

Clean Power Plan Final Rule and State Plan Options

David Hoppock


Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions
Duke University

The US EPA Clean Power Plan GA EPD Stakeholder Meeting
October 8, 2015 Atlanta GA

Key Takeaways & Major Changes

- Change in compliance timeline, interim begins 2022
- BSER building blocks applied to interconnections to create category specific performance rates for fossil steam units and NGCC
- EPA also provides alternative blended state rate, mass-based limits for affected EGUs and affected EGUs + new
- Rates and mass limits have changed
- Modified compliance options, embrace of trading-ready
 - Mass-based plans must address risk of leakage
 - New rate-based compliance instrument: ERCs

CPP Compliance Timeline



- EPA is encouraging early action in 2020-2021
- Interim compliance period pushed back 2 years to 2022
- Three interim steps
- Two year compliance periods for final goal

BSER Building Blocks

BB1: Heat rate improvements at existing coal units

- Eastern 4.3%, Western 2.1%, ERCOT 2.3%

BB2: Substituting NGCC generation for higher emitting fossil steam (mostly coal) generation

BB3: Incremental renewable energy to displace fossil generation

No nuclear or energy efficiency in building blocks; they *can* be used for compliance

Category Specific Emission Performance Rates

BSER applied on interconnection basis


→ Select least stringent

2030 Final rate	Fossil steam	NGCC
	lbs/MWh	lbs/MWh
Eastern	1305	771
Western	<i>360</i>	<i>690</i>
ERCOT	<i>237</i>	<i>697</i>

- Same across states

Alternative blended rate


- Based on generation mix
- No longer using state/region specific assumptions about emissions reduction



Source: https://www.e-education.psu.edu/drupal6/files/geog469/images/NERC_Interconnection_1A.jpg


Decreased Variability Between States

(Southeast states blended rate comparison)




- The final rate goals are much less variable across the country compared to the proposal
- 31 states have less stringent targets (16 have more stringent targets) compared to the proposal

Compliance Options Overview



EPA's MAPPING OF THE STATE PLAN APPROACH OPTIONS



Rate-Based Plan Basics

- Compliance instrument: Emission Rate Credit (ERC)
- 1 ERC = 1 MWh of emissions-free generation added to the denominator
- ERCs are what affected units above their regulated rate turn into air regulator for compliance

$$\text{regulated rate} \geq \frac{(\text{unit annual emissions})}{(\text{unit annual gen} + \text{ERCs as needed})}$$

Rate-Based Plan Basics

- ERC-eligible resources:
 - qualifying renewables & energy efficiency
 - New and uprated nuclear
 - Affected units operating below their rate goal
 - Sub-category specific rate *or* state blended rate
 - Gas Shift-ERCs for NGCC generation (sub-category performance rates *only*)
- Requires process: must do EM&V for RE generation, EE savings

Rate-Based Plan Details

Sub-category specific performance rates	State-wide (blended) rate

Mass-Based Plan Basics

EPA calculates mass-based goal for existing sources

- New method

New source complement

Compliance instrument: allowance to emit 1 short ton of CO₂

New wrinkle: Mass-based plans need to demonstrate they have addressed the risk of leakage to new sources in state plan

3 options available to states

- Include new units under mass limits with new source complement
- Use an allocation method that counteracts leakage
- Other methods demonstrated by state to prevent leakage

Mass-Based Plans and Leakage

What is leakage: incentive to shift generation to new sources beyond what would occur using sub-category specific performance rates

3 options available to states

- Include new units under mass limits with new source complement
- Use an allocation method that counteracts leakage
 - Model rule: output based allocation set-aside to incentivize existing NGCC & RE set-aside to encourage RE generation
- Other methods demonstrated by state to prevent leakage
 - ‘credible analysis’ demonstrating state plan or circumstances make leakage unlikely

Mass-Based Allowance Allocation

What is a set-aside: allocating allowances for a specific purpose

- Output based set-aside
- RE set-aside
- CEIP set-aside

What is output based allocation: updating allocation mechanism that awards allowances to eligible sources based on generation

- Output based allocation model rule: to existing NGCC
- Creates incentive for generation

Otherwise EPA is flexible on allowance allocation

- e.g. grandfathering (historical generation, emissions), auction, give to Load Serving Entities and more

Trading-Ready State Plan Basics

What is “Trading Ready”?

Allows EGUs and others to trade compliance instruments **with the same definition** with entities in other states without a formal multistate agreement.

Mass	Rate
Allowance representing 1 short ton of CO2 emissions	Emission Rate Credit (ERC) representing 1 MWh of zero carbon generation or avoided emissions

*Mass can trade with mass and rate with rate.

Trading Ready State Plan Basics

Trading-Ready Plans must:

- Use mass or subcategorized rate
- Submit as trading-ready
- Use linked or common tracking system
 - Can use EPA's Allowance Tracking & Compliance System (ATCS)

State flexibility:

- Can limit trading partners, turn trading on or off by updating plan
- No plan modification if other states move in and out of trading ready

Proposed Model Rules & Federal Plan(s)

Mass

- Existing Units Only
- Set-asides to address risk of leakage to new NGCC
- Trading-ready

Rate

- Sub-Category Specific Rates
- Trading ready

Federal Plan: If fail to submit or EPA deems inadequate

- Similar to model rules
- Do not know if a state with a federal plan would be mass or rate

Zero-Emitting ERCs

Federal Plan proposal*

- On-shore utility scale wind
- Utility scale solar PV
- Concentrated solar
- Geothermal power
- New/uprate nuclear
- Utility scale hydro

Model Rule proposal

- All wind
- All solar (including distributed)
- Geothermal
- Hydropower
- Qualified biomass
- Wave
- Tidal
- Waste-to-energy
- New/uprate nuclear
- Non-affected combined heat and power
- Demand-side energy efficiency/
demand-side management

Thank you

david.hoppock@duke.edu