

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION

Draft Canadian Wildfire Exceptional Event Demonstration for Exceedances of the 2024 Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS at Rossville, GA in 2023

Prepared by: Air Protection Branch Environmental Protection Division

December 20, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. NARRATIVE CONCEPTUAL MODEL	2
3. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION	3
4. CLEAR CAUSAL RELATIONSHIP AND SUPPORTING ANALYSES	4
5. NOT REASONABLY CONTROLLABLE OR PREVENTABLE	7
6. HUMAN ACTIVITY UNLIKELY TO RECUR AT A PARTICULAR LOCATION OR NATURAL EVENT	7
7. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	7
APPENDIX A: ACTIVE WILDFIRES IN CANADA	8
APPENDIX B: HMS SMOKE AND ACTIVE FIRES	12
APPENDIX C: HYSPLIT BACK-TRAJECTORY MAPS	16
APPENDIX D: PM2.5 SURFACE CONCENTRATIONS IN THE SOUTHEAST	18
APPENDIX E: PUBLIC COMMENTS	22
APPENDIX F: RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENTS	22

1. Introduction

The current annual and 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) are 9.0 µg/m³ and 35 µg/m³, respectively. Federal Reference Method (FRM) monitors collect $PM_{2.5}$ samples for 24 hours on filters while Federal Equivalent Method (FEM) monitors measure hourly $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations continuously. An exceedance of the 2024 annual $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS occurs when the measured 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ concentration is greater than 9.0 µg/m³.

From 2019 through June 2020, PM_{2.5} data was collected at the Rossville-Maple St. site (13-295-0002) with both an FRM and an FEM monitor. The FRM monitor collected data on a one in three-day schedule. This location was shut down at the end of June 2020, and then a new site was established in May 2021 at the Rossville-Williams St. location (13-295-0004). The data from these two locations in Rossville (Maple St. and Williams St.) is linked in AQS for attainment purposes. At the Rossville-Williams St. location, one FRM monitor began collecting data in May 2021 on a one in three-day sampling schedule. In addition, starting in April 2021, an FEM monitor began collecting data. These two monitors continued collecting data through 2023. A NAAQS exclusion was requested of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for the FEM monitor starting April 2021. The Chattanooga, TN-GA MSA is in attainment of the 2012 PM_{2.5} NAAQS.

This exceptional event demonstration shows that the air monitoring site located in Rossville (Walker County) in the state of Georgia (AQS ID: 13-295-0004) reported exceedances of the 2024 annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS due to Canadian wildfires on four different days in 2023 that qualify for exceptional event demonstrations (Table 1). These exceedances resulted from the transport of smoke that originated from wildfires burning in Canada; therefore, qualifying for removal under the Exceptional Events Rule (EER). Design values (DVs) of the Rossville-Williams St. monitor with and without EPA concurrence are shown in Table 2. DVs are calculated using 24-hour PM_{2.5} FRM measurements from 2022-2023. The FEM data was excluded due to a requested NAAQS exclusion by Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD). Also, the 2021 PM_{2.5} measurements were not included in the design value calculation since the first quarter was missing. For each year, these measurements are first averaged into quarterly values, then to a yearly value. The average of these yearly values is reported as the DV. Inclusion of these events produces a DV of 9.4 μ g/m³, which is above the new 2024 PM_{2.5} annual NAAQS; however, exclusion reduces the DV to 9.0 μ g/m³.

On December 20, 2024, Georgia EPD submitted an Initial Notification for these events to the EPA. The request indicated that the 4 events identified in Table 1 were impacted by smoke from wildfires and requested review of the events under the case-by-case provision at 40 CFR 50.14(a)(1)(i)(F). Georgia EPD formally requests that the EPA concur with the exclusion of these events.

#	Date	24-hour concentration (µg/m ³) Cause of exceedance		Tier				
1	06/08/23	20.5	Canadian wildfire	2				
2	06/17/23	21.7	Canadian wildfire	2				
3	06/29/23	37.0	Canadian wildfire	1				
4	07/17/23	43.3	Canadian wildfire	1				

Table 1. Exceedances of the 2024 annual $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS observed by monitors stationed in Rossville, GA at the Rossville-William St. site in 2023 that qualify for removal under the Exceptional Events Rule.

Table 2. Design values (DV) for monitor at the Rossville-William St. site for the 2024 annual $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS.

Monitor Site	2022-2023 DV without EPA	2022-2023 DV with EPA		
(AQS ID)	Concurrence (µg/m ³)	Concurrence (µg/m ³)		
Rossville-Williams St (13-295-0004)	9.4	9.0		

The EPA has outlined requirements for demonstrations of wildfire events in the 2016 document *Guidance on the Preparation of Exceptional Events Demonstrations for Wildfire Events that May Influence Ozone Concentrations* and, pertinent to this demonstration, the 2024 supplementary document $PM_{2.5}$ *Wildland Fire Exceptional Events Tiering Document*. This demonstration will describe how the proposed wildfire events meet the requirements of the EER as described in regulation and the guidance documents, as applicable.

2. Narrative Conceptual Model

The Exceptional Event Rule requires that demonstrations include a narrative conceptual model describing the events. This section describes the 2023 Canadian wildfires that affected public health and impacted air quality monitors at the Rossville-William St. site. Estimates from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT) model are used to describe the transportation of wildfire smoke to the area and around the state which ultimately led to enhancements of PM_{2.5} concentrations that exceeded the NAAQS level.

Canadian wildfires during the 2023 wildfire season were well documented and impacted much of the geography of the United States. This season started ahead of the typical Canadian wildfire season, lasting from mid-April to late October (seasons are usually from May – September)¹. Temperatures and land aridity across Canada were unusually high and resulted in the burning of a record-breaking amount of land area (\geq 156,000 km²)². The land area burned during this season far exceeded the average of 21,000 km^{2,3}, with the most active burns situated in the eastern province of Quebec in June and July.

¹ https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-51154-7

² https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-023-3241-0

³ https://cwfis.cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/ha/nfdb

Figures in Appendix A are provided to show active Canadian wildfires on the days of the exceedances and for three days beforehand via the Natural Resources Canada Interactive Map^4 . For each of the listed days (Figures A1-A4), when the exceedances were recorded by the relevant monitor, Canadian wildfires were on-going across the country, the majority of which had each consumed >1000 hectares. These fires were similarly as intense up to three days prior to the recorded exceptional events.

Shown in section 4, these wildfires resulted in the United States being blanketed in smoke and impacted $PM_{2.5}$ surface level concentrations across the country. Pertinent to this demonstration, concentrations were impacted across the southeast of the country, often simultaneously and in conjunction with the arrival of air masses either from Canada or circulated from smoke-laden areas within the United States. Air mass back-trajectories from NOAA's HYSPLIT model indicate that the plumes responsible for the summertime events were emitted either from fires in Quebec or fires in the western provinces (British Columbia, Alberta, and Saskatchewan), and transported across the Midwest of the United States.

This conceptual model describes how emissions from wildfires and environmental conditions contributed to the events dated in Table 1. Smoke emissions enhanced $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations observed by the monitor as they were transported to the Rossville-Williams St. site and caused an exceedance of the annual $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS. Georgia EPD requests EPA's concurrence on the dates listed in Table 1 for exclusion from regulatory decision making, specifically state attainment determinations.

3. Public Notification

As described in 40 CFR 51.930(a), states requesting to exclude data due to exceptional events must take appropriate and reasonable actions to protect public health from exceedances or violations of the NAAQS. These include providing for, at a minimum, prompt public notification whenever concentrations are expected to exceed a NAAQS, public education on actions individuals may take to reduce exposures to unhealthy air quality during events, and implementation of appropriate measures to protect public health from event-caused exceedances or violations of the NAAQS.

With respect to public notification and public education, the Georgia Forestry Commission (GFC) has a public website⁵ with an interactive wildfire and burn permit map that contains the current Air Quality Index at all monitors in Georgia with the option to add the following layers: (1) burn restrictions, (2) daily burn permits, (3) $PM_{2.5}$, (4) NOAA Hazard Mapping System (HMS) smoke plumes, (5) wind vectors, and (6) smoke forecast. The public can zoom in to see if smoke may impact their location. The Georgia EPD website⁶ has a link to the GFC interactive burn permit map. Also, the Georgia EPD website has a link to EPA's AirNow Fire and Smoke Map⁷, EPA's AirNow When Smoke is in the Air⁸, EPA's AirNow Prepare for Fire Season⁹, and

⁴ https://cwfis.cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/interactive-map

⁵ https://georgiafc.firesponse.com/public/

⁶ https://epd.georgia.gov/air-protection-branch/open-burning-rules-georgia

⁷ https://fire.airnow.gov/

⁸ https://www.airnow.gov/wildfires/when-smoke-is-in-the-air/

⁹ https://www.airnow.gov/sites/default/files/2020-10/prepare-for-fire-season.pdf

the EPA's Smoke-Ready Toolbox for Wildfires¹⁰. These websites identify several protective measures that individuals should take to reduce smoke exposure as needed, including limiting outdoor activities, avoiding strenuous outdoor activity and remaining indoors, and considering temporarily relocating or closing all doors and windows during smoke events. In addition, the Georgia EPD Ambient Air Monitoring Program website¹¹ provides near real-time ambient air concentrations of multiple criteria pollutants (O₃, PM_{2.5}, SO₂, NO₂, and CO) across the state.

4. Clear Causal Relationship and Supporting Analyses

This section addresses the EER requirements at 40 CFR 50.14(c)(3)(iv)(B) by showing that the events affected air quality in such a way that there exists a clear, causal relationship between the specific events and the monitored exceedance, and at 40 CFR 50.14(c)(3)(iv)(C) by providing analyses comparing the claimed event-influenced concentrations to concentrations at the same monitoring site at other times. The *Guidance on the Preparation of Exceptional Events Demonstrations for Wildfire Events that May Influence Ozone Concentrations* and $PM_{2.5}$ *Wildland Fire Exceptional Events Tiering Document* outline the expected components of a clear causal relationship portion of a demonstration. These include evidence that emissions from wildfires were transported to the monitor, evidence that wildfire emissions affected the monitor, and a comparison of the event-related concentration to historical concentrations.

Figures in Appendix B show smoke from the NOAA Hazard Mapping System (HMS), plotted via the AirNow Navigator¹². Active fires and smoke are shown for the day event was registered as well as up to three days beforehand. During the exceptional events, smoke pervaded the air throughout much of the eastern half of the United States, if not the entire country (Figures B1-B4).

The historical data analysis section of this demonstration focuses on 2019-2023 $PM_{2.5}$ FRM data at the Rossville-William St. site monitor. Table 3 contains a comparison of exceptional event concentrations to historic 2019-2023 concentrations for the monitor. Generally, the exceptional event concentrations are at least twice the 5-year annual average, quarterly average, and monthly average, and in some cases can be up to five times higher.

EE Date	EE Concentration (µg/m ³)	5-Year Annual Average (µg/m ³)	5-Year Quarterly Average (µg/m ³)	5-Year Monthly Average (µg/m ³)	Ratio EE to 5-Year Annual	Ratio EE to 5-Year Quarterly Average	Ratio EE to 5-Year Monthly
					Average		Average
06/08/23	20.5	8.5	8.78	9.20	2.4	2.3	2.2
06/17/23	21.7	8.5	8.78	9.20	2.5	2.5	2.4
06/29/23	37.0	8.5	8.78	8.78	4.3	4.2	4.0
07/17/23	43.3	8.5	9.44	10.14	5.1	4.6	4.3

Table 3. Comparison of exceptional event concentrations to historic 2019-2023 concentrations at the Rossville-William St. site monitor (AQS ID: 13-295-0004).

¹⁰ https://www.epa.gov/air-research/smoke-ready-toolbox-wildfires

¹¹ https://airgeorgia.org/

¹² https://airnowtech.org/navigator/

Figure 1 plots the 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for 2019-2023. Concentrations are generally below the Tier 2 value of 14.9 µg/m³, except when smoke from wildfires is present. Two of the selected exceptional events days in Table 3 are above the Tier 1 threshold of 22.35 µg/m³ (June 29 and July 17), making them 1.5 times greater than the highest 98th percentile of data over the last 5 years per the EPA's Tiering Tool. June 8 and June 17 fall into the Tier 2 category (greater than or equal to a threshold of the minimum annual 98th percentile for 24-hour PM_{2.5} data over the previous 5-years, but less than 1.5 times this threshold).



Figure 1. 24-hour PM_{2.5} concentrations for 2019-2023 observed at the Rossville-William St. site.

Maps from the AirNow Navigator are provided in Appendix C for each exceedance event in Table 3. The maps include NOAA HMS satellite detected fires, HMS smoke plumes, 24-hour PM_{2.5} concentrations across the United States, and HYSPLIT back-trajectories. These trajectories originate at the Rossville-Williams St. monitor's geographic location, begin at the time the exceedance was observed, and extend 72 hours back in time. Each trajectory starts at a different elevation above ground level (100 m, 1500 m, and 3000 m). The 1500 m and 3000 m tails are used to estimate the trajectories of smoke transported over a long range. The 100 m tail, nearer to the surface, is used to show local transport. Additionally, these values are chosen to estimate vertical transport near-surface and up to several hundred meters above the planetary boundary layer. Figures in Appendix D are provided to show ground level, daily PM_{2.5} concentrations and air quality indices (AQIs) in the southeast of the United States.

June 8, 2023

Shown in Figure C1, back-trajectories indicate that the smoke plume traveled through the Midwest of the United States. Fires in Canada had been on-going for months at the time the

exceedance was registered, and their emissions were likely mixed throughout the air column. As a result, the plume detected by the monitor is a mixture of emissions from fires in provinces of British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Ontario, and Quebec. Daily $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations increased to $20.5 \ \mu g/m^3$. Figure D1 shows that this enhancement occurred synchronously with elevated concentrations reported by monitors across the southeast, which follows from the large blanket of smoke over this region (Figure B1). Exceedances worsen the AQIs at southeastern sites from "Good" to either "Moderate" or "Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups."

June 17, 2023

Shown in Figure C2, back-trajectories indicate that the smoke plume traveled through the Midwest of the United States. Fires in Canada had been on-going for months at the time the exceedance was registered, and their emissions were likely mixed throughout the air column. As a result, the plume detected by the monitor is a mixture of emissions from fires in provinces of British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Ontario, and Quebec. The back-trajectories converge spatially approximately 12 hours before descending to near-surface level where observed, daily $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations increased to $21.7 \,\mu g/m^3$. Figure D2 shows that this enhancement occurred synchronously with elevated concentrations reported by monitors across the southeast, which follows from the large blanket of smoke over this region (Figure B2). Exceedances worsen the AQIs at southeastern sites from "Good" to "Moderate."

June 29, 2023

Shown in Figure C3, back-trajectories indicate that the smoke plume traveled through the Midwest of the United States. Fires in Canada had been on-going for months at the time the exceedance was registered, and their emissions were likely mixed throughout the air column. As a result, the plume detected by the monitor is a mixture of emissions from fires in provinces of British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Ontario, and Quebec. The back-trajectories converge spatially approximately one day before descending to near-surface level where observed, daily $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations increased to 37.0 µg/m³. Figure D3 shows that this enhancement occurred synchronously with elevated concentrations reported by monitors across the southeast, which follows from the large blanket of smoke over this region (Figure B3). Exceedances worsen the AQIs at southeastern sites from "Good" to either "Moderate" or "Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups."

July 17, 2023

Shown in Figure C4, back-trajectories indicate that the smoke plume traveled through the Midwest of the United States. Fires in Canada had been on-going for months at the time the exceedance was registered, and their emissions were likely mixed throughout the air column. As a result, the plume detected by the monitor is a mixture of emissions from fires in provinces of British Columbia, Alberta, and Saskatchewan. The back-trajectories all follow similar paths, converging spatially approximately three days before descending to near-surface level where observed, daily PM_{2.5} concentrations increased to 43.3 μ g/m³. Figure D4 shows that this enhancement occurred synchronously with elevated concentrations reported by monitors across the southeast, which follows from the large blanket of smoke over this region (Figure B4). Exceedances worsen the AQIs at southeastern sites from "Good" to either "Moderate" or "Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups."

The comparisons and analyses, provided here in this demonstration support the Georgia EPD's position that the fire event affected air quality in such a way that there exists a clear causal relationship between the specific event and the monitored exceedance or violation for the dates described in Table 1 and thus satisfies the clear causal relationship criterion.

5. Not reasonably Controllable or Preventable

This section satisfies the EER requirements at 40 CFR 50.14(c)(3)(iv)(A), CFR 50.1(j), 40 CFR 50.14(c)(3)(iv)(D), and 40 CFR 50.14(b)(4): The event was caused by a natural event; an exceptional event is one that is not reasonably controllable or preventable. Stated in section 40 CFR 50.14 (a)(8)(vii), the Administrator shall not require a State to provide case-specific justification to support the not reasonably controllable or preventable criterion for emissions-generating activity that occurs outside of the State's jurisdictional boundaries within which the concentration at issue was monitored.

6. Human Activity Unlikely to Recur at a Particular Location or Natural Event

This section satisfies the EER requirement at 40 CFR 50.14(c)(3)(iv)(E): A demonstration that the event was a human activity that is unlikely to recur at a particular location or was a natural event. The definition of wildfire in the EER is: "...any fire started by an unplanned ignition caused by lightning; accidental, human causes actions, or a prescribed fire that has developed into a wildfire. A wildfire that predominately occurs on wildland is a natural event." As stated in sections 2 and 4, the origin and evolution of the wildfires described in this demonstration occurred in Canada.

Based on the documentation provided in sections 2 and 4 of this demonstration, these events qualify as natural events as they spread uncontrolled through remote, natural (i.e. non-agricultural or silvicultural) lands. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) noted that many of the Canadian fires were ignited by summer lightning storms and largely burned in deeply wooded areas. The EPA generally considers the emissions of PM_{2.5} from wildfires to meet the regulatory definition of a natural event, defined as one 'in which human activity plays little or no direct causal role' (40 CFR 50.1(k)). As the Georgia EPD has shown that the demonstrated exceedances resulted from natural events, they should be considered for treatment as exceptional events.

7. Public Comment Period

The Georgia EPD will hold a 30-day public comment period starting on December 20, 2024, to receive communal input regarding the Exceptional Event Demonstration. Notification of the public comment period will be posted on the Georgia EPD website and emailed to interested stakeholders. Public comments received will be included in Appendix E of this demonstration, along with Georgia EPD's responses to these comments in Appendix F.

Appendix A: Active wildfires in Canada



Figure A1. Active wildfires in Canada on June 5-8, 2023.





Figure A2. Active wildfires in Canada on June 14-17, 2023.



Figure A3. Active wildfires in Canada on June 26-29, 2023.



Figure A4. Active wildfires in Canada on July 14-17, 2023 (Natural Resources Canada).



Figure B1. Map from the AirNow Navigator showing active fires (red) and smoke (grey) on June 5-8, 2023, plotted using the NOAA HMS over North America.



Figure B2. Map from the AirNow Navigator showing active fires (red) and smoke (grey) on June 14-17, 2023, plotted using the NOAA HMS over North America.



Figure B3. Map from the AirNow Navigator showing active fires (red) and smoke (grey) on June 26-29, 2023, plotted using the NOAA HMS over North America.



Figure 4. Map from the AirNow Navigator showing active fires (red) and smoke (grey) on July 14-17, 2023, plotted using the NOAA HMS over North America.



Figure C1. Exceptional event map from the AirNow Navigator for June 8, 2023.



Figure C2. Exceptional event map from the AirNow Navigator for June 17, 2023.



Figure C3. Exceptional event map from the AirNow Navigator for June 29, 2023.



Figure C4. Exceptional event map from the AirNow Navigator for July 17, 2023.

Appendix D: PM_{2.5} surface concentrations in the southeast June 8, 2023 PM_{2.5} Exceedance Report



AQI category - 24-hr average PM_{2.5}

- Good (0-12 ug/m3)
- Moderate (12.1-35.4 ug/m3)
- Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups (35.5-55.4 ug/m3)
- Unhealthy (55.5-150.4 ug/m3)
- Very unhealthy (150.5-250.4 ug/m3)
- Hazardous (>250 ug/m3)

Figure D1. Surface level, daily PM_{2.5} concentrations on June 8, 2023, across the southeast.



June 17, 2023 PM_{2.5} Exceedance Report

AQI category - 24-hr average PM_{2.5}

- Good (0-12 ug/m3)
- Moderate (12.1-35.4 ug/m3)
- Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups (35.5-55.4 ug/m3)
- Unhealthy (55.5-150.4 ug/m3)
- Very unhealthy (150.5-250.4 ug/m3)
- Hazardous (>250 ug/m3)

Figure D2. Surface level, daily PM_{2.5} concentrations on June 17, 2023, across the southeast.



June 29, 2023 PM_{2.5} Exceedance Report

AQI category - 24-hr average PM_{2.5}

FINAL

- Good (0-12 ug/m3)
- Moderate (12.1-35.4 ug/m3)
- Unhealthy for sensitive groups (35.5-55.4 ug/m3)
- Unhealthy (55.5-150.4 ug/m3)
- Very unhealthy (150.5-250.4 ug/m3)
- Hazardous (>250 ug/m3)

Figure D3. Surface level, daily PM_{2.5} concentrations on June 29, 2023, across the southeast.



July 17, 2023 PM_{2.5} Exceedance Report

AQI category - 24-hr average PM_{2.5}

FINAL

- Good (0-12 ug/m3)
- Moderate (12.1-35.4 ug/m3)
- Unhealthy for sensitive groups (35.5-55.4 ug/m3)
- Unhealthy (55.5-150.4 ug/m3)
- Very unhealthy (150.5-250.4 ug/m3)
- Hazardous (>250 ug/m3)

Figure D4. Surface level, daily PM_{2.5} concentrations on July 17, 2023, across the southeast.

Appendix E: Public comments

Appendix F: Responses to public comments