22.82	1.45	1.45	4.03	3
2002	155	0	688	7.615	7.58	0.035	3.7	71.85	0	20.82	1.33	2.33	3.68	4
2002	331	0	688	7.613	7.58	0.033	3.5	71.28	0	21.83	1.39	1.64	3.86	5
2002	312	0	688	7.613	7.58	0.033	3.5	71.19	0	21.81	1.39	1.76	3.85	6
2002	2	0	694	7.612	7.58	0.032	3.4	71.07	0	22.41	1.43	1.13	3.96	7
2002	261	0	694	7.606	7.58	0.026	4	73.37	0	19.66	1.25	2.24	3.48	8
2002	324	0	693	7.605	7.58	0.025	3.5	70.94	0	21.73	1.38	2.11	3.84	9
2002	54	0	694	7.604	7.58	0.024	3.1	68.43	0	23.67	1.51	2.21	4.18	10
2002	352	0	672	7.603	7.58	0.023	3.5	71.05	0	21.76	1.39	1.96	3.85	11
2002	305	0	688	7.603	7.58	0.023	3.7	72.53	0	21.02	1.34	1.4	3.71	12
2002	29	0	694	7.603	7.58	0.023	3.4	71.02	0	22.4	1.43	1.19	3.96	13
2002	362	0	693	7.602	7.58	0.022	3.5	70.7	0	21.66	1.38	2.43	3.83	14
2002	17	0	694	7.601	7.58	0.021	3.4	70.26	0	22.16	1.41	2.24	3.92	15
2002	337	0	682	7.6	7.58	0.02	3.5	71.11	0	21.78	1.39	1.87	3.85	16
2002	300	0	688	7.6	7.58	0.02	3.7	72.31	0	20.95	1.33	1.69	3.7	17
2002	82	0	691	7.6	7.58	0.02	3.1	68.59	0	23.72	1.51	1.98	4.19	18
2002	301	0	694	7.599	7.58	0.019	3.7	71.72	0	20.78	1.32	2.5	3.67	19
2002	13	0	682	7.599	7.58	0.019	3.4	69.99	0	22.07	1.41	2.63	3.9	20
2003	252	0	693	7.663	7.58	0.083	4	73.51	0	19.7	1.26	2.04	3.48	1
2003	83	0	694	7.619	7.58	0.039	3.1	68.12	0	23.56	1.5	2.66	4.16	2
2003	335	0	688	7.617	7.58	0.037	3.5	70.78	0	21.68	1.38	2.33	3.83	3
2003	292	0	688	7.612	7.58	0.032	3.7	72.07	0	20.88	1.33	2.02	3.69	4
2003	279	0	693	7.61	7.58	0.03	3.7	72.13	0	20.9	1.33	1.94	3.69	5
2003	256	0	694	7.608	7.58	0.028	4	74.08	0	19.86	1.26	1.28	3.51	6
2003	356	0	688	7.607	7.58	0.027	3.5	70.72	0	21.66	1.38	2.4	3.83	7
2003	347	0	688	7.607	7.58	0.027	3.5	71.02	0	21.75	1.39	1.99	3.85	8
2003	251	0	693	7.607	7.58	0.027	4	73.86	0	19.8	1.26	1.58	3.5	9
2003	343	0	693	7.604	7.58	0.024	3.5	70.72	0	21.66	1.38	2.4	3.83	10
2003	14	0	694	7.603	7.58	0.023	3.4	70.64	0	22.28	1.42	1.73	3.94	11
2003	28	0	671	7.601	7.58	0.021	3.4	70.49	0	22.23	1.42	1.94	3.93	12
2003	284	0	688	7.599	7.58	0.019	3.7	72.91	0	21.13	1.35	0.88	3.73	13
2003	114	0	693	7.599	7.58	0.019	3	67.71	0	24.2	1.54	2.28	4.28	14
2003	262	0	693	7.597	7.58	0.017	4	73.68	0	19.75	1.26	1.82	3.49	15
2003	135	0	693	7.597	7.58	0.017	3.3	69.82	0	22.69	1.44	2.04	4.01	16
2003	325	0	693	7.596	7.58	0.016	3.5	71.16	0	21.8	1.39	1.8	3.85	17
2003	176	0	694	7.596	7.58	0.016	3.7	72.37	0	20.97	1.34	1.62	3.71	18
2003	271	0	682	7.595	7.58	0.015	4	74.49	0	19.97	1.27	0.73	3.53	19
2003	76	0	693	7.594	7.58	0.014	3.1	68.88	0	23.82	1.52	1.56	4.21	20



**Ranked Daily Visibility Change for Wolf Island (Top 25 Days Over Three Years)**

YEAR	DAY	REC	DV(Total)	DV(BKG)	DELTA DV	F(RH)	% of Modeled Extinction by Species						Ranking
							% SO4	% NO3	% OC	% EC	% PMC	% PMF	
2002	308	688	7.676	7.580	0.096	3.5	70.68	0.00	21.65	1.38	2.46	3.83	1
2003	252	693	7.663	7.580	0.083	4.0	73.51	0.00	19.70	1.26	2.04	3.48	2
2001	272	693	7.633	7.580	0.053	4.0	73.50	0.00	19.70	1.25	2.05	3.48	3
2002	307	694	7.633	7.580	0.053	3.5	70.86	0.00	21.71	1.38	2.21	3.84	4
2002	1	694	7.622	7.580	0.042	3.5	71.32	0.00	21.85	1.39	1.58	3.86	5
2001	45	666	7.622	7.580	0.042	3.1	68.80	0.00	23.79	1.52	1.69	4.21	6
2002	126	688	7.621	7.580	0.041	3.3	70.24	0.00	22.82	1.45	1.45	4.03	7
2003	83	694	7.619	7.580	0.039	3.1	68.12	0.00	23.56	1.50	2.66	4.16	8
2003	335	688	7.617	7.580	0.037	3.5	70.78	0.00	21.68	1.38	2.33	3.83	9
2001	11	693	7.615	7.580	0.035	3.4	70.17	0.00	22.13	1.41	2.38	3.91	10
2002	155	688	7.615	7.580	0.035	3.7	71.85	0.00	20.82	1.33	2.33	3.68	11
2001	260	688	7.614	7.580	0.034	4.0	73.93	0.00	19.82	1.26	1.49	3.50	12
2001	365	688	7.613	7.580	0.033	3.5	71.38	0.00	21.86	1.39	1.49	3.86	13
2002	331	688	7.613	7.580	0.033	3.5	71.28	0.00	21.83	1.39	1.64	3.86	14
2002	312	688	7.613	7.580	0.033	3.5	71.19	0.00	21.81	1.39	1.76	3.85	15
2001	291	688	7.612	7.580	0.032	3.7	72.58	0.00	21.03	1.34	1.33	3.72	16
2002	2	694	7.612	7.580	0.032	3.4	71.07	0.00	22.41	1.43	1.13	3.96	17
2003	292	688	7.612	7.580	0.032	3.7	72.07	0.00	20.88	1.33	2.02	3.69	18
2003	279	693	7.610	7.580	0.030	3.7	72.13	0.00	20.90	1.33	1.94	3.69	19
2003	256	694	7.608	7.580	0.028	4.0	74.08	0.00	19.86	1.26	1.28	3.51	20
2001	55	694	7.607	7.580	0.027	3.1	68.78	0.00	23.79	1.52	1.72	4.20	21
2003	356	688	7.607	7.580	0.027	3.5	70.72	0.00	21.66	1.38	2.40	3.83	22
2003	347	688	7.607	7.580	0.027	3.5	71.02	0.00	21.75	1.39	1.99	3.85	23
2003	251	693	7.607	7.580	0.027	4.0	73.86	0.00	19.80	1.26	1.58	3.50	24
2001	356	693	7.606	7.580	0.026	3.5	70.77	0.00	21.68	1.38	2.34	3.83	25