

SUMMARY PAGE

Name of Facility: City of Lavonia - Lavonia WPCP

NPDES Permit No.: GA0038661

This is a reissuance of the NPDES permit for the Lavonia WPCP. Up to 0.75 MGD (monthly average) of treated domestic wastewater is discharged to Unawatti Creek in the Savannah River Basin. The permit also includes effluent limitations and monitoring requirement for the expanded flows of 1.32 MGD. The permit expires on November 30, 2020.

The permit was placed on public notice from November 16, 2020 to January 4, 2021.

Please Note The Following Changes to the Proposed NPDES Permit From The Existing Permit:

Part I.B.1 – Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements For 0.75 MGD:

- Decreased monthly average ammonia limit from 13.8 to 12.3 mg/L from November through April in accordance with EPD's NPDES Permitting Strategy for Addressing Ammonia Toxicity, 2017.
- Reduced the daily maximum pH limit from 9.0 S.U. to 8.5 S.U. from May through October. This is based on the IWC % of the stream.
- Decreased monthly average total residual chlorine (TRC) limit from 0.020 to 0.018 mg/L from May through October. Revised TRC limit based on updated stream flow conditions.
- Increased monthly average total residual chlorine limit from 0.020 to 0.027 from November through April. Revised TRC limit based on updated stream flow conditions.

Part I.B.2 – Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements For 1.32 MGD:

• Decreased monthly average total residual chlorine limit from 0.020 to 0.015 mg/L for May - October. Revised TRC limit based on updated stream flow conditions.

Standard Conditions and Boilerplate Modifications:

The permit boilerplate includes modified language or added language consistent with current NPDES permits.

Final Permit Determinations and Public Comments:

l		Final issued permit did not change from the draft permit placed on public notice.
	\boxtimes	Public comments were received during public notice period.
[Public hearing was held on
ĺ	\boxtimes	Final permit includes changes from the draft permit placed on public notice. See attached permit
		revisions and/or permit fact sheet revisions.

Lavonia WPCP January 2021 NPDES Permit No. GA0038661 Page 1 of 1



ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION

Richard E. Dunn, Director

EPD Director's Office

2 Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive Suite 1456, East Tower Atlanta, Georgia 30334 404-656-4713

Honorable Courtney Umbehant, Mayor City of Lavonia 1221 Augusta Road Post Office Box 564 Lavonia, Georgia 30553

01/14/2021

RE: Permit Issuance

Lavonia Water Pollution Control Plant

NPDES Permit No. GA0038661

Franklin County, Savannah River Basin

Dear Mayor Umbehant:

Pursuant to the Georgia Water Quality Control Act, as amended; the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended; and the Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder, we have today issued the attached National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the referenced wastewater treatment facility.

Your facility has been assigned to the following EPD office for reporting and compliance:

Georgia Environnemental Protection Division Watershed Compliance Program 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive Suite 1152 East Atlanta, GA 30334

Please be advised that on and after the effective date indicated in the attached NPDES permit, the permittee must comply with all the terms, conditions and limitations of this permit.

If you have any questions, please contact Michele Brossett at 404-463-2229 or *michele.brossett@dnr.ga.gov*.

Sincerely,

Richard E. Dunn Director

PillEQj.

RED\mpb

Attachment: NPDES Permit No. GA0038661, Fact Sheet

cc: Marzieh Shahbazaz, EPD Watershed Compliance Program (marzieh.shahbazaz@dnr.ga.gov)

James Timothy Chitwood, City of Lavonia (timc@lavoniaga.gov)
Mr. Craig Hesterlee, EPA Region IV (hesterlee.craig@epa.gov)

EPA Region IV Mailbox (R4NPDESPermits@epa.gov)

Response to Comments

City of Lavonia Water Pollution Control Plant
NPDES Permit Nos. GA0038661
Franklin County, Savannah River Basin

Comment	EPD Response
First, the answer to the first question on the application, Question A, should have been yes. They are, in the application, proposing to discharge wastewater into waters of the state. And their proposed discharge of 0.75 MGD would be a significant portion of the flow of the creek that they are proposing to discharge into. If they answered Question A accurately, then they would be required to file Form 2A which is missing from the application.	The applicant did indicate an answer of "yes" for this question on the online form. Unfortunately, the response input into GEOS for this question did not transfer correctly from the online data entry table to the viewable PDF version. EPD will work to update this issue with the application PDF generation. NPDES 2A Form was completed and can be downloaded by going to https://geos.epd.georgia.gov/GA/GEOS/Public/Client/GA_GEOS/Public/Pages/PublicApplicationList.aspx and entering the Submittal ID of 473729 and clicking search. On the NPDES 2A Form under A.8.a. the applicant indicated the treatment facility discharges to the waters of the U.S.
Unfortunately, Google Maps gives the wrong location for 136 Allen Farm Road, so I had to search Google Maps for the WPCP. Once I found it, I realized that there should be nutrient concentration data accompanying the permit application. All of the ponding water at the WPCP has significant algal growth on it indicating that nutrient concentrations remain high through the process. It is likely that nutrient concentrations in the effluent will be high as well. Further treatment may be needed. Therefore, the answer to Question 1 Section VII should be yes and that limits should apply to nutrient concentrations in the effluent.	Per the code of federal regulations 40 CFR §122.1, facilities greater than or equal to 0.1 MGD are required to collect at least 3 samples that are no more than 4.5 years old at the time the application is submitted for ammonia, as N; total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN); nitrate-nitrite; total phosphorus, as P. Effluent data, including data for the above listed nutrients can be found in the application on the NPDES 2A Form under sections A.12. and B.6. Total phosphorus limits are included in this permit for B.2 limits and are based on the EPD's <i>Strategy for addressing Phosphorus Loadings in State Waters</i> , November 2011. The monthly average ammonia limit was reduced from 13.8 mg/L to 12.3 mg/L from November through April in accordance with EPD's <i>NPDES Permitting Strategy for Addressing Ammonia Toxicity</i> , 2017.

Response to Comments Lavonia WPCP Page 2 of 2

Orthophosphate, TKN, organic nitrogen, and nitrate-nitrite monitoring has been included in the draft permit. The data will be used to determine nutrient speciation and to quantify nutrient loadings in the Savanah River Basin.

Georgia National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Application Part 1 Section VII of the application is referencing the federal effluent limitation guidelines for industrial facilities at 40 CFR § 400-471, while the municipal standards are found at 40 CFR § 133. This question is where industrial facilities would indicate which point source category and subcategory is applicable to their process. City of Lavonia WPCP is a municipal facility; thus, Section VII is not applicable.

If the coordinates for the outfall are correct in the application, they are piping the WPCP effluent over a mile downstream before releasing it into Unawatti Creek indicating that they did not want to contaminate Reservoir 38 with nutrients and/or chlorine from the WPCP effluent. Given that the WPCP is located immediately adjacent to Bear Creek, it seems odd that they would go to the extra expense of piping the effluent that far.

Discharge is into Unawatti Creek as reported in the original application. However, coordinates in application were subsequently revised to 34.402713, -83.142673 to reflect actual outfall location. The revised coordinates were submitted to EPD on 10/14/2020.

The City of Lavonia WPCP's treatment facility was constructed in 1986. In February 2000, the facility's permit was reissued with more stringent limits. The City conducted an antidegradation review and the following options were evaluated: (1) land treatment system, (2) upgrading the facility to meet the more stringent limits, and (3) relocating the discharge point. On August 3, 2004, EPD concurred with the City of Lavonia WPCP's Antidegradation Review Report, for the proposed relocation of the outfall to Unawatti Creek.



PERMIT REVISIONS

City of Lavonia / Lavonia WPCP NPDES Permit No. GA0038661 (Franklin County)

Were there a	any revisions between the draft and the final permit? \boxtimes Yes \square No
If yes, speci	fy:
Part I.D.2	Updated to the new e-Reporting Phase II compliance date of December 21, 2025 per 40 CFR 127.16. The revisions to the Rule became effective January 4, 2021.

Page 1 of 1 December 2018





ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT

In accordance with the provisions of the Georgia Water Quality Control Act (Georgia Laws 1964, p. 416, as amended), hereinafter called the State Act; the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S. C. 1251 et seq.), hereinafter called the Federal Act; and the Rules and Regulations promulgated pursuant to each of these Acts,

City of Lavonia 12221 Augusta Road Post Office Box 564 Lavonia, Georgia 30553

is authorized to discharge from a facility located at

Lavonia Water Pollution Control Plant 136 Allen Farm Road Lavonia, Georgia 30553 (Franklin County)

to receiving waters

Unawati Creek (Savannah River Basin)

in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth in the permit.

This permit is issued in reliance upon the permit application signed on April 20, 2020, any other applications upon which this permit is based, supporting data entered therein or attached thereto, and any subsequent submittal of supporting data.

This permit shall become effective on February 01, 2021.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, January 31, 2026.



PillEQJ.

Director,

Environmental Protection Division

PART I

EPD is the Environmental Protection Division of the Department of Natural Resources.

The Federal Act referred to is The Clean Water Act.

The State Act referred to is The Water Quality Control Act (Act No. 870).

The State Rules referred to are The Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control (Chapter 391-3-6).

A. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. SLUDGE DISPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

Sludge shall be disposed of according to the regulations and guidelines established by the EPD and the Federal Act section 405(d) and (e), and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). In land applying nonhazardous municipal sewage sludge, the permittee shall comply with the general criteria outlined in the most current version of the EPD "Guidelines for Land Application of Sewage Sludge (Biosolids) at Agronomic Rates" and with the State Rules, Chapter 391-3-6-.17. Before disposing of municipal sewage sludge by land application or any method other than co-disposal in a permitted sanitary landfill, the permittee shall submit a sludge management plan to EPD for written approval. This plan will become a part of the NPDES Permit after approval and modification of the permit. The permittee shall notify the EPD of any changes planned in an approved sludge management plan.

If an applicable management practice or numerical limitation for pollutants in sewage sludge is promulgated under Section 405(d) of the Federal Act after approval of the plan, then the plan shall be modified to conform with the new regulations.

2. SLUDGE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee shall develop and implement procedures to ensure adequate year-round sludge disposal. The permittee shall monitor and maintain records documenting the quantity of sludge removed from the facility. Records shall be maintained documenting that the quantity of solids removed from the facility equals the solids generated on an average day. The total quantity of sludge removed from the facility during the reporting period shall be reported each month with the Discharge Monitoring Reports as required under Part I.D.1. of this permit. The quantity shall be reported on a dry weight basis (dry tons).

3. INTRODUCTION OF POLLUTANTS INTO THE PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS (POTW)

The permittee must notify EPD of:

- a. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger that would be subject to Sections 301 or 306 of the Federal Act if the pollutants were directly discharged to a receiving stream; and
- b. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants from a source that existed when the permit was issued.

This notice shall include information on the quality and quantity of the indirect discharge introduced and any anticipated impact on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

4. EFFLUENT TOXICITY AND BIOMONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established by section 307(a) of the Federal Act and with Chapter 391-3-6-.03(5)(e) of the State Rules and may not discharge toxic pollutants in concentrations or combinations that are harmful to humans, animals, or aquatic life.

If toxicity is suspected in the effluent, the EPD may require the permittee to perform any of the following actions:

- a. Acute biomonitoring tests;
- b. Chronic biomonitoring tests;
- c. Stream studies;
- d. Priority pollutant analyses;
- e. Toxicity reduction evaluations (TRE); or
- f. Any other appropriate study.

The EPD will specify the requirements and methodologies for performing any of these tests or studies. Unless other concentrations are specified by the EPD, the critical concentration used to determine toxicity in biomonitoring tests will be the effluent instream wastewater concentration (IWC) based on the permitted monthly average flow of the facility and the critical low flow of the receiving stream (7Q10). The endpoints that will be reported are the effluent concentration that is lethal to 50% of the test organisms (LC50) if the test is for acute toxicity and the no observed effect concentration (NOEC) of effluent if the test is for chronic toxicity.

The permittee must eliminate effluent toxicity and supply the EPD with data and evidence to confirm toxicity elimination.

B.1. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Discharge to Unawatti Creek - Outfall #001 (34.402713°, -83.142673°):

a. The discharge from the water pollution control plant shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below starting on the effective date of the permit and continuing until EPD provides approval of construction completion and written authorization to operate under the B.2. effluent limitations (1.32 MGD):

Parameters	Discharge limitations in mg/L (kg/day) unless otherwise specified		Monitoring Requirements		ts
	Monthly Average	Weekly Average	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	Sample Location
Flow (MGD)	0.75	0.94	Seven Days/Week	Continuous Recording	Effluent
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (1)	20.0 (56.9)	30.0 (71.1)	Two Days/Week	Composite	Influent & Effluent
Total Suspended Solids (1)	20 (56.9)	30 (71.1)	Two Days/Week	Composite	Influent & Effluent
Ammonia, as N (2)			Two Days/Week	Composite	Effluent
January – April	12.3 (35.0)	18.5 (43.7)			
May - October	4.7 (13.4)	7.1 (16.7)			
November – December	12.3 (35.0)	18.5 (43.7)			
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (#/100 mL) (3)	200	400	One Day/Week	Grab	Effluent

Numeric limits only apply to the effluent.

Ammonia, organic nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) must be analyzed or calculated from the same sample. Organic nitrogen, as N = TKN – ammonia, as N.

⁽³⁾ Samples for fecal coliform bacteria shall be collected after the chlorine contact chamber.

B.1. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

(CONTINUED)

Discharge to Unawatti Creek - Outfall #001 (34.402713°, -83.142673°):

	Discharge limitations in	Monitorin	ng Requiremen	ts
Parameters	mg/L unless otherwise specified	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	Sample Location
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand Removal, Minimum (%) (1)	85	See Below	See Below	See Below
Total Suspended Solids Removal, Minimum (%) (1)	85	See Below	See Below	See Below
pH, Daily Minimum – Daily Maximum (Standard Unit)		Five Days/Week	Grab	Effluent
January - April	6.0 - 9.0			
May - October	6.0 - 8.5			
November - December	6.0 - 9.0			
Total Residual Chlorine, Daily Maximum		Five Days/Week	Grab	Effluent
January - April	0.027			
May - October	0.018			
November - December	0.027			
Dissolved Oxygen, Daily Minimum	6.0	Five Days/Week	Grab	Effluent
Orthophosphate, as P (2)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent
Total Phosphorus, as P (2)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent
Organic Nitrogen, as N (3)	Report	One Day/Month	Calculated	Effluent
Nitrate-Nitrite, as N (3)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, as N (3)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent

Percent removal shall be calculated from monthly average influent and effluent concentrations. Influent and effluent samples shall be collected at approximately the same time.

(Monitoring requirements continued on the next page)

Total phosphorus and orthophosphate must be analyzed from the same sample.

Ammonia, organic nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) must be analyzed or calculated from the same sample. Organic nitrogen, as N = TKN – ammonia, as N

- b. The monthly average, other than for fecal coliform bacteria, is the arithmetic mean of values obtained for samples collected during a calendar month.
- c. The weekly average, other than for fecal coliform bacteria, is the arithmetic mean of values obtained for samples collected during a 7-day period. The week begins 12:00 midnight Saturday and ends at 12:00 midnight the following Saturday. To define a different starting time for the sampling period, the permittee must notify the EPD in writing. For reporting required by Part I.D.1. of this permit, a week that starts in one month and ends in another month shall be considered part of the second month. The permittee may calculate and report the weekly average as a 7-day moving average.
- d. Fecal coliform bacteria will be reported as the geometric mean of the values for the samples collected during the time periods in I.B.1.b. and I.B.1.c.
- e. Influent monitoring: Unless otherwise specified, influent samples shall be collected before any return or recycle flows. These flows include returned activated sludge, supernatants, centrates, filtrates, and backwash.
- f. Effluent monitoring: Unless otherwise specified, effluent samples shall be collected after the final treatment process and before discharge to receiving waters.
- g. A composite sample shall consist of a minimum of 5 subsamples collected at least once every 2 hours for at least 8 hours and shall be composited proportionately to flow.
- h. Flow measurements shall be conducted using the flow measuring device(s) in accordance with the approved design of the facility. If instantaneous measurements are required, then the permittee shall have a primary flow measuring device that is correctly installed and maintained. If continuous recording measurements are required, then flow measurements must be made using continuous recording equipment. Calibration shall be maintained of the continuous recording instrumentation to \pm 10% of the actual flow.

Flow shall be measured manually to check the flow meter calibration at a frequency of once a month. If secondary flow instruments are in use and malfunction or fail to maintain calibration as required, the flow shall be computed from manual measurements or by other method(s) approved by EPD until such time as the secondary flow instrument is repaired. For facilities which utilize alternate technologies for measuring flow, the flow measurement device must be calibrated semi-annually by qualified personnel.

Records of the calibration checks shall be maintained.

- i. If secondary flow instruments malfunction or fail to maintain calibration as required in I.B.1.h., the flow shall be computed from manual measurements taken at the times specified for the collection of composite samples.
- j. Some parameters will be reported as "not detected" when they are below the detection limit and will then be considered in compliance with the effluent limit. The detection limit will also be reported.

B.2 EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

Discharge to Unawatti Creek - Outfall #001 (34.402713°, -83.142673°):

a. The discharge from the water pollution control shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below starting on the date EPD provides approval of construction completion and written authorization to operate under the B.2 effluent limitations (1.32 MGD):

Parameters	Discharge limitations in mg/L (kg/day) unless otherwise specified		Monitoring Requirements		ts
	Monthly Average	Weekly Average	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	Sample Location
Flow (MGD)	1.32	1.65	Seven Days/Week	Continuous Recording	Effluent
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand	20.0 (100)	30.0 (125)	Three Days/Week	Composite	Influent & Effluent
Total Suspended Solids (1)	20 (100)	30 (125)	Three Days/Week	Composite	Influent & Effluent
Total Phosphorus, as P (2)	1.0 (5.0)	1.5 (6.3)	Three Days/Week	Composite	Effluent
Ammonia, as N ⁽³⁾			Three Days/Week	Composite	Effluent
January – April	5.7 (28.5)	8.55 (35.7)			
May - October	2.0 (10.0)	3.0 (12.5)			
November – December	5.7 (28.2)	8.55 (35.7)			
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (#/100 mL) (4)	200	400	Two Days/Week	Grab	Effluent

Numeric limits only apply to the effluent.

- (4) Chlorine-based disinfectant cannot be used to treat fecal coliform bacteria.
 - (Effluent limitations continued on the next page)

Total phosphorus and orthophosphate must be analyzed from the same sample.

Ammonia, organic nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) must be analyzed or calculated from the same sample. Organic nitrogen, as N = TKN – ammonia, as N.

B.2. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

(CONTINUED)

Discharge to Unawatti Creek - Outfall #001 (34.402713°, -83.142673°):

	Discharge limitations in	Monitorii	ng Requiremen	ıts
Parameters	mg/L unless otherwise specified	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	Sample Location
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand Removal, Minimum (%) (1)	85	See Below	See Below	See Below
Total Suspended Solids Removal, Minimum (%) (1)	85	See Below	See Below	See Below
pH, Daily Minimum – Daily Maximum (Standard Unit)	6.0 - 8.5	Seven Days/Week	Grab	Effluent
Total Residual Chlorine, Daily Maximum (2)		Seven Days/Week	Grab	Effluent
January - April	0.020			
May – October	0.015			
November – December	0.020			
Dissolved Oxygen, Daily Minimum	6.0	Seven Days/Week	Grab	Effluent
Orthophosphate, as P (3)	Report	Three Days/Week	Composite	Effluent
Organic Nitrogen, as N ⁽⁴⁾	Report	One Day/Month	Calculated	Effluent
Nitrate-Nitrite, as N (4)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, as N ⁽⁴⁾	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent
Whole Effluent Toxicity Test (%) (5)	Report NOEC	See Below	Composite	Effluent
Priority Pollutants (5)	Report	See Below	Grab	Effluent
Long-Term Biochemical Oxygen Demand (6)	Report	See Below	Composite	Effluent

- Percent removal shall be calculated from monthly average influent and effluent concentrations. Influent and effluent samples shall be collected at approximately the same time.
- Chlorine-based products cannot be used as primary disinfection system. However, chlorine may be used for other purposes at the facility. Monitoring requirements and effluent limitation for Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) only apply when chlorine is in use at the facility. The permittee must use the appropriate No Data Indicator (NODI) code on the Discharge Monitoring Reports when TRC monitoring is not required.
- Total phosphorus and orthophosphate must be analyzed from the same sample.
- Ammonia, organic nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) must be analyzed or calculated from the same sample. Organic nitrogen, as N = TKN ammonia, as N
- (5) Refer to Part I.C.9. CHRONIC WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY
- (6) Refer to Part I.C.10. PRIORITY POLLUTANTS
- (7) Refer to Part I.C.11. LONG-TERM BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND

(Monitoring requirements continued on the next page)

- b. The monthly average, other than for fecal coliform bacteria, is the arithmetic mean of values obtained for samples collected during a calendar month.
- c. The weekly average, other than for fecal coliform bacteria, is the arithmetic mean of values obtained for samples collected during a 7-day period. The week begins 12:00 midnight Saturday and ends at 12:00 midnight the following Saturday. To define a different starting time for the sampling period, the permittee must notify the EPD in writing. For reporting required by Part I.D.1. of this permit, a week that starts in one month and ends in another month shall be considered part of the second month. The permittee may calculate and report the weekly average as a 7-day moving average.
- d. Fecal coliform bacteria will be reported as the geometric mean of the values for the samples collected during the time periods in I.B.2.b. and I.B.2.c.
- e. Influent monitoring: Unless otherwise specified, influent samples shall be collected before any return or recycle flows. These flows include returned activated sludge, supernatants, centrates, filtrates, and backwash.
- f. Effluent monitoring: Unless otherwise specified, effluent samples shall be collected after the final treatment process and before discharge to receiving waters.
- g. A composite sample shall consist of a minimum of 5 subsamples collected at least once every 2 hours for at least 8 hours and shall be composited proportionately to flow.
- h. Flow measurements shall be conducted using the flow measuring device(s) in accordance with the approved design of the facility. If instantaneous measurements are required, then the permittee shall have a primary flow measuring device that is correctly installed and maintained. If continuous recording measurements are required, then flow measurements must be made using continuous recording equipment. Calibration shall be maintained of the continuous recording instrumentation to \pm 10% of the actual flow.

Flow shall be measured manually to check the flow meter calibration at a frequency of once a month. If secondary flow instruments are in use and malfunction or fail to maintain calibration as required, the flow shall be computed from manual measurements or by other method(s) approved by EPD until such time as the secondary flow instrument is repaired. For facilities which utilize alternate technologies for measuring flow, the flow measurement device must be calibrated semi-annually by qualified personnel.

Records of the calibration checks shall be maintained.

- i. If secondary flow instruments malfunction or fail to maintain calibration as required in I.B.2.h., the flow shall be computed from manual measurements taken at the times specified for the collection of composite samples
- j. Some parameters will be reported as "not detected" when they are below the detection limit and will then be considered in compliance with the effluent limit. The detection limit will also be reported.

C. MONITORING AND REPORTING

1. REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLING

Samples and measurements of the monitored waste shall represent the volume and nature of the waste stream. The permittee shall maintain a written sampling and monitoring schedule.

2. SAMPLING PERIOD

- a. Unless otherwise specified in this permit, quarterly samples shall be taken during the periods January-March, April-June, July-September, and October-December.
- b. Unless otherwise specified in this permit, semiannual samples shall be taken during the periods January-June and July-December.
- c. Unless otherwise specified in this permit, annual samples shall be taken during the period of January-December.

3. MONITORING PROCEDURES

All analytical methods, sample containers, sample preservation techniques, and sample holding times must be consistent with the techniques and methods listed in 40 CFR Part 136. The analytical method used shall be sufficiently sensitive. EPA-approved methods must be applicable to the concentration ranges of the NPDES permit samples.

4. RECORDING OF RESULTS

For each required parameter analyzed, the permittee shall record:

- a. The exact place, date, and time of sampling, and the person(s) collecting the sample. For flow proportioned composite samples, this shall include the instantaneous flow and the corresponding volume of each sample aliquot, and other information relevant to document flow proportioning of composite samples;
- b. The dates and times the analyses were performed;
- c. The person(s) who performed the analyses;
- d. The analytical procedures or methods used; and
- e. The results of all required analyses.

5. ADDITIONAL MONITORING BY PERMITTEE

If the permittee monitors required parameters at the locations designated in I.B. more frequently than required, the permittee shall analyze all samples using approved analytical methods specified in I.C.3. The results of this additional monitoring shall be included in calculating and reporting the values on the Discharge Monitoring Report forms. The permittee shall indicate the monitoring frequency on the report. The EPD may require in writing more frequent monitoring, or monitoring of other pollutants not specified in this permit.

6. RECORDS RETENTION

The permittee shall retain records of:

- a. All laboratory analyses performed including sample data, quality control data, and standard curves;
- b. Calibration and maintenance records of laboratory instruments;
- c. Calibration and maintenance records and recordings from continuous recording instruments;
- d. Process control monitoring records;
- e. Facility operation and maintenance records;
- f. Copies of all reports required by this permit;
- g. All data and information used to complete the permit application; and
- h. All monitoring data related to sludge use and disposal.

These records shall be kept for at least three years. Sludge handling records must be kept for at least five years. Either period may be extended by EPD written notification.

7. PENALTIES

Both the Federal and State Acts provide that any person who falsifies or tampers with any monitoring device or method required under this permit, or who makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record submitted or required by this permit shall, if convicted, be punished by a fine or by imprisonment or by both. The Acts include procedures for imposing civil penalties for violations or for negligent or intentional failure or refusal to comply with any final or emergency order of the Director of the EPD.

8. WATERSHED PROTECTION PLAN

The permittee has a Watershed Protection Plan that has been approved by EPD. Upon receiving EPD written authorization to commence operation under B.2. effluent limitations (1.32 MGD), the watershed protection plan shall be enforceable through this permit.

Each June 30th the permittee is to submit the following to EPD:

- a. An annual certification statement documenting that the plan is being implemented as approved. The certification statement shall read as follows: "I certify, under penalty of law, that the Watershed Protection Plan is being implemented. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."
- b. All Watershed Plan data collected during the previous year in an electronic format. This data shall be archived using a digital format such as a spreadsheet developed in coordination with EPD. All archived records, data, and information pertaining to the Watershed Protection Plan shall be maintained permanently.
- c. A progress report that provides a summary of the BMPs that have been implemented and documented water quality improvements. The progress report shall also include any necessary changes to the Watershed Protection Plan.

The report and other information shall be submitted to EPD at the address below:

Environmental Protection Division
Watershed Planning and Monitoring Program
2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SE
Suite 1152 East
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

9. CHRONIC WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET)

Part I.B.2 (1.32 MGD):

The permittee shall conduct one chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test <u>for four consecutive quarters</u> after receiving EPD written authorization to commence operation under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (1.32 MGD), with the first test conducted within 90 days of the authorization. The testing must be conducted in accordance with the most current U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) chronic aquatic toxicity testing manuals. The referenced document is entitled Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, 4th Edition, U.S. EPA, 821-R-02-013, October 2002. Definitive tests must be run on the same samples concurrently using both an invertebrate species (i.e., *Ceriodaphnia dubia*) and a vertebrate species (i.e., *Pimephales promelas*). The testing must include a dilution equal to the facility's instream wastewater concentration (IWC) of 55%.

EPD will evaluate the WET tests submitted to determine whether toxicity has been demonstrated. An effluent discharge will not be considered toxic if the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) is greater than or equal to the Instream Wastewater Concentration (IWC) of 55%. The results of the tests shall be submitted to EPD with the permittee's monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports.

Within fifteen months of receiving authorization to operate under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (1.32 MGD), the permittee shall submit a report to EPD that includes a summary of the effluent data collected as well as copies of all the analytical laboratory reports. The report shall be submitted to EPD at the address below:

Environmental Protection Division Wastewater Regulatory Program 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SE Suite 1152 East Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Upon receipt of the report, EPD will evaluate the results. If the test results indicate effluent toxicity, the permittee may be required to perform additional tests or studies in accordance with Part I.C.5 of the permit and/or the permit may be modified to include a chronic WET limit.

10. PRIORITY POLLUTANTS

Part I.B.2. (1.32 MGD):

The permittee must conduct one scan of the priority pollutants <u>for three consecutive quarters</u> after receiving EPD written authorization to commence operation under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (1.32 MGD), with the first scan conducted within 90 days of the authorization. The priority pollutant scans must represent seasonal variation. Total recoverable mercury must be sampled and analyzed using EPA Method 1631E. The results of the tests shall be submitted to EPD with the permittee's monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports.

Within fifteen months of receiving authorization to operate under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (1.32 MGD), the permittee shall submit a report to EPD that includes a summary of the effluent data collected as well as copies of all the analytical laboratory reports. The report shall be submitted to EPD at the address below:

Environmental Protection Division Wastewater Regulatory Program 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SE Suite 1152 East Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Upon receipt of the report, EPD will conduct a reasonable potential evaluation. If substances are measured at levels of concern, then the permittee may be required to perform additional priority pollutant analyses in accordance with Part I.C.5 or the permit may be modified to include effluent limitations for priority pollutants.

11. LONG-TERM BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND TESTING

Part I.B.2. (1.32 MGD):

The permittee shall perform a 120-day Long-Term BOD test once during the permit cycle. The test should be performed on an effluent sample collected during the critical period from June 1 through September 30. The results of this test shall be submitted to EPD at least 180 days prior to the permit expiration date to the following address:

Environmental Protection Division
Watershed Planning and Monitoring Program
2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SE
Suite 1152 East
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

D. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- 1. The permittee must electronically report the DMR, OMR and additional monitoring data using the web based electronic NetDMR reporting system, unless a waiver is granted by EPD.
 - a. The permittee must comply with the Federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Electronic Reporting regulations in 40 CFR §127. The permittee must electronically report the DMR, OMR, and additional monitoring data using the web based electronic NetDMR reporting system online at: https://netdmr.epa.gov/netdmr/public/home.htm
 - b. Monitoring results obtained during the calendar month shall be summarized for each month and reported on the DMR. The results of each sampling event shall be reported on the OMR and submitted as an attachment to the DMR.
 - c. The permittee shall submit the DMR, OMR and additional monitoring data no later than 11:59 p.m. on the 15th day of the month following the sampling period.
 - d. All other reports required herein, unless otherwise stated, shall be submitted to the EPD Office listed on the permit issuance letter signed by the Director of EPD.
- 2. <u>No later than December 21, 2025</u>, the permittee must electronically report the following compliance monitoring data and reports using the online web based electronic system approved by EPD, unless a waiver is granted by EPD:
 - a. Sewage Sludge/Biosolids Annual Program Reports provided that the permittee has an approved Sewage Sludge (Biosolids) Plan;
 - b. Pretreatment Program Reports provided that the permittee has an approved Industrial Pretreatment Program in this permit;
 - c. Sewer Overflow/Bypass Event Reports;

- d. Noncompliance Notification;
- e. Other noncompliance; and
- f. Bypass

3. OTHER REPORTS

All other reports required in this permit not listed above in Part I.D.2 or unless otherwise stated, shall be submitted to the EPD Office listed on the permit issuance letter signed by the Director of EPD.

4. OTHER NONCOMPLIANCE

All instances of noncompliance not reported under Part I.B. and Part II. A. shall be reported to EPD at the time the monitoring report is submitted.

5. SIGNATORY REQUIREMENTS

All reports, certifications, data or information submitted in compliance with this permit or requested by EPD must be signed and certified as follows:

- a. Any State or NPDES Permit Application form submitted to the EPD shall be signed as follows in accordance with the Federal Regulations, 40 C.F.R. 122.22:
 - 1. For a corporation, by a responsible corporate officer. A responsible corporate officer means:
 - i. a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision making functions for the corporation, or
 - ii. the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities employing more than 250 persons or having gross annual sales or expenditures exceeding \$25 million (in second-quarter 1980 dollars), if authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
 - 2. For a partnership or sole proprietorship, by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or
 - 3. For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public facility, by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.

- b. All other reports or requests for information required by the permit issuing authority shall be signed by a person designated in (a) above or a duly authorized representative of such person, if:
 - 1. The representative so authorized is responsible for the overall operation of the facility from which the discharge originates, e.g., a plant manager, superintendent or person of equivalent responsibility;
 - 2. The authorization is made in writing by the person designated under (a) above; and
 - 3. The written authorization is submitted to the Director.
- c. Any changes in written authorization submitted to the permitting authority under (b) above which occur after the issuance of a permit shall be reported to the permitting authority by submitting a copy of a new written authorization which meets the requirements of (b) and (b.1) and (b.2) above.
- d. Any person signing any document under (a) or (b) above shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

PART II

A. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

1. PROPER OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The permittee shall properly maintain and operate efficiently all treatment or control facilities and related equipment installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with this permit. Efficient operation and maintenance include effective performance, adequate funding, adequate operator staffing and training, and adequate laboratory and process controls, including appropriate quality assurance procedures. Back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems shall be operated only when necessary to achieve permit compliance.

2. PLANNED CHANGE

Any anticipated facility expansions, or process modifications which will result in new, different, or increased discharges of pollutants requires the submission of a new NPDES permit application. If the changes will not violate the permit effluent limitations, the permittee may notify EPD without submitting an application. The permit may then be modified to specify and limit any pollutants not previously limited.

3. TWENTY-FOUR HOUR REPORTING

If, for any reason the permittee does not comply with, or will be unable to comply with any effluent limitations specified in the permittee's NPDES permit, the permittee shall provide EPD with an oral report within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances followed by a written report within five (5) days of becoming aware of such condition. The written submission shall contain the following information:

- a. A description of the noncompliance and its cause; and
- b. The period of noncompliance, including the exact date and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue; and
- c. The steps taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncomplying discharge.

4. ANTICIPATED NONCOMPLIANCE NOTIFICATION

The permittee shall give written notice to the EPD at least 10 days before:

- a. Any planned changes in the permitted facility; or
- b. Any activity which may result in noncompliance with the permit.

5. OTHER NONCOMPLIANCE

The permittee must report all instances of noncompliance not reported under other specific reporting requirements, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information required under conditions of twenty-four hour reporting.

6. OPERATOR CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

The person responsible for the daily operation of the facility must be a Class II Certified Operator in compliance with the Georgia State Board of Examiners for Certification of Water and Wastewater Plant Operators and Laboratory Analysts Act, as amended, and as specified by Subparagraph 391-3-6-.12 of the Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control. All other operators must have the minimum certification required by this Act.

7. LABORATORY ANALYST CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

Laboratory Analysts must be certified in compliance with the Georgia State Board of Examiners for Certification of Water and Wastewater Treatment Plant Operators and Laboratory Analysts Act, as amended.

8. BYPASSING

Any diversion of wastewater from or bypassing of wastewater around the permitted treatment works is prohibited, except if:

- a. Bypassing is unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
- b. There are no feasible alternatives to bypassing; and
- c. The permittee notifies the EPD at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.

Feasible alternatives to bypassing include use of auxiliary treatment facilities and retention of untreated waste. The permittee must take all possible measures to prevent bypassing during routine preventative maintenance by installing adequate back-up equipment.

The permittee shall operate the facility and the sewer system to minimize discharge of pollutants from combined sewer overflows or bypasses and may be required by the EPD to submit a plan and schedule to reduce bypasses, overflows, and infiltration.

Any unplanned bypass must be reported following the requirements for noncompliance notification specified in II.A.3. The permittee may be liable for any water quality violations that occur as a result of bypassing the facility.

9. POWER FAILURES

If the primary source of power to this water pollution control facility is reduced or lost, the permittee shall use an alternative source of power to reduce or control all discharges to maintain permit compliance.

10. DUTY TO MITIGATE

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge disposal which might adversely affect human health or the environment.

11. NOTICE CONCERNING ENDANGERING WATERS OF THE STATE

Whenever, because of an accident or otherwise, any toxic or taste and color producing substance, or any other substance which would endanger downstream users of the waters of the State or would damage property, is discharged into such waters, or is so placed that it might flow, be washed, or fall into them, it shall be the duty of the person in charge of such substances at the time to forthwith notify EPD in person or by telephone of the location and nature of the danger, and it shall be such person's further duty to immediately take all reasonable and necessary steps to prevent injury to property and downstream users of said water.

Spills and Major Spills:

A "spill" is any discharge of raw sewage by a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) to the waters of the State.

A "major spill" means:

- 1. The discharge of pollutants into waters of the State by a POTW that exceeds the weekly average permitted effluent limit for biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) or total suspended solids by 50 percent or greater in one day, provided that the effluent discharge concentration is equal to or greater than 25 mg/L for biochemical oxygen demand or total suspended solids.
- 2. Any discharge of raw sewage that 1) exceeds 10,000 gallons or 2) results in water quality violations in the waters of the State.

"Consistently exceeding effluent limitation" means a POTW exceeding the 30 day average limit for biochemical oxygen demand or total suspended solids for at least five days out of each seven day period during a total period of 180 consecutive days.

The following specific requirements shall apply to POTW's. If a spill or major spill occurs, the owner of a POTW shall immediately:

- a. Notify EPD, in person or by telephone, when a spill or major spill occurs in the system.
- b. Report the incident to the local health department(s) for the area affected by the incident.

The report at a minimum shall include the following:

- 1. Date of the spill or major spill;
- 2. Location and cause of the spill or major spill;
- 3. Estimated volume discharged and name of receiving waters; and
- 4. Corrective action taken to mitigate or reduce the adverse effects of the spill or major spill.
- c. Post a notice as close as possible to where the spill or major spill occurred and where the spill entered State waters and also post additional notices along portions of the waterway affected by the incident (i.e. bridge crossings, boat ramps, recreational areas, and other points of public access to the affected waterway). The notice at a minimum shall include the same information required in 11(b)(1-4) above. These notices shall remain in place for a minimum of seven days after the spill or major spill has ceased.
- d. Within 24 hours of becoming aware of a spill or major spill, the owner of a POTW shall report the incident to the local media (television, radio, and print media). The report shall include the same information required in 11(b)(1-4) above.
- e. Within 5 days (of the date of the spill or major spill), the owner of a POTW shall submit to EPD a written report which includes the same information required in 11(b)(1-4) above.
- f. Within 7 days (after the date of a major spill), the owner of a POTW responsible for the major spill, shall publish a notice in the largest legal organ of the County where the incident occurred. The notice shall include the same information required in 11(b)(1-4) above.
- g. The owner of a POTW shall immediately establish a monitoring program of the receiving waters affected by a major spill or by consistently exceeding an effluent limit, with such monitoring being at the expense of the POTW for at least one year. The monitoring program shall include an upstream sampling point as well as sufficient downstream locations to accurately characterize the impact of the major spill or the consistent exceedence of effluent limitations described in the definition of "Consistently exceeding effluent limitation" above. As a minimum, the following parameters shall be monitored in the receiving stream:
 - 1. Dissolved Oxygen;
 - 2. Fecal Coliform Bacteria:
 - 3. pH;
 - 4. Temperature; and
 - 5. Other parameters required by the EPD.

The monitoring and reporting frequency as well as the need to monitor additional parameters, will be determined by EPD. The results of the monitoring will be provided by the POTW owner to EPD and all downstream public agencies using the affected waters as a source of a public water supply.

h. Within 24 hours of becoming aware of a major spill, the owner of a POTW shall provide notice of a major spill to every county, municipality, or other public agency whose public water supply is within a distance of 20 miles downstream and to any others which could be potentially affected by the major spill.

12. UPSET PROVISION

Provision under 40 CFR 122.41(n)(1)-(4), regarding "Upset" shall be applicable to any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding brought to enforce this permit.

B. RESPONSIBILITIES

1. DUTY TO COMPLY

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance is a violation of the Federal Clean Water Act, State Act, and the State Rules, and is grounds for:

- a. Enforcement action;
- b. Permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or
- c. Denial of a permit renewal application.

2. NEED TO HALT OR REDUCE ACTIVITY NOT A DEFENSE

It shall not be a defense of the permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

3. INSPECTION AND ENTRY

The permittee shall allow the Director of the EPD, the Regional Administrator of EPA, and their authorized representatives, agents, or employees after they present credentials to:

- a. Enter the permittee's premises where a regulated activity or facility is located, or where any records required by this permit are kept;
- b. Review and copy any records required by this permit;
- c. Inspect any facilities, equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required by this permit; and
- d. Sample any substance or parameter at any location.

4. DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMATION

The permittee shall furnish any information required by the EPD to determine whether cause exists to modify, revoke and reissue, or terminate this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish the EPD with requested copies of records required by this permit.

5. TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP

A permit may be transferred to another person by a permittee if:

- a. The permittee notifies the Director in writing at least 30 days in advance of the proposed transfer;
- b. An agreement is written containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility including acknowledgment that the existing permittee is liable for violations up to that date, and that the new permittee is liable for violations from that date on. This agreement must be submitted to the Director at least 30 days in advance of the proposed transfer; and
- c. The Director does not notify the current permittee and the new permittee within 30 days of EPD intent to modify, revoke and reissue, or terminate the permit. The Director may require that a new application be filed instead of agreeing to the transfer of the permit.

6. AVAILABILITY OF REPORTS

Except for data determined to be confidential by the Director of EPD under O.C.G.A. 12-5-26 or by the Regional Administrator of EPA under the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 2, all reports prepared to comply with this permit shall be available for public inspection at an EPD office. Effluent data, permit applications, permittees' names and addresses, and permits shall not be considered confidential.

7. PERMIT ACTIONS

This permit may be modified, terminated, or revoked and reissued in whole or in part during its term for causes including, but not limited to:

- a. Permit violations;
- b. Obtaining this permit by misrepresentation or by failure to disclose all relevant facts;
- c. Changing any condition that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the permitted discharge;
- d. Changes in effluent characteristics; and
- e. Violations of water quality standards.

The filing of a request by the permittee for permit modification, termination, revocation and reissuance, or notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not negate any permit condition.

8. CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LIABILITY

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee from civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance.

9. PROPERTY RIGHTS

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of either real or personal property, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, or any infringement of Federal, State or local laws or regulations.

10. DUTY TO REAPPLY

The permittee shall submit an application for permit reissuance at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit. The permittee shall not discharge after the permit expiration date. To receive authorization to discharge beyond the expiration date, the permittee shall submit the information, forms, and fees required by the EPD no later than 180 days before the expiration date.

11. CONTESTED HEARINGS

Any person aggrieved or adversely affected by any action of the Director of the EPD shall petition the Director for a hearing within 30 days of notice of the action.

12. SEVERABILITY

The provisions of this permit are severable. If any permit provision or the application of any permit provision to any circumstance is held invalid, the provision does not affect other circumstances or the remainder of this permit.

13. OTHER INFORMATION

Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report form to the Director, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

14. PREVIOUS PERMITS

All previous State wastewater permits issued to this facility, whether for construction or operation, are hereby revoked on the effective date of this permit. This action is taken to assure compliance with the Georgia Water Quality Control Act, as amended, and the Federal Clean Water Act, as amended. Receipt of the permit constitutes notice of such action. The conditions, requirements, terms and provisions of this permit authorizing discharge under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System govern discharges from this facility.

Page 23 of 23 Permit No. GA0038661

PART III

INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM FOR PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS (POTW)

- 1. The permittee may establish and operate an approved industrial pretreatment program.
- 2. If the EPD determines that the permittee is required to develop a local industrial pretreatment program, the permittee will be notified in writing. The permittee shall immediately begin development of an industrial pretreatment program and shall submit it to the EPD for approval no later than one year after the notification.
- 3. During the interim period between determination that a program is needed and approval of the program, all industrial pretreatment permits shall be issued by the EPD.
- 4. The permittee shall notify the EPD of all industrial users connected to the system or proposing to connect to the system from the date of issuance of this permit.
- 5. Implementation of the Pretreatment Program developed by the State can be delegated to the permittee following the fulfillment of requirements detailed in 391-3-6-.09 of the Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control.



The Georgia Environmental Protection Division proposes to issue an NPDES permit to the applicant identified below. The draft permit places conditions on the discharge of pollutants from the wastewater treatment plant to waters of the State.

Technical Contact:

Michele Brossett, Environmental Specialist *michele.brossett@dnr.ga.gov* 404-463-2229

Draft permit:

	That issuance
	Reissuance with no or minor modifications from previous permit
\boxtimes	Reissuance with substantial modifications from previous permit
	Modification of existing permit
\boxtimes	Requires EPA review

☐ Designated as a major (≥1MGD or approved industrial pre-treatment program)

1. FACILITY INFORMATION

1.1 NPDES Permit No.: GA0038661

Einst insurance

1.2 Name and Address of Owner/Applicant

City of Lavonia 12221 Augusta Road Post Office Box 564 Lavonia, Georgia 30553

1.3 Name and Address of Facility

Lavonia Water Pollution Control Plant 136 Allen Farm Road Lavonia, Georgia 30553

1.4 Location and Description of the Discharge (as reported by applicant)

Outfall #	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Receiving Waterbody
001	34.402713	-83.142673	Unawatti Creek

Lavonia WPCP NPDES Permit No. GA0038661

1.5 Permitted Design Capacity

Current Phase: 0.75 MGD Future Phase: 1.32 MGD

SIC Code and Description SIC Code 4952 – Sewerage systems: Establishments primarily engaged in the collection and disposal of wastes conducted through a sewer system, including such treatment processes as may be provided.

1.7 Description of the Water Pollution Control Plant

Current Phase (0.75 MGD):

The treatment process consists of screening, aerated ponds, chlorination, polishing pond, constructed wetlands, and post aeration.

Future Phase (1.32 MGD)

Plant will be upgraded as needed to meet the Total Phosphorus limit at the expanded flow.

Solids processing:

Solids settle and stabilize at the bottom of the ponds. Ponds will be dredged, and sludge sent to a permitted landfill when needed.

1.8 Type of Wastewater Discharge

	Process wastewater	Stormwater
\boxtimes	Domestic wastewater	Combined (Describe)
	Other (Describe)	

1.9 Characterization of Effluent Discharge (as reported by applicant)

Outfall No. 001:

Effluent Characteristics (as Reported by Applicant)	Maximum Daily Value	Average Daily Value
Flow (MGD)	1.27	0.403
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	21.0	9.20
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	38.2	8.20
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (#/100mL)	1986	9.43
Ammonia, as N (mg/L)	10.7	1.29
Total Phosphorus, as P (mg/L)	6.96	4.34

Lavonia WPCP NPDES Permit No. GA0038661

2. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

2.1 State Regulations

Chapter 391-3-6 of the Georgia Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control

2.2 Federal Regulations

Source	Activity	Applicable Regulation
	Municipal/Domestic Effluent Discharge	40 CFR 122 40 CFR 125 40 CFR 127 40 CFR 133
_		40 CFR 135 40 CFR 136
Municipal/Domestic/POTW	Non-Process Water Discharges	40 CFR 122 40 CFR 125 40 CFR 127 40 CFR 136
_	Municipal/Domestic Sludge Use and Disposal	40 CFR 122 40 CFR 127 40 CFR 136 40 CFR 257 40 CFR 501 & 503

3. WATER QUALITY STANDARDS & RECEIVING WATERBODY INFORMATION

Section 301(b)(1)(C) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) requires the development of limitations in permits necessary to meet water quality standards. Federal Regulations 40 CFR 122.4(d) require that conditions in NPDES permits ensure compliance with the water quality standards which are composed of use classifications, numeric and or narrative water quality criteria and an anti-degradation policy. The use classification system designates the beneficial uses that each waterbody is expected to achieve, such as drinking water, fishing, or recreation. The numeric and narrative water quality criteria are deemed necessary to support the beneficial use classification for each water body. The antidegradation policy represents an approach to maintain and to protect various levels of water quality and uses.

3.1 Receiving Waterbody Classification and Information – Unawatti Creek:

Specific Water Quality Criteria for Classified Water Usage [391-3-6-.03(6)]:

Fishing: Propagation of Fish, Shellfish, Game and Other Aquatic Life.

- (i) Dissolved Oxygen: A daily average of 6.0 mg/L and no less than 5.0 mg/L at all times for water designated as trout streams by the Wildlife Resources Division. A daily average of 5.0 mg/L and no less than 4.0 mg/L at all times for waters supporting warm water species of fish.
- (ii) pH: Within the range of 6.0 8.5.

(iii) Bacteria:

- 1. For the months of May through October, when water contact recreation activities are expected to occur, fecal coliform not to exceed a geometric mean of 200 per 100 mL based on at least four samples collected from a given sampling site over a 30-day period at intervals not less than 24 hours. Should water quality and sanitary studies show fecal coliform levels from non-human sources exceed 200/100 mL (geometric mean) occasionally, then the allowable geometric mean fecal coliform shall not exceed 300 per 100 mL in lakes and reservoirs and 500 per 100 mL in free flowing freshwater streams. For the months of November through April, fecal coliform not to exceed a geometric mean of 1,000 per 100 mL based on at least four samples collected from a given sampling site over a 30-day period at intervals not less than 24 hours and not to exceed a maximum of 4,000 per 100 mL for any sample. The State does not encourage swimming in these surface waters since a number of factors which are beyond the control of any State regulatory agency contribute to elevated levels of bacteria.
- 2. For waters designated as shellfish growing areas by the Georgia DNR Coastal Resources Division, the requirements will be consistent with those established by the State and Federal agencies responsible for the National Shellfish Sanitation Program. The requirements are found in National Shellfish Sanitation Program Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish, 2007 Revision (or most recent version), Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference, U.S. Food and Drug Administration.
- (iv) Temperature: Not to exceed 90°F. At no time is the temperature of the receiving waters to be increased more than 5°F above intake temperature except that in estuarine waters applied increase will not be more than 1.5°F. In streams designated as primary trout or smallmouth bass waters by the Wildlife Resources Division, there shall be no elevation of natural stream temperatures. In streams designated as secondary trout waters, there shall be no elevation exceeding 2°F natural stream temperatures.

3.2 Ambient Information

Outfall ID	30Q3 (cfs)	7Q10 (cfs)	1Q10 (cfs)	Annual Average Flow (cfs)	Hardness (mg CaCO ₃ /L)	Upstream Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)
001	4.9 (May – Oct) 6.7 (Nov. – Apr.)	0.72 (May – Oct.) 1.7 (Nov. – Apr.)	0.67 (May – Oct.) 1.6 (Nov. – Apr.)	13.4	25 (1)	10 (2)

- (1) Not available. A conservative value of 25 mg/L will be used for the reasonable potential analysis calculations.
- (2) Not available. A conservative value of 10 mg/L will be used for the reasonable potential analysis calculations.

3.3 Georgia 305(b)/303(d) List Documents

Unawatti Creek	Downstream Lavonia	Savannah	Supporting	6	1
GAR030601040109	Franklin	Fishing	1	Miles	

Unawatti Creek is listed on the 2020 305(b)/303(d) list as supporting its designated use (fishing).

3.4 Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

There are no applicable TMDLs for this segment of Unawatti Creek in the Savannah River Basin.

3.5 Wasteload Allocation (WLA)

WLA for reissuance was issued on June 17, 2020. Refer to *Appendix A* of the Fact Sheet for a copy of the WLA.

4. PERMIT CONDITIONS AND EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

4.1 Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs) & Technology Based Effluent Limits (TBELS)

When drafting a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit, a permit writer must consider the impact of the proposed pollutants in a discharge on the quality of the receiving water. Water quality goals for a waterbody are defined by state water quality criteria or standards. By analyzing the effect of a pollutant in the discharge on the receiving water, a permit writer could find that technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) alone will not achieve the applicable water quality standards or protect downstream users. In such cases, the Clean Water Act (CWA) and its implementing regulations require development of water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs). WQBELs help meet the CWA objective of restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters and the goal of water quality that provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water (fishable/swimmable).

WQBELs are designed to protect water quality by ensuring water quality standards are met in the receiving water and the designated use and downstream uses are protected. On the basis of the requirements of 40 C.F.R §125.3(a), additional or more stringent effluent limitations and conditions, such as WQBELs, are imposed when TBELs are not sufficient to protect water quality.

TBELs aim to prevent pollution by requiring a minimum level of effluent quality that is attainable using demonstrated technologies for reducing discharges of pollutants or pollution into the waters of the State. TBELs are developed independently of the potential impact of a discharge on the receiving water, which is addressed through water quality standards and WQBELs. The NPDES regulations at 40 C.F.R. §125.3(a) require NPDES permit writers to develop technology-based treatment requirements, consistent with CWA section 301(b), that represent the minimum level of control that must be imposed in a permit. The regulation also requires permit writers to include in permits additional or more stringent effluent limitations and conditions, including those necessary to protect water quality.

Lavonia WPCP NPDES Permit No. GA0038661 40 CFR Part §122.44(a)(1) requires that NPDES permits include applicable technology-based limitations and standards, while regulations at § 125.3(a)(1) state that TBELs for publicly owned treatment works must be based on secondary treatment standards and the "equivalent to secondary treatment standards" (40 CFR Part 133). The regulation applies to all POTWs and identifies the technology-based performance standards achievable based on secondary treatment for five-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅), total suspended solids (TSS), and pH.

The table below shows the secondary treatment standards:

Parameter	Secondary Treatment Standards			
	30-day Average	7-day Average		
BOD_5	30 mg/L	45 mg/L		
TSS ⁽¹⁾	30 mg/L	45 mg/L		
TSS and BOD ₅ removal (concentration)	≥ 85%			
pH (Daily Minimum – Daily Maximum)	6.0-9.0 S.U.			

4.2 Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA)

EPA regulations at 40 C.F.R. §122.44(d)(1)(i) state, "Limitations must control all pollutants or pollutant parameters (either conventional, nonconventional, or toxic pollutants) which the Director determines are or may be discharged at a level that will *cause*, have the *reasonable potential to cause*, or *contribute* to an excursion above any [s]tate water quality standard, including [s]tate narrative criteria for water quality."

EPA regulations at 40 C.F.R. §122.44(d)(1)(ii) require States to develop procedures for determining whether a discharge causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an instream excursion above a narrative or numeric criterion within a state water. If such reasonable potential is determined to exist, the NPDES permit must contain pollutant effluent limits and/or effluent limits for whole effluent toxicity. Georgia has reasonable potential procedures, based upon the specific category of pollutants and/or specific pollutant of concern. Chemical specific and biomonitoring data and other pertinent information in EPD's files will be considered in accordance with the review procedures specified in the GA Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control, Chapter 391-3-6 in the evaluation of a permit application and in the evaluation of the reasonable potential for a discharge to cause an exceedance in the numeric or narrative criteria.

The term "pollutant" is defined in CWA section 502(6) and 40 C.F.R. §122.2. Pollutants are grouped into three categories under the NPDES program: conventional, toxic, and nonconventional. Conventional pollutants are those defined in CWA section 304(a)(4) and 40 C.F.R.§401.16 (five day-biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅₎, total suspended solids (TSS), fecal coliform, pH, and oil and grease). Toxic (priority) pollutants are those defined in CWA section 307(a)(1) and include 126 metals and manmade organic compounds. Nonconventional pollutants are those that do not fall under either of the above categories (conventional or toxic pollutants) and include parameters such as, but not limited to, chlorine, ammonia, nitrogen, phosphorus, chemical oxygen demand (COD), and whole effluent toxicity (WET).

EPD evaluates the data provided in the application and supporting documents. If a pollutant is listed in the following sections of this fact sheet below, the permit writer determined the pollutant is a pollutant of concern and there may be a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an instream violation of the Georgia water quality standards. If a pollutant is not listed below, EPD determined the pollutant is not a pollutant of concern or has determined, based on the data provided in the application, there is no reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an instream violation of the Georgia water quality standards. An example may be if the applicant reported "not detect" or "below detection limit".

Upon identification of a pollutant of concern by the permit writer, in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §122.44(d)(1)(ii), the permit writer must then perform a reasonable potential analysis using a procedure which has accounted for any combination of the following criteria: existing controls on point and nonpoint sources of pollution, the variability of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in the effluent, the sensitivity of the species to toxicity testing (when evaluating whole effluent toxicity), and where appropriate, the dilution of the effluent in the receiving water to determine if the pollutant and its discharge has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an instream excursion above the allowable ambient concentration of a state narrative or numeric criteria within the state's water quality standard for an individual pollutant.

In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §122.44(d)(1)(iii), if the permit writer has determined, using a reasonable potential procedure the pollutant of concern in the discharge causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an in-stream excursion above the allowable ambient concentration of a state numeric or narrative criteria within a state water quality standard for an individual pollutant, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant. If the permit writer has determined there is insufficient data, the permit writer might also consider monitoring requirements to collect the additional data related to the presence or absence of a specific pollutant to provide information for further analyses for the development of appropriate numeric or narrative standard.

The conventional, nonconventional, and toxic pollutants listed in the following sections have been identified by the permit writer as pollutants of concern and the permit writer has determined through current practices and procedures one of the following: no additional monitoring or numeric and/or narrative effluent limits are needed; additional monitoring is required; or numeric and/or narrative effluent limits are necessary to protect the receiving water body and its downstream users and those limits have been included in the permit.

The monitoring and sampling locations are prescribed in the permit and determined by the permit writer after considering, at a minimum, the following: type of discharge, specific pollutant, discharge frequency, location of the discharge, receiving waterbody, downstream users, etc.

The sample type, grab vs. composite, is prescribed in the permit and determined by the permit writer after considering, at a minimum, the analytical method required in 40 C.F.R. §136, the type of pollutant, retention time, etc. Grab samples are required for the analysis of pH, temperature, cyanide, total phenols, residual chlorine, oil and grease, fecal coliform (including *E. coli*), or volatile organics.

4.3 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)

4.3.1 Current Phase (0.75 MGD)

WET tests are not required for facilities with a permitted design flow less than 1.0 MGD and without an approved pre-treatment program; therefore, no WET test results were submitted with the application and the draft permit does not include any WET testing requirements.

4.3.2 Future Phase (1.32 MGD):

The permittee must conduct one whole effluent toxicity (WET) test for <u>four consecutive quarters</u> after receiving EPD written authorization to commence operation under Part I.B.2 (1.32 MGD) effluent limitations, with the first test being conducted within 90 days of this authorization.

EPD will evaluate the WET tests submitted to determine whether toxicity has been demonstrated. If the test results indicate effluent toxicity or if the tests are invalid, the permittee may be required to perform additional WET tests in accordance with Part I.C.5 of the permit and/or the permit may be modified to include a chronic WET limit.

4.4 Conventional Pollutants

4.4.1 Current Phase (0.75 MGD):

Pollutants of Concern

Basis

May – October:

The instream wastewater concentration (IWC) is 62% based on seasonal 7Q10 of 0.72 cfs. When the IWC is greater than 50%, there is reasonable potential for pH to cause or contribute to violations of the instream Georgia Water Quality Standard; therefore, pH limits of 6.0-8.5 SU (daily minimum-daily maximum) for May through October were included in the draft permit. A review of the Discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) indicates that the facility can meet the reduced maximum pH limitation, therefore, a compliance schedule has not been included in the draft permit.

November – April:

The instream wastewater concentration (IWC) is 40% based on seasonal 7Q10 of 1.7 cfs. When the IWC is less than 50%, there is no reasonable potential to cause or contribute to violation of the instream Georgia Water Quality Standard; therefore, pH limits of 6.0-9.0 SU (daily minimum-daily maximum) were included in the draft permit.

Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅)

According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, a monthly average BOD₅ limit of 20.0 mg/L, when combined with the ammonia limit (refer to Section 4.5 below), is protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above. Refer to the WLA in *Appendix A* for model inputs.

pН

Lavonia WPCP NPDES Permit No. GA0038661

January 2021 Page 8 of 30

Pollutants of Concern	Basis
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	The current monthly average TSS limit of 20 mg/L has been maintained in the draft permit. The TSS limit of 20 mg/L was based on demonstrated performance for similar treatment process (technology-based limit). A review of the Discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) indicates that the facility is able to consistently meet this technology-based effluent limitation.
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)	In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §122.44(d)(1)(ii) of the federal regulations, EPD considers all POTWs, Private and Institutional Developments, and CSO Control Facilities, discharging all or a portion of domestic sanitary wastewater, to have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to instream water quality standard violations for bacteria, including fecal coliform and <i>Escherichia coli</i> . EPD has determined these facilities discharge the conventional pollutant fecal coliform bacteria, wastewater treatment systems are consistently designed to treat fecal coliform bacteria, and fecal coliform bacterium are highly variable in the receiving stream after treatment. EPD does not consider dilution in our analysis as we don't believe it's appropriate for bacteria due to its inherent ability to reproduce in the receiving stream. The monthly average FCB limit of 200 #/100mL is in accordance with the instream Water Quality Standards in Section 3.1 above.

4.4.2 Future Phase (1.32 MGD):

Pollutants of Concern	Basis
рН	May – October: The instream wastewater concentration (IWC) is 74% based on seasonal 7Q10 of 0.72 cfs When the IWC is greater than 50%, there is reasonable potential for pH to cause or contribute to violations of the instream Georgia Water Quality Standard; therefore, pH limits of 6.0-8.5 SU (daily minimum-daily maximum) were included in the draft permit. November – April: The instream wastewater concentration (IWC) is 54% based on seasonal 7Q10 of 1.7 cfs. When the IWC is greater than 50%, there is reasonable potential for pH to cause or contribute to violations of the instream Georgia Water Quality Standard; therefore, pH limits of 6.0-8.5 SU (daily minimum-daily maximum) were included in the draft permit.
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD ₅)	According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, a monthly average BOD ₅ limit of 20.0 mg/L, when combined with the ammonia limit (refer to Section 4.5 below), is protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above. Refer to the WLA in <i>Appendix A</i> for model inputs.
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	The current monthly average TSS limit of 20 mg/L has been maintained in the draft permit. The TSS limit of 20 mg/L was based on demonstrated performance for similar treatment process (technology-based limit).

Pollutants of Concern	Basis
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)	In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §122.44(d)(1)(ii) of the federal regulations, EPD considers all POTWs, Private and Institutional Developments, and CSO Control Facilities, discharging all or a portion of domestic sanitary wastewater, to have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to instream water quality standard violations for bacteria, including fecal coliform and <i>Escherichia coli</i> . EPD has determined these facilities discharge the conventional pollutant fecal coliform bacteria, wastewater treatment systems are consistently designed to treat fecal coliform bacteria, and fecal coliform bacterium are highly variable in the receiving stream after treatment. EPD does not consider dilution in our analysis as we don't believe it's appropriate for bacteria due to its inherent ability to reproduce in the receiving stream.
	accordance with the instream Water Quality Standards in Section 3.1 above.

4.5 Nonconventional Pollutants

4.5.1 Current Phase (0.75 MGD):

Pollutants of Concern	Basis
	May – October:
Total Desidual Chlorina (TDC)	Chlorine is used for disinfection. The daily maximum TRC limit was decreased from 0.020 to 0.018 mg/L based on updated 7Q10 value. The proposed limit has been determined using the US EPA's chronic TRC criterion of 11 μ g/L in the receiving stream after dilution. Refer to Section 4.7.6 below for calculations.
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	November – April:
	Chlorine is used for disinfection. The daily maximum TRC limit was increased from 0.020 to 0.027 mg/L based on updated 7Q10 value. The proposed limit has been determined using the US EPA's chronic TRC criterion of 11 μ g/L in the receiving stream after dilution. Refer to Section 4.7.6 below for calculations.
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, a minimum effluent DO of 6.0 mg/L is protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above.
Total Phosphorus (TP)	Total phosphorus monitoring has been included in the draft permit in accordance with EPD's <i>Strategy for Addressing Phosphorus in NPDES Permitting</i> , 2011.
Orthophosphate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), Organic Nitrogen, Nitrate-Nitrite	Orthophosphate, TKN, organic nitrogen, and nitrate-nitrite monitoring has been included in the draft permit. The data will be used to determine nutrient speciation and to quantify nutrient loadings in the Savanah River Basin.

Pollutants of Concern	Basis
	May – October:
	According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, a monthly average ammonia limit of 4.7 mg/L when combined with the monthly average BOD ₅ limit (Refer to Section 4.4 above), is protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above.
	A monthly average ammonia limit of 4.7 mg/L is also in accordance with EPD's NPDES Permitting Strategy for Addressing Ammonia Toxicity, 2017.
Ammonia (NILL)	November-April:
Ammonia (NH ₃)	The monthly average ammonia limit was decreased from 13.8 to 12.3 mg/L. The proposed limit is in accordance with EPD's <i>NPDES Permitting Strategy for Addressing Ammonia Toxicity</i> , 2017. A review of the DMR shows that the facility can meet the new limit; therefore, a compliance schedule has not been included.
	According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, a monthly average ammonia limit of 12.3 mg/L, when combined with the monthly average BOD ₅ limit (Refer to Section 4.4 above), is also protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above.

4.5.2 Future Phase (1.32 MGD):

Pollutants of Concern	Basis
	The City of Royston's drinking water intake is located downstream of the discharge. Chlorine-based disinfectant cannot be used to treat bacteria. The TRC limitation may only apply when chlorine is used for other purposes at the facility.
	May – October:
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	Chlorine is used for disinfection. The daily maximum TRC limit was decreased from 0.020 to 0.015 mg/L based on updated 7Q10 value. The proposed limit has been determined using the US EPA's chronic TRC criterion of 11 μ g/L in the receiving stream after dilution. Refer to Section 4.7.6 below for calculations.
	November – April:
	Chlorine is used for disinfection. A daily maximum TRC limit of 0.020 mg/L has been determined using the US EPA's chronic TRC criterion of 11 μ g/L in the receiving stream after dilution. Refer to Section 4.7.6 below for calculations.
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, a minimum effluent DO of 6.0 mg/L is protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above.
Total Phosphorus (TP)	A monthly average limit of 1.0 mg/L is in accordance with EPD's <i>Strategy for Addressing Phosphorus in NPDES Permitting</i> , 2011 for expanding facilities with a permitted flow equals to or greater than 1.0 MGD.
Orthophosphate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), Organic Nitrogen, Nitrate-Nitrite	Orthophosphate, TKN, organic nitrogen, and nitrate-nitrite monitoring has been included in the draft permit. The data will be used to determine nutrient speciation and to quantify nutrient loadings in the Savanah River Basin.

Pollutants of Concern	Basis
	May – October:
	According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, a monthly average ammonia limit of 2.0 mg/L when combined with the monthly average BOD ₅ limit (Refer to Section 4.4 above), is protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above.
	A monthly average ammonia limit of 2.0 mg/L is also in accordance with EPD's NPDES Permitting Strategy for Addressing Ammonia Toxicity, 2017.
Ammonia (NH ₃)	
	November – April:
	According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, a monthly average ammonia limit of 5.7 mg/L, when combined with the monthly average BOD ₅ limit (Refer to Section 4.4 above), is protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above.
	A monthly average ammonia limit 5.7 mg/L is also in accordance with EPD's NPDES Permitting Strategy for Addressing Ammonia Toxicity, 2017.

4.6 Toxics & Manmade Organic Compounds

4.6.1 Current Phase (0.75 MGD):

Expanded effluent testing data in EPA Form 3510-2A is not required for facilities with a permitted design flow less than 1.0 MGD and without an approved pre-treatment program; therefore, no test results were submitted with the application.

4.6.2 Future Phase (1.32 MGD):

The permittee must conduct one scan of the priority pollutants <u>for three consecutive quarters</u> after receiving EPD written authorization to commence operation under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (1.32 MGD), with the first scan conducted within 90 days of the authorization. The priority pollutant scans must represent seasonal variation. Total recoverable mercury must be sampled and analyzed using EPA Method 1631E.

If substances are measured at levels of concern, then the permittee may be required to perform additional priority pollutant analyses in accordance with Part I.C.5 or the permit may be modified to include effluent limitations for priority pollutants.

4.7 Calculations for Effluent Limits

4.7.1 Instream Waste Concentration (IWC):

IWC at 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and Seasonal May to October:

IWC
$$= \frac{Q_{\text{Effluent}} \text{ (ft}^3/\text{sec})}{Q_{\text{Effluent}} \text{ (ft}^3/\text{sec}) + 7Q10 \text{ (ft}^3/\text{sec})} \%$$

$$= \frac{1.16}{1.16 + 0.716}$$

$$= 62 \%$$

IWC at 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and Seasonal November to April:

IWC
$$= \frac{Q_{\text{Effluent}} (ft^{3}/\text{sec})}{Q_{\text{Effluent}} (ft^{3}/\text{sec}) + 7Q10 (ft^{3}/\text{sec})}$$
%
$$= \frac{1.16}{1.16+1.711}$$
$$= 40 \%$$

IWC at 1.32 MGD (Future Phase) Seasonal May to October:

IWC
$$= \frac{Q_{Effluent} (ft^{3}/sec)}{Q_{Effluent} (ft^{3}/sec) + 7Q10 (ft^{3}/sec)} \%$$
$$= \frac{2.04}{2.04+0.716}$$
$$= 74 \%$$

IWC at 1.32 MGD (Future Phase) and Seasonal November to April:

IWC
$$= \frac{Q_{\text{Effluent}} (\text{ft}^{3}/\text{sec})}{Q_{\text{Effluent}} (\text{ft}^{3}/\text{sec}) + 7Q10 (\text{ft}^{3}/\text{sec})}$$
%
$$= \frac{2.04}{2.04 + 1.711}$$
$$= 54 \%$$

4.7.2 Flow:

Weekly Average Flow at 0.75 MGD (current phase):

$$Q_{\text{Weekly}} = Q_{\text{Monthly}} (MGD) \times 1.25$$
$$= 0.75 \times 1.25$$
$$= 0.94 \text{ MGD}$$

Weekly Average Flow at 1.32 MGD (future phase):

Q Weekly =
$$Q_{Monthly}$$
 (MGD) x 1.25
= 1.32 x 1.25
= 1.65 MGD

4.7.3 Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand:

Weekly Average Concentration at 0.75 (current phase) and 1.32 MGD (future phase):

[C] weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5
=
$$20.0 \text{ x } 1.5$$

= 30.0 mg/L

Monthly Average Mass Loading 0.75 MGD (Current Phase):

$$M_{\text{Monthly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{0.75 \times 20.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 56.9 \text{ kg/day}$$

Monthly Average Mass Loading 1.32 MGD (future phase):

$$\begin{split} M_{\,Monthly} &= \frac{Q_{\,Monthly} \, (MGD) \times [C]_{\,Monthly} (mg/L \, or \, ppm) \times 8.34 \, (lbs/gal)}{2.2 \, (lbs/Kg)} \\ &= \frac{1.32 \times 20.0 \times 8.34}{2.2} \\ &= 100 \, kg/day \end{split}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading 0.75 MGD (Current Phase):

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{0.94 \times 20.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 71.1 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading 1.32 MGD (future phase):

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{1.65 \times 20.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 125 \text{ kg/day}$$

4.7.4 Total Suspended Solids:

Weekly Average Concentration 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and 1.32 MGD (future phase):

[C] Weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5
=
$$20 \times 1.5$$

= 30 mg/L

Monthly Average Mass Loading 0.75 MGD (Current Phase):

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{0.75 \times 20 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 56.9 \text{ kg/day}$$

Monthly Average Mass Loading 1.32 MGD (future phase):

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{1.32 \times 20 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 100 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading 0.75 MGD (Current Phase):

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{0.94 \times 20 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 71.1 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading 1.32 MGD (future phase):

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{1.65 \times 20 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 125 \text{ kg/day}$$

4.7.5 Fecal Coliform Bacteria:

Weekly Average Concentration 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and 1.32 MGD (future phase):

C Weekly =
$$C_{Monthly}$$
 (#/100 mL) x 2
= 200×2
= $400 \text{ #}/100 \text{ mL}$

4.7.6. Total Residual Chlorine (TRC):

Daily Maximum Concentration 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and Seasonal May to October:

[TRC] Effluent
$$= \frac{[Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec) + 7Q10 (ft^3/sec)] \times [TRC]_{Stream} (mg/L)}{Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec)}$$

$$= \frac{(1.16 + 0.716) \times 0.011}{1.16}$$

$$= 0.018 mg/L$$

Daily Maximum Concentration 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and Seasonal November to April:

[TRC] Effluent
$$= \frac{[Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec) + 7Q10 (ft^3/sec)] \times [TRC]_{Stream} (mg/L)}{Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec)}$$

$$= \frac{(1.16 + 1.711) \times 0.011}{1.16}$$

$$= 0.027 \text{ mg/L}$$

Daily Maximum Concentration 1.32 MGD (Future Phase) and Seasonal May to October:

[TRC] Effluent
$$= \frac{[Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec) + 7Q10 (ft^3/sec)] \times [TRC]_{Stream} (mg/L)}{Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec)}$$

$$= \frac{(2.04 + 0.716) \times 0.011}{2.04}$$

$$= 0.015 \text{ mg/L}$$

Daily Maximum Concentration 1.32 MGD (Future Phase):

[TRC] Effluent
$$= \frac{[Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec) + 7Q10 (ft^3/sec)] \times [TRC]_{Stream} (mg/L)}{Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec)}$$

$$= \frac{(2.04 + 1.711) \times 0.011}{2.04}$$

$$= 0.020 \text{ mg/L}$$

4.7.7 *Ammonia*:

Toxicity Analysis: The chronic criterion based on Villosa iris (rainbow mussel) is determined as follows:

CCC =
$$0.8876 \times (\frac{0.0278}{1 + 10^{7.688 - pH}} + \frac{1.1994}{1 + 10^{pH-7.688}}) \times 2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20 - MAX(T,7))} \text{ mg/L}$$

Where: pH : pH of receiving stream and discharge

T : Temperature of receiving stream
CCC : Chronic Continuous Concentration

The ammonia effluent limit (monthly average) is then calculated as follows:

[NH₃] Effluent =
$$\frac{\left(Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec) + 30Q3 (ft^3/sec)\right) \times CCC (mg/L) - 30Q3 (ft^3/sec) \times [NH_3]_{Stream Background} (mg/L)}{Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec)}$$

Refer to *Appendix B* for detailed calculations.

Weekly Average Concentration 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and Seasonal May-October:

[C] Weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5
=
$$4.7 \times 1.5$$

= 7.1 mg/L

Weekly Average Concentration 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and Seasonal November - April:

[C] weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5
=
$$12.3 \text{ x } 1.5$$

= 18.5 mg/L

Weekly Average Concentration 1.32 MGD (Future Phase) and Seasonal May-October:

[C] weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5
=
$$2.0 \times 1.5$$

= 3.0 mg/L

Weekly Average Concentration 1.32 MGD (Future Phase) and Seasonal November - April:

[C] Weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5
=
$$5.7 \times 1.5$$

= 8.6 mg/L

Monthly Average Mass Loading 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and Seasonal May - October:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{0.75 \times 4.7 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 13.4 \text{ kg/day}$$

Monthly Average Mass Loading 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and Seasonal November - April:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{0.75 \times 12.3 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 35.0 \text{ kg/day}$$

Monthly Average Mass Loading 1.32 MGD (Future Phase) and Seasonal May - October:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{1.32 \times 2.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 10.0 \text{ kg/day}$$

Monthly Average Mass Loading 1.32 MGD (Future Phase) and Seasonal November - April:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{1.32 \times 5.7 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 28.5 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and Seasonal May - October:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{0.94 \times 4.7 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 16.8 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading 0.75 MGD (Current Phase) and Seasonal November - April:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{0.94 \times 12.3 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 43.7 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading 1.32 MGD (Future Phase) and Seasonal May - October:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{1.65 \times 2.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 12.5 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading 1.32 MGD (Future Phase) and Seasonal November - April:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{1.65 \times 5.7 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 35.7 \text{ kg/day}$$

4.7.8 Total Phosphorus:

Weekly Average Concentration 1.32 MGD (future phase):

[C] weekly
$$= [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L) \times 1.5$$
$$= 1.0 \times 1.5$$
$$= 1.5 \text{ mg/L}$$

Monthly Average Mass Loading 1.32 MGD (future phase):

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{1.32 \times 1.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 5.0 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading 1.32 MGD (future phase):

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{1.65 \times 1.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 6.3 \text{ kg/day}$$

4.7.9 *Metals*

Not applicable

4.8 Comparison & Summary of Water Quality vs. Technology Based Effluent Limits

After determining applicable technology-based effluent limitations and water quality-based effluent limitations, the most stringent limits are applied in the permit:

4.8.1. Current phase (0.75 MGD):

Parameter	WQBELS (1)	TBELS (1)
	Monthly Average	Monthly Average
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	20	None
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	None	20
Ammonia (mg/L)		
May - October	4.7	None
November – April	12.3	None
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (#/100 mL)	200	None
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L), Daily Minimum pH, Daily Minimum – Daily Maximum (standard units)	6.0	None
May – October	6.0 - 8.5	6.0 - 9.0
November - April	6.0 - 9.0	6.0 - 9.0
Total Residual Chlorine (mg/L), Daily Maximum		
May – October	0.018	None
November - April	0.027	None

⁽¹⁾ Effluent limits in bold were included in the permit. Refer to Sections 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, and 4.8 above for more information.

4.8.2. Future phase (1.32 MGD):

Parameter	WQBELS (1)	TBELS (1)
	Monthly Average	Monthly Average
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	20.0	None
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	None	20
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	1.0	None
Ammonia (mg/L)		
May - October	2.0	None
November – April	5.7	None
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (#/100 mL)	200	None
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L), Daily Minimum	6.0	None
pH, Daily Minimum – Daily Maximum (standard units)	6.0 - 8.5	6.0 - 9.0
Total Residual Chlorine (mg/L), Daily Maximum		
May – October	0.015	None
November - April	0.020	None

⁽¹⁾ Effluent limits in bold were included in the permit. Refer to Sections 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, and 4.8 above for more information.

5.0 OTHER PERMIT REQUIREMENTS AND CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 Discharge relocation/expansion

5.1.1 Antidegradation Review

The City of Lavonia's treatment facility was constructed in 1986. In February 2000, the facility's permit was reissued with more stringent limits. The City conducted an antidegradation review and the following options were evaluated: (1) land treatment system, (2) upgrading the facility to meet the more stringent limits, and (3) relocating the discharge point. On August 3, 2004, EPD concurred with the City of Lavonia's Antidegradation Review report, for the proposed relocation of the outfall to Unawatti Creek.

On January 31, 2005, EPD issued an NPDES permit for the 1.32-MGD discharge to the Unawatti Creek. The City completed some upgrades at the plant before being authorized to operate at 1.32 MGD on January 3, 2008. The City subsequently requested the permitted flow to be decreased to reduce monitoring costs. The permit was modified to include an intermediary flow of 0.75 MGD on August 8, 2017. The City will resume operation at 1.32 MGD as influent flow increases.

5.2 Long-Term BOD (LTBOD) Test

For facilities with a capacity of 1.0 MGD or greater, a 120-day long-term BOD test should be performed on an effluent sample collected during the critical period from June 1 through September 30; a requirement for long term BOD testing has been included in the draft permit under the I.C.12 effluent limitations (1.32 MGD).

5.3 Industrial Pretreatment Program (IPP)

City of Lavonia does not have an approved IPP; therefore, language for establishing an IPP, if necessary, has been included in the draft permit.

5.4 Sludge Management Plan (SMP)

The facility has not been required to dispose of sludge in the last five years. When necessary to dredge the pond, the City will choose a suitable landfill for disposal; therefore, a SMP is not required at this time.

5.5 Watershed Protection Plan (WPP)

The City of Lavonia has an approved WPP; therefore language has been included in the draft permit to reflect the approved plan.

5.6 Service Delivery Strategy

City of Lavonia is in compliance with the Department of Community Affairs approved Service Delivery Strategy for Franklin County.

5.7 Compliance Schedules

All other effluent limitations are applicable immediately upon the effective date of the permit.

5.8 Anti-Backsliding

In accordance with Section 404(o) of the Clean Water Act and 40 C.F.R. 122.44(l)(2)(i)(B)(1), a permit may be renewed, reissued, or modified to contain a less stringent effluent limitation applicable to a pollutant if information is available which was not available at the time of permit issuance and which would have justified the application of a less stringent effluent limitation at the time of permit issuance.

The total residual chlorine limit has been increased from 0.020 to 0.027 mg/L based on updated streamflow values that were not available at the time the current permit was issued. Therefore, the permit complies with the anti-backsliding requirements of the Clean Water Act.

6. REPORTING

6.1 Compliance Office

The facility has been assigned to the following EPD office for reporting, compliance and enforcement:

Georgia Environnemental Protection Division Watershed Compliance Program 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive Suite 1152 East Atlanta, Georgia 30334

6.2 E-Reporting

The permittee is required to electronically submit documents in accordance with 40 CFR Part 127.

7. REQUESTED VARIANCES OR ALTERNATIVES TO REQUIRED STANDARDS

Not applicable

8. PERMIT EXPIRATION

The permit will expire five years from the effective date.

9. PROCEDURES FOR THE FORMULATION OF FINAL DETERMINATIONS

9.1 Comment Period

The Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) proposes to issue a permit to this applicant subject to the effluent limitations and special conditions outlined above. These determinations are tentative.

The permit application, draft permit, and other information are available for review at 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, Suite 1152 East, Atlanta, Georgia 30334, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday and on EPD's website accessible through the publicly available Georgia EPD Online System (GEOS) at: https://geos.epd.georgia.gov/GA/GEOS/Public/GovEnt/Shared/Pages/Main/Login.aspx. For additional information, you can contact 404-463-1511.

9.2 Public Comments

Persons wishing to comment upon or object to the proposed determinations are invited to submit same in writing to the EPD address above, or via e-mail at *EPDcomments@dnr.ga.gov* within 30 days of the initiation of the public comment period. All comments received prior to that date will be considered in the formulation of final determinations regarding the application. The permit number should be placed on the top of the first page of comments to ensure that your comments will be forwarded to the appropriate staff.

9.3 Public Hearing

Any applicant, affected state or interstate agency, the Regional Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or any other interested agency, person or group of persons may request a public hearing with respect to an NPDES permit application if such request is filed within thirty (30) days following the date of the public notice for such application. Such request must indicate the interest of the party filing the request, the reasons why a hearing is requested, and those specific portions of the application or other NPDES form or information to be considered at the public hearing.

The Director shall hold a hearing if he determines that there is sufficient public interest in holding such a hearing. If a public hearing is held, notice of same shall be provided at least thirty (30) days in advance of the hearing date.

In the event that a public hearing is held, both oral and written comments will be accepted; however, for the accuracy of the record, written comments are encouraged. The Director or a designee reserves the right to fix reasonable limits on the time allowed for oral statements and such other procedural requirements, as deemed appropriate.

Following a public hearing, the Director, unless it is decided to deny the permit, may make such modifications in the terms and conditions of the proposed permit as may be appropriate and shall issue the permit.

If no public hearing is held, and, after review of the written comments received, the Director determines that a permit should be issued and that the determinations as set forth in the proposed permit are substantially unchanged, the permit will be issued and will become final in the absence of a request for a contested hearing. Notice of issuance or denial will be made available to all interested persons and those persons that submitted written comments to the Director on the proposed permit.

If no public hearing is held, but the Director determines, after a review of the written comments received, that a permit should be issued but that substantial changes in the proposed permit are warranted, public notice of the revised determinations will be given and written comments accepted in the same manner as the initial notice of application was given and written comments accepted pursuant to EPD Rules, Water Quality Control, subparagraph 391-3-6-.06(7)(b). The Director shall provide an opportunity for public hearing on the revised determinations. Such opportunity for public hearing and the issuance or denial of a permit thereafter shall be in accordance with the procedures as are set forth above.

9.4 Final Determination

At the time that any final permit decision is made, the Director shall issue a response to comments. The issued permit and responses to comments can be found at the following address:

http://epd.georgia.gov/watershed-protection-branch-permit-and-public-comments-clearinghouse-0

9.5 Contested Hearings

Any person who is aggrieved or adversely affected by the issuance or denial of a permit by the Director of EPD may petition the Director for a hearing if such petition is filed in the office of the Director within thirty (30) days from the date of notice of such permit issuance or denial. Such hearing shall be held in accordance with the EPD Rules, Water Quality Control, subparagraph 391-3-6-.01.

Petitions for a contested hearing must include the following:

- 1. The name and address of the petitioner;
- 2. The grounds under which petitioner alleges to be aggrieved or adversely affected by the issuance or denial of a permit;
- 3. The reason or reasons why petitioner takes issue with the action of the Director;
- 4. All other matters asserted by petitioner which are relevant to the action in question.

FACT SHEET

Appendix A

Lavonia Water Pollution Control Plant NPDES Permit No. GA0038661

Waste Load Allocation (WLA)

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Wasteload Allocation Form

Part I:	Backgrou	and Info	rmation	n								
WLA Reques		Reissuanc		xpansior	ı П	Relocation	Modifi	cation \square	New	Discharge [1	
Facility Nam	• .		vonia WP	•	· —		County: F	_		WQMU	_	
NPDES Perr		GA003866			F	xpiration Date:	November		Oı	ıtfall Number		
Receiving W		Jnawatti (_	River Basir				10-Digit HUC		10401
				ala a sa da al da E						-		
Discharge T	<i>,</i> ,	Domestic	ĭ inc	dustrial [_ В	oth Prop	ortion (D:I):	FI	ow(s) Requ	ested (MGD)	0.75 /	1.32
Industrial Co	ntributions	Type(s):										
Treatment P	rocess Des	cription:	disinfe	ction, po	lishing	(bar screen and ponds for chlo Effluent flow r	rine dissipa nonitoring a	tion, constru nd pumped	icted wetla to cascade	nds and che aeration.	mical trea	
Additional In	`		ecial cond	ditions, ot	ther facil		Royston DV tive IWC ~7	%.		nstream. Es	timated	
Requested	by: Josh	Hayes			Titl	e: EE		Progra	m: WRP			
Telephoi	ne: 404-4	63-1834						Da	te: 5/5/20	20		
Don't He	Danaluda	\A/~4~		4!								
Part II:	Receivir			nation								
Receiving W		awatti Cre			_	_	J	Use Classif		Fishing		
Integrated 30	05(b)/303(d)) List: Y	es ⊠	No 🗌	Supp	ort: 🛛	Not Suppor	t: ∐ Crit	eria:			
Total Maxim	um Daily Lo	ad: Y	es 🗌	No 🛛	Parai	meter(s)		WL	A Complies v	vith TMDL `	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
	-											
_									_			
D	147		- 1'4 - 1 -		<u> </u>	1.6	1					
Part III:						<u>w Informa</u>						
Model Type	: Uncal	ibrated 🛭		Calibrated		Verified	Cannot b	e Modeled	☐ Mo	odel Length (r	ni): 6 .	.31
Field Data:	None		_	Good [-	Excellent						
Model and F	Field Data D	escription	: Georgia	a DOSAG	3 model	. Model parame	ters below a	are for Unav	atti Creek.	(May-Oct) ci	ritical con	dition
Critical Water	er Tempera	ture:(°C):	28 / 21.	5 [Drainage	e Area (mi²):	8.4 Me	ean annual s	treamflow at	discharge (c	fs): 13.	.4
7Q10 Yield	(cfs/mi²):	0.085 / 0.	.203	Velocity	(range	fps): 0.15-0.3	4 30	Q3 streamflo	w at discha	rge (cfs):	4.9	6.7
Effluent Flov	. ,	: 1.16	/ 2.04		'Q10 ĬW			Q10 streamfl		- ' '	0.7	72 / 1.7
Slope (range	, ,	10.5-15.8	3 K1:		15 & 0.0	` '		Q10 streamfl		• ,	0.6	67 / 1.6
K2 (range):	. ,	-11.5	SOD				OD _u /BOD ₅)			ef. (ft ⁻¹): 0. 0		
							JDu/DOD5)	2.07	Lacape Co	51. (IL <i>)</i> . U. !	00-0.11	
The min. dissolved oxygen concentration is 6.2 mg/L, at immediately downstream of the discharge. (0.75 MGD) (May-Oct)												
						i <u>mmediately</u> de immediately d						
The min. di	ssolved ox	ygen con	centratio	n is <u>6.1</u> r	mg/L, at	immediately d	ownstream (of the disch	arge. (1.32 I	MGD) (May-C	Oct)	
The min. di	ssolved ox Recom	mended	d Permit	n is 6.1 r t Limita	mg/L, at	immediately d	ownstream (of the disch	arge. (1.32 I	MGD) (May-C	Oct)	oted)
Part IV: Rationale:	Recom Same as o	mended	d Permit	n is 6.1 r t Limita Revised	ations	and Conditi	ownstream o	of the disch	arge. (1.32 I	MGD) (May-C	pt as no	oted)
The min. di	Recom Same as o	mended	d Permit	n is 6.1 r t Limita Revised	ations	immediately d	ownstream o	of the disch	arge. (1.32 I	MGD) (May-C	pt as no	oted)
Part IV: Rationale: Location:	Recom Same as a	mendec	d Permit	n is 6.1 r t Limita Revised kimately 1	ations No. 1.8 miles	and Conditi	ons (mg/L	as a moi	arge. (1.32 l	rage exce	pt as no	
Part IV: Rationale:	Recom Same as a Along Un Effluent Flow	mended	d Permit	n is 6.1 r t Limita Revised	ations No. 1.8 miles TRC (daily	and Conditi	ownstream o	of the disch	arge. (1.32 I	MGD) (May-C	pt as no	Nitrate- Nitrite
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits	Recom Same as a Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD)	mendeccurrent awatti Cre	d Permite eek approx	t Limita Revised kimately 1 DO (min.)	ations No. 1.8 miles TRC (daily max.)	and Conditi	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units)	as a mou	nthly ave fluddy Brand	rage exce	pt as no Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	Nitrate- Nitrite
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1)	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75	mendeccurrent awatti Cre	d Permit eek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0	ations A.8 miles TRC (daily max.) 0.018	and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition becal Coliform (No./100mL) 200	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units)	as a mou	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor	oct) pt as no . Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor	Nitrate- Nitrite
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1)	Recom Same as a Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75	mendeccurrent awatti Cre	Deek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3	t Limita Revised kimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0	ations No.018 0.027	and Condition and Co	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0	as a moi Creek and M Total P Monitor Monitor	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor	pt as no Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1)	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75	mendeccurrent awatti Cre	d Permit eek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7	t Limita Revised kimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0	ations A.8 miles TRC (daily max.) 0.018	and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition becal Coliform (No./100mL) 200	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units)	as a mou	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor	oct) pt as no . Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor	Nitrate- Nitrite
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1)	Recom Same as a Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75	mendeccurrent awatti Cre	Deek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3	t Limita Revised kimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0	ations No.018 0.027	and Condition and Co	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0	as a moi Creek and M Total P Monitor Monitor	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor	pt as no Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments:	mendecurrent awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20	Deek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7	t Limita Revised kimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.0015	and Condition and Co	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 8.5	Total P Monitor 1.0	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollur	Recom Same as a Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: ttant permit I	mendecurrent awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aqua	December and Permit Per	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 / testing r	ations An I.8 miles TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020	and Condition and Co	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 8.5	Total P Monitor 1.0	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co	Recom Same as a Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: ttant permit I	mendecurrent awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aqua	December and Permit Per	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 / testing r	ations An I.8 miles TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020	and Condition and Co	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 8.5	Total P Monitor 1.0	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co- Priority pollureview of peri	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: tant permit I mit application	mendeccurrent awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 20 imits, aqua	Deek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 atic toxicity e determin	t Limita Revised (imately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 / testing red by WR	mg/L, at ations \[\begin{align*} \text{1.8 miles} & \text{N} \\ \text{1.8 miles} & \text{TRC} \\ \text{(daily max.)} & \text{0.015} \\ \text{0.020} & \text{0.020} \\ \text{requirem:P.}	and Condition and Co	pH (std. units) 6.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 9.0 6.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5	Total P Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cate	ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Gorical efflue	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor nt guidelines	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Or identified	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor d during
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority moliureview of periority	Recom Same as a Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: tant permit I mit application	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aqua on are to be otal phosp	Deek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 atic toxicity e determinations, orthogonal control of the c	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 v testing r ed by WR	ations An I.8 miles TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020 equirement P.	and Condition and Co	pH (std. units) 6.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 9.0 6.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 arameters req	Total P Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by categoritrogen is received.	ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Corrical efflue	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Ortho	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Or identified	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority periority pollureview of periority periority pollureview of periority periority pollureview of periority periority pollureview of periority periority	Recom Same as a Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: ttant permit I mit application intoring for the the same enthe same en	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aquaton are to botal phosp ffluent sam ffluent sam	ncentration d Permit eek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 atic toxicity e determinulations, orthologie, Orthologie. Organical control of the control	t Limita Revised kimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 / testing red by WR no-phosph -P is a conic Nitrog	ations \[\text{N} \] 1.8 miles TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020 requiremer.P. thorus, Themponenting on should a should be a should b	and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition supstream from Fecal Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 condition and other particles of TP and should be calculated and and and and and and and and and an	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 arameters requand organic in a dalways be less TKN minus	Total P Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by categorist required set than or equired by Region 1.0 Monitor 1.0 Monitor 1.0 Monitor 1.0	nthly ave nthly ave nthly ave nthly ave nthly ave nthly ave nthly ave northly	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor rogen constitution	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Or identified	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor d during
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of perional yzed from analyzed from analyzed from -Total Phosph	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: itant permit I init application in the same en the same en orus limit with with with the same en orus limit with with with with with with with wi	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aquaton are to bootal phosp ffluent sam as based of	Deek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 atic toxicity e determinular orthorous, orthorous, orthorous, orthorous, or the Nove on the Nove or the Nov	t Limita Revised (imately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 7 testing red by WR no-phospil or phospil o	ations Ations Ations Ations Ations TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020 equiremetry horous, Theorem should the properties of the control of th	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 CN, nitrate-nitrite, tof TP and should be calculated a Strategy for addition	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required and organic results of the sessing Phospheres.	Total P Monitor Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates itrogen is received than or equal to the control of the co	ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor rogen constitu	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Or identified	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be elid be
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority pollureview	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: itant permit I init application in the same en the same en orus limit with with with the same en orus limit with with with with with with with wi	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aquaton are to bootal phosp ffluent sam as based of	Deek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 atic toxicity e determinular orthorous, orthorous, orthorous, orthorous, or the Nove on the Nove or the Nov	t Limita Revised (imately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 7 testing red by WR no-phospil or phospil o	ations Ations Ations Ations Ations TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020 equiremetry horous, Theorem should the properties of the control of th	and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition supstream from Fecal Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 condition and other particles of TP and should be calculated and and and and and and and and and an	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required and organic results of the sessing Phospheres.	Total P Monitor Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates itrogen is received than or equal to the control of the co	ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor rogen constitu	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Or identified	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be elid be
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority analyzed from analyzed from -Total Phospi-The new amr streamflows.	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: tant permit I mit application the same en the same e	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aqua on are to be otal phosp ffluent sam as based co	ncentration Deck approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 atic toxicity e determination orthogology orthogology or the ple. Orthogology or (B1), and to	t Limita Revised kimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 y testing r ed by WR no-phospl P is a co nic Nitrog ember 201 the existin	ations Ations TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020 requirement Proposition of the property of the p	and Condition and Co	pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 8.5 arameters requand organic redulations always be less TKN minus essing Phospother conditions.	Total P Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by categorist than or eq NH3. borus Loadirons, meet the	ortho- P Monitor Monit	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor rogen constitutions Vaters.	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Or identified D-P should uents shouleria at 30Q	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be lid be
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: itant permit I mit application intoring for to the same en the s	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aquaon are to bootal phosp ffluent sam as based cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6.	ncentration Permit Pek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 atic toxicity e determinulation, orthorous, ore	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 7 testing r ed by WR no-phospl P-P is a co nic Nitrog ember 201 the existin critical an	ations No. 1.8 miles TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020 equirement P. thorus, Themponent pen should the property among ammond winter and winter	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 CN, nitrate-nitrite, tof TP and should be calculated a Strategy for addition	ons (mg/L the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 arameters req and organic red always be less TKN minus essing Phospother condition	Total P Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates than or eq NH3. bhorus Loadir ons, meet the ow is used in	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor rogen constitute Vaters. at toxicity crit	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor OP Should Juents should Juenta at 30Q Juation and	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be lid be
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional CoPriority pollureview of periority periority periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority pe	Recom Same as (Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: tant permit I mit application titoring for tenthe same enter the sam	mendeccurrent awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aquaton are to be obtail phosp ffluent sam as based cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6. data at US	Dermit Dek approx NH3-N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 atic toxicity e determinishorus, orthorus, ortho	t Limita Revised kimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 y testing red by WR no-phosph -P is a conic Nitrogember 201 the existir critical an	ations Ations	and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition and Condition be upstream from Fecal Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 CN, nitrate-nitrite, to f TP and should be calculated a Strategy for addition in limits for the condition, respectively.	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 9.0 6.0 - 8.5 arameters required always be less TKN minus ressing Phospother conditional cutively. This flyonia, Ga. and	Total P Monitor Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cated on the case than or equitors, meet the own is used in tused spage.	Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit ugs in State V 2013 Ammon the ammonia	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthorogen constitue Vaters. Lia toxicity critical toxicity evaluations are constitued in the constitue of the constituent of the constit	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor OP-P should duents should duents should during at 30Q duation and Carnesvill	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be lld be 13 is e, Ga.
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority periority pollureview of periority periority periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority peri	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: itant permit I mit application into ring for to the same en orus limit with the same en orus limit for averaged pe is ~11 mile	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aquation are to be obtained by the same as based cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6. data at US as upstream	Dermit Dek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 Atic toxicity e determinion ple. Orthon ple. Organon the Nove (B1), and the GS gage of the form the first part of the first	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 / testing red by WR no-phospil ple is a cooler is a co	ations Ations	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 And Condition and Strategy for addition and Imits for the condition, respensed R. Near Lai	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required always be less TKN minus ressing Phospother conditions to trively. This flyonia, Ga. and or reduce the foot reduce the first constant of the conditions of the cond	Total P Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates mitrogen is received than or equal on the company of	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit ugs in State V 2013 Ammon the ammonia 02191000 N. hlorinated di	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor rogen constitue Vaters. Dia toxicity crital Broad R. Near Sinfection byp	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor OP-P should duents should duents should during at 30Q duation and Carnesvill	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be lld be 13 is e, Ga.
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority periority pollureview of periority periority periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority peri	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: itant permit I mit application into ring for to the same en orus limit with the same en orus limit for averaged pe is ~11 mile	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aquation are to be obtained by the same as based cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6. data at US as upstream	Dermit Dek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 Atic toxicity e determinion ple. Orthon ple. Organon the Nove (B1), and the GS gage of the form the first part of the first	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 / testing red by WR no-phospil ple is a cooler is a co	ations Ations	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required always be less TKN minus ressing Phospother conditions to trively. This flyonia, Ga. and or reduce the foot reduce the first constant of the conditions of the cond	Total P Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates mitrogen is received than or equal on the company of	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit ugs in State V 2013 Ammon the ammonia 02191000 N. hlorinated di	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor rogen constitue Vaters. Dia toxicity crital Broad R. Near Sinfection byp	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor OP-P should duents should duents should during at 30Q duation and Carnesvill	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be lld be 13 is e, Ga.
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority periority pollureview of periority periority periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority peri	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: itant permit I mit application into ring for to the same en orus limit with the same en orus limit for averaged pe is ~11 mile	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aquation are to be obtained by the same as based cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6. data at US as upstream	Dermit Dek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 Atic toxicity e determinion ple. Orthon ple. Organon the Nove (B1), and the GS gage of the form the first part of the first	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 / testing red by WR no-phospil ple is a cooler is a co	ations Ations	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required always be less TKN minus ressing Phospother conditions to trively. This flyonia, Ga. and or reduce the foot reduce the first constant of the conditions of the cond	Total P Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates mitrogen is received than or equal on the company of	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit ugs in State V 2013 Ammon the ammonia 02191000 N. hlorinated di	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor rogen constitue Vaters. Dia toxicity crital Broad R. Near Sinfection byp	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor OP-P should duents should duents should during at 30Q duation and Carnesvill	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be lld be 13 is e, Ga.
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority periority pollureview of periority periority periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority pollureview of periority peri	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: that permit I mit application into ring for to the same en the s	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aquation are to be otal phosp ffluent sam as based cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6. data at US es upstreau technolog	Dermit Dek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 Atic toxicity e determinion ple. Orthon ple. Organon the Nove (B1), and the GS gage of the form the first part of the first	t Limita Revised kimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 7 testing red by WR no-phospl P is a co nic Nitrog ember 201 the existin critical an 02190000 City of Re an chlorin	ations Ations	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required always be less TKN minus ressing Phospother conditions to trively. This flyonia, Ga. and or reduce the foot reduce the first constant of the conditions of the cond	Total P Monitor Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates itrogen is received than or equal to the company of the co	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit ugs in State V 2013 Ammon the ammonia 02191000 N. hlorinated di	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor orgen constitue Vaters. Dia toxicity critical toxicity evaluations by great constitues of the confluence of t	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor OP-P should uents should uents should carnesvill products, a	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be lld be 13 is e, Ga.
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Mov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Col -Priority pollureview of peru- Effluent modulanalyzed from analyzed from -Total Phosph -The new amm streamflows30Q3 is estin calculated frod -The discharg disinfection s	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: that permit I mit application into ring for to the same en the s	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 imits, aquation are to be otal phosp ffluent sam as based cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6. data at US es upstreau technolog	Deek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 atic toxicity e determinum on ple. Orthonous,	t Limita Revised kimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 7 testing red by WR no-phospl P is a co nic Nitrog ember 201 the existin critical an 02190000 City of Re an chlorin	ations Ations	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required and organic reduces the formula organic reduced the formula or red	Total P Monitor Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates itrogen is received than or equal to the company of the co	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit ugs in State V 2013 Ammon the ammonia 02191000 N. hlorinated di chlorine is u	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor orgen constitue Vaters. Dia toxicity critical toxicity evaluations by great constitues of the confluence of t	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor OP-P should uents should uents should carnesvill products, a	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be lid be 33 is e, Ga.
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority pollureview	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: tant permit I mit application the same enorus limit who made at 4.85 are averaged by seem using ad by:	mendec current awatti Cre BOD5 20 20 20 20 imits, aquation are to be otal phosp ffluent sam ffluent sam ffluent sam ffluent sam felluent sam tes based cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6. data at US data at US william	Dermit Dek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 Attic toxicity e determinule. Orthorus, orthople. Orthople. Orthogen the Nove (B1), and the Nove	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 7 testing r red by WR no-phosph P is a co nic Nitrog ember 201 the existin critical an 021900000 City of R an chlorin	ations Ations In Manager TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020 Requiremely. At a proposition of the proposition of	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required and organic reduces the formula organic reduced the formula or red	Total P Monitor Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates itrogen is received than or equal to the company of the co	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit ugs in State V 2013 Ammon the ammonia 02191000 N. hlorinated di chlorine is u	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor orgen constitue Vaters. Dia toxicity critical toxicity evaluations by great constitues of the confluence of t	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor OP-P should uents should uents should carnesvill products, a	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be lid be 33 is e, Ga.
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Mov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Nov-Apr (B2) Additional Col -Priority pollureview of peru- Effluent modulanalyzed from analyzed from -Total Phosph -The new amm streamflows30Q3 is estin calculated frod -The discharg disinfection s	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: tant permit I mit application the same enorus limit who made at 4.85 are averaged by seem using ad by:	mendec current awatti Cre BOD5 20 20 20 20 imits, aquation are to be otal phosp ffluent sam ffluent sam ffluent sam ffluent sam felluent sam tes based cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6. data at US data at US william	Deek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 atic toxicity e determinum on ple. Orthonous,	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 7 testing r red by WR no-phosph P is a co nic Nitrog ember 201 the existin critical an 021900000 City of R an chlorin	ations Ations In Manager TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020 Requiremely. At a proposition of the proposition of	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required and organic reduces the formula organic reduced the formula or red	Total P Monitor Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates itrogen is received than or equal to the company of the co	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit ugs in State V 2013 Ammon the ammonia 02191000 N. hlorinated di chlorine is u	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor orgen constitue Vaters. Dia toxicity critical toxicity evaluations by great constitues of the confluence of t	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor OP-P should uents should uents should carnesvill products, a	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be eld be 13 is e, Ga.
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority pollureview	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: tant permit I mit application the same e enorus limit who mated at 4.85 are averaged by seem using ad by:	mendec current awatti Cre BOD5 20 20 20 20 imits, aquation are to be otal phosp ffluent sam ffluent sam ffluent sam ffluent sam felluent sam tes based cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6. data at US data at US william	Dermit Dek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 Attic toxicity e determinule. Orthorus, orthople. Orthople. Orthogen the Nove (B1), and the Nove	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 7 testing r red by WR no-phosph P is a co nic Nitrog ember 201 the existin critical an 021900000 City of R an chlorin	ations Ations In Manager TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020 Requiremely. At a proposition of the proposition of	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required and organic reduces the formula organic reduced the formula or red	Total P Monitor Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates itrogen is received than or equal to the company of the co	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit ugs in State V 2013 Ammon the ammonia 02191000 N. hlorinated di chlorine is u	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor orgen constitue Vaters. Dia toxicity critical toxicity evaluations by great constitues of the confluence of t	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor OP-P should uents should uents should carnesvill products, a	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be eld be 13 is e, Ga.
Part IV: Rationale: Location: Seasonal Limits May-Oct (B1) Nov-Apr (B1) May-Oct (B2) Additional Co-Priority pollureview of periority pollureview	Recom Same as of Along Un Effluent Flow (MGD) 0.75 0.75 1.32 1.32 mments: ttant permit I mit application the same entorus limit with a same entorus limit for a the same entorus limit for a t	mendec current awatti Cre BODs 20 20 20 20 imits, aqua on are to be otal phosp ffluent sam ffluent sam ffluent sam cor Nov-Apr cfs and 6. data at US data at US william Mana	Dermit Dek approx NH ₃ -N 4.7 12.3 2.0 5.7 Attic toxicity e determinule. Orthorus, orthople. Orthople. Orthogen the Nove (B1), and the Nove	t Limita Revised cimately 1 DO (min.) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 7 testing r red by WR no-phosph P is a co nic Nitrog ember 201 the existin critical an 021900000 City of R an chlorin	ations Ations In Manager TRC (daily max.) 0.018 0.027 0.015 0.020 Requiremely. At a proposition of the proposition of	and Condition and Coliform (No./100mL) 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	the Unawatti pH (std. units) 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 9.0 6.0 – 8.5 6.0 – 8.5 arameters required and organic reduces the formula organic reduced the formula or red	Total P Monitor Monitor 1.0 1.0 uired by cates itrogen is received than or equal to the company of the co	nthly ave Muddy Brand Ortho- P Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor Gorical efflue commended. ual to TP. Nit ugs in State V 2013 Ammon the ammonia 02191000 N. hlorinated di chlorine is u	rage exce ch confluence Organic- Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor T-P and Orthor orgen constitue Vaters. Dia toxicity critical toxicity evaluations by great constitues of the confluence of t	pt as no Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen Monitor Monitor Monitor Monitor O-P should uents should uent	Nitrate- Nitrite Monitor Monitor Monitor d during be lid be 33 is e, Ga.

Georgia Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Division Atlanta, Georgia

FACT SHEET

Appendix B

Lavonia Water Pollution Control Plant NPDES Permit No. GA0038661

Ammonia Toxicity Analysis

	Ammonia T	oxic	ty Anal	ysis				
		for						
	Waste Load Allo	ocatio	on Deve	lopme	ent			
	Phase	l (0.7	5 MGD)					
Date:	5/30/2020							
	Lavonia WPCP							
NPDES Permit Number:								
Receiving Stream:								
	William Wang							
	May - October							
Stream and Facility Data	a.							
ou out and a doming Date	Background Stream pH (standard units):	6.8						
	Effluent pH (standard units):							
	Final Stream pH (standard units):							
	Stream Temperature (Celsius):							
	30Q3 Streamflow (cfs):							
Stroom ho	ackground concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L):							
Sucalliba	Facility Discharge (MGD/cfs):		1.16					
	Total Combined Flow (cfs):		1.10					
	Total Combined Flow (Cis).	0.01						
	Effluent concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L) =	5.0						
	indent concentration (Total Ni 10-14, mg/L)	0.5						
	lf	5.9	is greater	than 17 4	ma/Luse 1	7.4 ma/L in W	VLA modeling.	
	"	0.0	13 gicator	ulaii i i . 	mg/L, usc T	. mg/L m v	VEA modeling.	
Chronic Criterion based	I on Villosa iris (Rainbow mussel):							
	continuous concentration (chronic criterion):							
	$((1 + 10^{(7.688 - \text{pH})}) + 1.1994 / (1 + 10^{(\text{pH} - 7.688)}))$	x (2 126	S x 10 ^{0.028 x (2}	20-MAX(T,7))	\			
0.0010 X (0.02101	(1.10). 1.10047 (1.10))	X (2.12)	7 X 10		1			
Allowable instre	am concentration CCC (Total NH3-N, mg/l) =	1 17						
Allowable Ilistica		1.17						
Georgia De	partment of Natural Resources, E	nviro	nmental F	Protecti	on Divisio	on, Atlanta	a, Georgia	
						,		

Ammonia Toxicity Analysis Waste Load Allocation Development Phase I (0.75 MGD) Date: 5/30/2020 Facility: Lavonia WPCP NPDES Permit Number: GA0047589 Receiving Stream: Unawatti Creek Engineer: William Wang Comments: November - April Stream and Facility Data: Background Stream pH (standard units): 6.7 Effluent pH (standard units): 8.5 Final Stream pH (standard units): 6.77 Stream Temperature (Celsius): 21.5 30Q3 Streamflow (cfs): 6.69 Stream background concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L): 0.03 Facility Discharge (MGD/cfs): 0.75 1.16 Total Combined Flow (cfs): 7.85 Effluent concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L) = 12.3 If 12.3 is greater than 17.4 mg/L, use 17.4 mg/L in WLA modeling. Chronic Criterion based on Villosa iris (Rainbow mussel): Instream CCC = criterion continuous concentration (chronic criterion): $CCC = 0.8876 \times (0.0278 / (1 + 10^{(7.688 - pH)}) + 1.1994 / (1 + 10^{(pH - 7.688)})) \times (2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20 - MAX(T, T))})$ Allowable instream concentration CCC (Total NH3-N, mg/l) = 1.84 Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, Atlanta, Georgia

	Ammonia T	oxic	ty Analy	ysis			
		for					
	Waste Load Allo	ocatio	on Deve	lopme	nt		
	Pashe I	I (1.3	2 MGD)				
Date:	5/30/2020						
Facility:	Lavonia WPCP						
NPDES Permit Number:	GA0047589						
Receiving Stream:	Unawatti Creek						
Engineer:	William Wang						
Comments:	May - October						
Stream and Facility Data	a:						
	Background Stream pH (standard units):	6.8					
	Effluent pH (standard units):	9.0					
	Final Stream pH (standard units):	6.95					
	Stream Temperature (Celsius):	28.0					
	30Q3 Streamflow (cfs):						
Stream ba	ckground concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L):						
	Facility Discharge (MGD/cfs):		2.04				
	Total Combined Flow (cfs):						
	· · ·						
E	Effluent concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L)	3.8					
	If	3.8	is greater t	than 17.4 m	ng/L, use 17.4	mg/L in WLA	modeling.
Chronic Criterion based	on Villosa iris (Rainbow mussel):						
Instream CCC = criterion	continuous concentration (chronic criterion):						
	$(1 + 10^{(7.688 - pH)}) + 1.1994 / (1 + 10^{(pH - 7.688)})$	x (2.126	3 x 10 ^{0.028 x (2}	0-MAX(T,7))			
				,			
Allowable instrea	am concentration CCC (Total NH3-N, mg/l) =	1.15					
	, ,						
Georgia De	partment of Natural Resources, E	nviro	ımental P	rotectio	n Division	, Atlanta,	Georgia

Ammonia Toxicity Analysis			
		or	
	Waste Load Allo	ation Development	
	Phase I	1.32 MGD)	
Date:	5/30/2020		
	Lavonia WPCP		
NPDES Permit Number:			
Receiving Stream:			
	William Wang		
	November - April		
Commenter			
Stream and Facility Data	a.		
Otteam and I acmity Date	Background Stream pH (standard units):	7	
	Effluent pH (standard units):		
	Final Stream pH (standard units):		
	Stream Temperature (Celsius):		
04	30Q3 Streamflow (cfs):		
Stream ba	ckground concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L):		
	Facility Discharge (MGD/cfs):		
	Total Combined Flow (cfs):	/3	
E	Effluent concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L) =	/	
	ıc	7.7	AI - I'
	If	7.7 is greater than 17.4 mg/L, use 17.4 mg/L in WI	.A modeling.
	I on Villosa iris (Rainbow mussel):		
Instream CCC = criterion continuous concentration (chronic criterion):			
$CCC = 0.8876 \times (0.0278 / (1 + 10^{(7.688 - pH)}) + 1.1994 / (1 + 10^{(pH - 7.688)})) \times (2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20 - MAX(T,7))})$			
Allowable instream concentration CCC (Total NH3-N, mg/l) = 1.82			
Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division, Atlanta, Georgia			