Part V:

Scoring Criteria for the Index of Biotic Integrity to Monitor Fish Communities in Wadeable Streams in the Coosa and Tennessee River Basins of the Blue Ridge Ecoregion of Georgia

Georgia Department of Natural Resources Wildlife Resources Division Fisheries Management Section

2020

Table of Contents

Introduction	Pg. 1
Figure 1: Map of Blue Ridge Ecoregion	Pg. 3
Table 1: Listed Fish in the Blue Ridge Ecoregion	Pg. 4
Table 2: Metrics and Scoring Criteria	Pg. 5
Figure 2: Multidimensional scaling ordination plot	Pg. 7
Table 4: High Elevation criteria	Pg. 8
References	Pg. 9
Appendix 1	Pg. 11
Coosa Basin Group (ACT) MSR Graphs	Pg. 12
Tennessee Basin Group (TEN) MSR Graphs	Pg. 20
Blue Ridge Ecoregion Fish List	Pg. 28

Introduction

The Blue Ridge ecoregion (BRM), one of Georgia's six Level III ecoregions (Griffith *et al.* 2001), forms the boundary for the development of this fish index of biotic integrity (IBI). Encompassing approximately 2,639 mi² in northeast Georgia, the BRM includes portions of four major river basins — the Chattahoochee (CHT, 142.2 mi²), Coosa (COO, 1257.5 mi²), Savannah (SAV, 345.3 mi²), and Tennessee (TEN, 894.2 mi²) — and all or part of 16 counties (Figure 1). Due to the relatively small watershed areas and physical and biological parameters of the CHT and SAV basins within the BRM, and the resulting low number of sampled sites, IBI scoring criteria have not been developed for these basins. Therefore, only sites in the COO and TEN basins, meeting the criteria set forth in this document, should be scored with the following metrics.

The metrics and scoring criteria adopted for the BRM IBI were developed by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Wildlife Resources Division (GAWRD), Stream Survey Team using data collected from 178 sample sites by GAWRD within the COO (109 sites) and TEN (69 sites) basins. Fish communities in streams with watershed areas less than one square mile cannot be assessed using this SOP due to inherently low diversity within headwater streams. Benthic macroinvertebrate assessments may serve as an alternate biological assessment tool for these streams. Available at: (https://epd.georgia.gov/macroinvertebrate-bioassessment-standard-operating-procedures-sop-and-metric-spreadsheets).

The GAWRD collected a total of 90 of the 121 species known to inhabit wadeable BRM streams in the COO and TEN basins (Appx. 1). A total of 27 state listed species have been collected in the Ridge and Valley ecoregion (Table 1). The state listed fish collected in the Ridge and Valley ecoregion are ranked as endangered (E), threatened (T), or rare (R) based on the Endangered Wildlife Act of 1973 (GAWRD, Wildlife Conservation Section; http://georgiabiodiversity.org/, 2019).

Inherent differences in species richness warranted separate scoring criteria for the COO and TEN basins. Scoring information related to tolerance rankings, feeding guilds, and species categories are included in (Appx. 1). Table 2 shows the scoring criteria developed for the thirteen BRM IBI metrics (for metric descriptions refer to Part I,). Maximum Species Richness (MSR) graphs are included in (Appx. 1).

Scores for the BRM IBI ranged from 12 to 56 with a median of 34. Based on IBI classes (GAWRD 2019, pg 36), 14 (12 COO, 2 TEN) sites ranked EXCELLENT, 46 (29 COO, 17 TEN) ranked GOOD, 51 (31 COO, 20 TEN) ranked FAIR, 43 (21 COO, 22 TEN) ranked POOR, and 24 (16 COO, 8 TEN) ranked VERY POOR. Fish abundance data was standardized for each site, and sites were grouped based on community similarities. We used Primer 6.0 statistical software for ecological data to determine Bray Curtis similarities between sites (Clarke and Gorley 2001; Clarke and Warwick 2006). We categorized each site by basin and IBI class as determined by the metrics presented here, and averaged fish community data across these categories. Figure 2 illustrates the relative ability of the current metrics to separate sites based on fish community health.

Regional Diversity

High elevation and high gradient watersheds are common in the BRM, and fish diversity is relatively high when compared to other ecoregions in Georgia. However, some BRM streams represent unique systems where high elevation effects (e.g., cooler water temperatures, widely fluctuating flows, and steep gradient) pose insurmountable barriers to colonization by many fishes. These characteristics are common in high elevation streams throughout the Appalachian Mountains and often result in low fish diversity. Therefore, 27 COO and TEN sites were excluded from this analysis in addition to the BRM sites located in the CHT and SAV basins. We designated these 27 sites as high-elevation/trout-dominated (HETD) streams.

The IBI is designed to assess biotic integrity through the use of fish community metrics representing species richness, species composition, trophic composition, and fish abundance and condition (Fausch et al 1984). Due to the low diversity of the HETD streams, the attributes of fish communities represented in this BRM IBI are not appropriate for assessing biotic integrity of HETD streams. Criteria for using this BRM IBI, based on river basin, elevation, number of native species, and trout population characteristics, are presented in (Table 4). Fish samples not meeting these criteria should be assessed using alternative methods.



Figure 1. Level III Blue Ridge ecoregion (outlined in red) in Georgia. Major river basins include the Chattahoochee, Coosa, Savannah, and Tennessee

Species	State	Federal	Basin
Blue shiner (<i>Cyprinella caerulea</i>)*	Е	Т	COO
Blotched chub (Erimystax insignis)*	Е		TEN
Holiday darter (Etheostoma brevirostrum)*	Е		COO
Greenfin darter (Etheostoma chlorobranchium)*	Т		TEN
Etowah darter (<i>Etheostoma etowahae</i>)*	Е	Е	COO
Rock darter (Etheostoma rupestre)	R		COO
Cherokee darter (<i>Etheostoma scotti</i>)*	Т	Т	COO
Wounded darter (Etheostoma vulneratum)	Е		TEN
Lined chub (Hybopsis lineapunctata)*	R		COO
Coosa chub (Macrhybopsis etnieri)	Е		COO
River redhorse (Moxostoma carinatum)*	R		COO/TEN
Sicklefin redhorse (Moxostoma sp. sicklefin redhorse)	Е	С	TEN
Burrhead shiner (Notropis asperifrons)*	Т		COO
Highscale Shiner (Notropis hypsileps)	R		COO
Silver shiner (Notropis photogenis)	Е		TEN
Sandbar Shiner (Notropis scepticus)	R		COO
Frecklebelly Madtom (Noturus munitus)	E		COO
Amber Darter (Percina antesella)	Е	Е	COO
Tangerine darter (Percina aurantiaca)	Е		TEN
Goldline darter (Percina aurolineata)*	E	Т	COO
Halloween Darter (Percina crypta)	Т		COO
Conasauga Logperch (Percina jenkinsi)	E	Е	COO
Bridled darter (Percina kusha)*	E		COO
Freckled Darter (Percina lenticula)	E		COO
Dusky darter (Percina sciera)	R		TEN
Olive darter (Percina squamata)	Е		TEN

Table 1. State listed fish found in the Coosa and Tennessee portions of the Blue Ridge ecoregion of Georgia (GAWRD, Wildlife Conservation Section; http://georgiabiodiversity.org/, 2019).

Status: E = endangered; R = rare; T = threatened; C = candidate Basin: COO = Coosa; TEN = Tennessee

*Callested has CAWDD SST

*Collected by GAWRD-SST

Table 2. Index of Biotic Integrity metrics for wadeable streams within the Coosa and Tennessee portions of the Blue Ridge ecoregion of Georgia, that are not high-elevation, trout-dominated (see Table 4). Scoring criteria include slopes of each trisection line (and coordinates where slope of trisection line becomes zero) for metrics 1 - 6 and numerical breaks for metrics 7 - 13.

	Metric	Basin Group	Scoring Criteria			
	Species Richness Metrics		5/3 Breaks	<u>3/1 Breaks</u>		
1.	Number of native species	COO	y = 12.34x + 4.43 (1.55, 23.5)	y = 8.93x + 3.21 (1.55, 17.0)		
		TEN	y = 11.51x + 1.90 (1.33, 17.2)	y = 8.99x + 1.48 (1.33, 13.5)		
2.	Number of benthic fluvial specialist species	COO	y = 3.77x + 0.94 (1.55, 6.8)	y = 2.54x + 0.64 (1.55, 4.6)		
		TEN	y = 3.68x - 0.45 (1.12, 3.7)	y = 2.34x - 0.29 (1.12, 2.3)		
3.	Number of native sunfish species ^a	COO	y = 0.73x + 1.29 (1.89, 2.7)	y = 0.36x + 0.65 (1.89, 1.3)		
		TEN	y = 2.87x - 0.24 (1.01, 2.7)	y = 1.43x - 0.12 (1.01, 1.3)		
	Number of native centrarchid species ^b	COO	y = 3.28x + 1.00 (1.24, 5.1)	y = 2.04x + 0.62 (1.24, 3.2)		
	1	TEN	y = 2.57x + 0.18 (1.23, 3.3)	y = 1.28x + 0.09 (1.23, 1.7)		
4.	Number of native insectivorous cyprinid species	COO	y = 3.69x + 0.19 (1.21, 4.7)	y = 1.85x + 0.10 (1.21, 2.3)		
		TEN	y = 3.81x - 0.52 (1.36, 4.7)	y = 1.91x - 0.26 (1.36, 2.3)		
5.	Number of native round-bodied sucker species	COO	y = 2.36x + 0.44 (1.41, 3.8)	y = 1.59x + 0.30 (1.41, 2.5)		
		TEN	y = 2.16x - 0.24 (1.54, 3.1)	y = 1.53x - 0.17 (1.54, 2.2)		
6.	Number of sensitive species ^a	COO	y = 2.51x - 0.27 (1.17, 2.7)	y = 1.25x - 0.13 (1.17, 1.3)		
	1	TEN	y = 2.84x + 0.16 (1.12, 3.3)	y = 1.42x + 0.08 (1.12, 1.7)		
	Number of intolerant species ^b	COO	y = 3.34x - 1.44 (2.23, 6.0)	y = 1.67x - 0.72 (2.23, 3.0)		
		TEN	y = 4.56x - 1.76 (1.12, 3.3)	y = 2.28x - 0.88 (1.12, 1.7)		

S

Table 2 continued

6

	Metric	Basin Group	Sc	Scoring Criteria		
	Species Composition Metrics		<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	
7.	Evenness	COO	≥73.8	\geq 63.9 – 73.8	< 63.9	
		TEN	≥70.6	\geq 57.3 – 70.6	< 57.3	
8.	% of individuals as Lepomis species	COO	≤10.5	\leq 21.1 – 10.5	> 21.1	
		TEN	\leq 6.8	\leq 13.5 – 6.8	> 13.5	
9.	% of individuals as insectivorous cyprinids	COO	≥ 30.7	\geq 15.3 – 30.7	< 15.3	
		TEN	≥28.8	\geq 14.4 – 28.8	< 14.4	
10.	% of individuals as generalist feeders and herbivores ^a	COO	≤40.6	$\leq 68.0 - 40.6$	> 68.0	
		TEN	<u><</u> 53.7	\leq 72.6 – 53.7	> 72.6	
	% of individuals as top carnivores ^b	COO	\geq 8.0 – \leq 19.8	$\geq 4.0 - 8.0$	< 4.0	
				$> 19.8 - \le 23.8$	> 23.8	
		TEN	\geq 9.2 – \leq 23.1	\geq 4.6 – 9.2	< 4.6	
				$> 23.1 - \leq 27.7$	> 27.7	
11.	% of individuals as benthic fluvial specialists	COO	≥ 50.8	\geq 26.7 – 50.8	< 26.7	
		TEN	≥ 60.9	\geq 39.9 – 60.9	< 39.9	
	Abundance and condition metrics					
12.	Number of individuals per 200 meters	COO	≥ 726.1	\geq 399.7 – 726.1	< 399.7	
		TEN	≥ 724.8	\geq 411.3 – 724.8	< 411.3	
13.	% of individuals with external anomalies	COO/TEN	> 0.5 subtract 4 points from total score			

^a used at sites with an upstream drainage basin area < 15 square miles ^b used at sites with an upstream drainage basin area ≥ 15 square miles

Figure 2. Multidimensional scaling ordination plot of average Bray Curtis similarities for Coosa (COO) and Tennessee (TEN) basins. Sites are grouped by fish community similarities and averaged across basin and IBI class.



Table 4. Criteria for determining if streams in the Blue Ridge ecoregion (BRM) of Georgia should be scored using the index of biotic integrity (IBI) described in this document. Sites meeting all of the components of criteria 1 OR criteria 2 should not be scored using the BRM IBI outlined in this document.

Criteria	Elevation	DBA (mi ²)	Number Native Species	% Trout by Number
1	> 1400' (COO) > 1800' (TEN)	< 15	≤5	≥20%
2	\geq 50% Trout by Weight			

 ∞

References

- Boschung, H.T. and R.L. Mayden. 2004. Fishes of Alabama. Smithsonian Institution. Washington D.C. (Cited as a work rather than as individual species accounts in the interest of space, Appx. A).
- Clarke, K.R. and R.M. Warwick. 2001. Change in marine communities: An approach to Statistical Analysis and Interpretation, Second Edition. PRIMER-E: Plymouth, UK.
- Clarke, K.R. and R.N. Gorley. 2006. PRIMER Version 6: User Manual/Tutorial. PRIMER-E: Plymouth, UK.
- Etnier, D.A. and W.C. Starnes. 1993. Fishes of Tennessee. University of Tennessee Press. Knoxville, Tennessee. (Cited as a work rather than as individual species accounts in the interest of space, Appx. A).
- Fausch, K.D., J.R. Karr, and P.R. Yant. 1984. Regional Application of an Index of Biotic Integrity Based on Stream Fish Communities. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 113:39 – 55.
- Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Wildlife Resources Division, Nongame Conservation Section. 2010. Protected Speces of Georgia. Available from: http://georgiawildlife.com/sites/default/files/uploads/wildlife/nongame/text/html/protecte d_species/Fish.html
- Griffith, G.E., J.M. Omernik, J.A. Comstock, S. Lawrence, and T. Foster. 2001. Level III and IV Ecoregions of Georgia (color poster with map, descriptive text, summary tables, and photographs). Reston, Virginia. U.S. Geological Survey.
- Jenkins, R.E. and N.M. Burkhead. 1994. Fishes of Virginia. American Fisheries Society. Methesda, Maryland. (Cited as a work rather than as individual species accounts in the interest of space, Appx. A).
- Lee, D.S., C.R. Gilbert, C.H. Hocutt, R.E. Jenkins, D.E. McAllister, and J.R. Stauffer, Jr. 1980. Atlas of North American Freshwater Fishes. North Carolina State Museum of Natural History, Raleigh, North Carolina. (Cited as a work rather than as individual species accounts in the interest of space, Appx. A).
- Lyons, J. 1992. Using the Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) to Measure Environmental Quality in Warmwater Streams of Wisconsin. North Central Forest Experiment Station. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. General Technical Report NC-149.
- Straight, C.A., B. Albanese, and B.J. Freeman. Updated 2009 March 25. Georgia Museum of Natural History. Available from: http://fishesofgeorgia.uga.edu

Vannote, R.L, G.W. Minshall, K.W. Cummins, J.R. Sedell, and C.E. Cushing. The River Continuum Concept. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, Vol 37: 130 – 137.

Appendix 1

Coosa Basin Group (ACT) MSR Graphs	Pg.	12
Tennessee Basin Group (TEN) MSR Graphs	. Pg.	20
Blue Ridge Ecoregion Fish List	.Pg.	28



ACT1 - BRM. Total number of species in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Coosa drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



ACT2 - BRM. Number of benthic invertivore species in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Coosa drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



ACT3a - BRM. Number of native sunfish species in headwater streams (<15 square miles drainage basin area) in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Coosa drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



ACT3b - BRM. Number of native centrarchid species in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Coosa drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



ACT4 - BRM. Number of native insectivorous cyprinid species in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Coosa drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



ACT5 - BRM. Number of native round-bodied sucker species in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Coosa drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



ACT6a - BRM. Total number of species ranked as sensitive at headwater sites (<15 square miles drainage basin area) in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Coosa drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



ACT6b - BRM. Number of species ranked as intolerant in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Coosa drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



TEN1 - BRM. Total number of native species in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Tennessee drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



TEN2 - BRM. Number of benthic invertivore species in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Tennessee drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



TEN3a - BRM. Number of native sunfish species in headwater streams (<15 square miles drainage basin area) in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Tennessee drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



TEN3b - BRM. Number of native centrarchid species in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Tennessee drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



TEN4 - BRM. Number of native insectivorous cyprinid species in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Tennessee drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



TEN5 - BRM. Number of native round-bodied sucker species in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Tennessee drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).



TEN6a - BRM. Total number of species ranked as sensitive at headwater sites (<15 square miles drainage basin area) in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Tennessee drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed valued of the drainage basin area (squaremiles).



TEN6b - **BRM.** Number of species ranked as intolerant in the Blue Ridge ecoregion of the Tennessee drainage basin plotted against the log (base 10) transformed value of the drainage basin area (square miles).

Fishes of the Coosa and Tennessee River Basins within the Blue Ridge Ecoregion of Georgia. (Updated December 2019)

Species	Tolerance Ranking	Feeding Guild	Species Category	Drainage Basin	Federal Status	State Status
Petromyzontidae Chestnut Lamprey* Ichthyomyzon castaneus	ĭ	PR		COO, TEN		
Southern Brook Lamprey* Ichthyomyzon gagei		HB		COO		
Mountain Brook Lamprey* Ichthyomyzon greeleyi	INT	HB		TEN		
Least Brook Lamprey Lampetra aepyptera	INT	HB		COO		
Lepisosteidae Longnose Gar Lepisosteus osseus		CR		COO, TEN		
Clupeidae Gizzard Shad Dorosoma cepedianum*		ОМ		COO, TEN		
Threadfin Shad Dorosoma petenense		ОМ		COO, TEN		
Cyprinidae Central Stoneroller* <i>Campostoma anomalum</i>		HB		TEN		
Largescale Stoneroller* Campostoma oligolepis		HB		COO, TEN		
Rosyside Dace* Clinostomus funduloides		IC		TEN		
Blue Shiner* Cyprinella caerulea	INT	IC	SMM	COO	Т	Е
Alabama Shiner* Cyprinella callistia		IC	SMM	COO		
Whitetail Shiner* Cyprinella galactura		IC	SMM	TEN		
Tricolor Shiner* <i>Cyprinella trichroistia</i>		IC		COO		
Blacktail shiner* <i>Cyprinella venusta</i>		IC		COO		

Species	Tolerance Ranking	Feeding Guild	Species Category	Drainage Basin	Federal Status	State Status
Common Carp*		GE	cutegory	EXOTIC		
Cyprinus carpio						
Blotched Chub*		OM	SMM	TEN		Е
Erimystax insignis						
Bigeye Chub*		IC	SMM	TEN		
Hybopsis amblops						
Lined Chub*	INT	IC	SMM	COO		R
Hybopsis lineapunctata						
Striped Shiper*		IC		COO TEN		
Luxilus chrysocephalus		10				
Warnaint Shinar*		IC		TEN		
Luxilus coccogenis		IC.		I L'IN		
		IC		C00**		
Bandfin Shiner* Luxilus zonistius		IC		000**		
Mountain Shiner*	INT	IC		COO		
Lytti ui us tii us						
Coosa Chub Maarku kanaja atujari	INT	IC	SMM	COO		Е
Macrnydopsis einieri						
Bluehead Chub*		OM		COO		
Nocomis leptocephalus						
River Chub*		OM		COO**, TEN		
Nocomis micropogon						
Golden Shiner*		GE		COO, TEN		
Notemigonus crysoleucas						
Burrhead Shiner*	INT	IC		COO		Т
Notropis asperifrons						
Rainbow Shiner*	HWI	IC		COO		
Notropis chrosomus		10				
Tonnossoo Shinor*		IC		TEN		
Notropis leuciodus		ю		I LIN		
		IC	CMM	600		
Notropis longirostris		IC	SIMIM	000		
Yellowiin Shiner* Notropis lutipinnis		IC		COO, TEN**		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_				_
Silver Shiner Notropis photogenis		IC		TEN		E

Species	Tolerance Ranking	Feeding Guild	Species Category	Drainage Basin	Federal Status	State Status
Mirror Shiner*	Tunning	IC	SMM	TEN	Status	Status
Notropis spectrunculus						
Silverstripe Shiner*		IC		COO		
Notropis stilbius						
Telescope Shiner*		IC		TEN		
Notropis telescopus						
Coosa Shiner*		IC		COO		
Notropis xaenocephalus		10		000		
Diffle Misserer	INT	IC	CM A	600		
Phenacobius catostomus	IIN I	IC	SIVIN	00		
						_
Fatlips Minnow* Phenacobius crassilabrum	INT	IC	SMM	TEN		E
1 nenacooras crassitaoram						
Bullhead Minnow		OM		COO		
Pimephales vigilax						
Blacknose Dace*		IC	SMM	COO, TEN		
Rhinichthys atratulus						
Longnose Dace*	HWI	IC	SMM	TEN		
Rhinichthys cataractae						
Creek Chub*		GE		COO. TEN		
Semotilus atromaculatus				,		
Catastamidae						
White Sucker*		IN	RBS	TEN		
Catostomus commersoni						
Alabama Hogsucker*		IN	RBS	COO		
Hypentelium etowanum						
Northarn Hogsuckar*		IN	PBS	TEN		
Hypentelium nigricans		110	KD5	I LIN		
		DI	DDG			
Spotted Sucker* Minvtrema melanops		IN	RBS	COO, TEN		
Silver Redhorse		IN	RBS	TEN		
Moxosioma anisaram						
River Redhorse*	INT	IN	RBS	COO, TEN		R
Moxostoma carinatum						
Black Redhorse*	INT	IN	RBS	COO, TEN		
Moxostoma duquesnei						
Golden Redhorse*		IN	RBS	COO, TEN		
Moxostoma erythrurum				,		

Species	Tolerance Ranking	Feeding Guild	Species Category	Drainage Basin	Federal Status	State Status
Blacktail Redhorse*		IN	RBS	COO		
Moxostoma poecilurum						
Sicklefin Redhorse Moxostoma sp. sicklefin redhorse	INT	IN	RBS	TEN	С	Е
Ictaluridae Snail Bullhead* Ameiurus brunneus		GE		COO, TEN**		
Black Bullhead* Ameiurus melas		GE		COO, TEN		
Yellow Bullhead* Ameiurus natalis		GE		COO, TEN		
Brown Bullhead* Ameiurus nebulosus		GE		COO, TEN		
Channel Catfish* <i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>		GE		COO, TEN		
Speckled Madtom* Noturus leptacanthus		IN	BI	COO		F
Frecklebelly Madtom <i>Noturus munitus</i>		IN	BI	COO		E
Flathead Catfish* Pylodictis olivaris		CR		COO, TEN		
Salmonidae Rainbow Trout* Oncorhynchus mykiss		CR		EXOTIC		
Brown Trout* Salmo trutta		CR		EXOTIC		
Brook Trout* Salvelinus fontinalis	INT	CR		COO**, TEN		
Fundulidae Southern Studfish* Fundulus stellifer		IN		COO		
Poeciliidae Mosquitofish* Gambusia sp.		GE		COO, TEN		
Cottidae Smoky Sculpin* Cottus spp.		GE	BI	COO, TEN		

Species	Tolerance Ranking	Feeding Guild	Species Category	Drainage Basin	Federal Status	State Status
Banded Sculpin*	Tunning	GE	BI	COO, TEN	Status	Status
Cottus carolinae						
Percichthyidae						
White Bass		CR		COO**, TEN		
Morone chrysops						
Striped Bass Morone saxatilis		CR		COO		
Centrarchidae						
Shadow Bass*	INT	CR	SF	COO		
Ambloplites ariommus						
Doal- Dogo*	INIT	CD	SE.	TEN		
Ambloplites rupestris	118.1	CK	51	I LIN		
······································						
Redbreast Sunfish*		IN	SF	COO**, TEN**		
Lepomis duritus						
Green Sunfish*		GE	SF	COO, TEN		
Lepomis cyanellus						
Warmouth*		CR	SF	COO. TEN		
Lepomis gulosus			21	000,121		
DI		DI	CE	COO TEN		
Bluegili* Lenomis macrochirus		IN	SF	COO, TEN		
Longear Sunfish*		IN	SF	COO, TEN		
Lepomis megalotis						
Redear Sunfish*		IN	SF	COO, TEN		
Lepomis microlophus						
Spotted Supfish*		IN	SE	COO		
Lepomis punctatus x miniatus		11N	51	000		
1 1						
Redeye Bass*		CR	CENT	COO, TEN**		
Micropierus coosae						
Smallmouth Bass*	INT	CR	CENT	TEN		
Micropterus dolomieu						
Alabama Bass*		CR	CENT	COO. TEN**		
Micropterus henshalli				,,		
		CD	OFNE	TENT		
Spotted Bass* Micropterus punctulatus		CR	CENT	IEN		
Largemouth bass*		CR	CENT	COO, TEN		
Micropterus salmoides						

Species	Tolerance Ranking	Feeding Guild	Species Category	Drainage Basin	Federal Status	State Status
White crappie	Tunning	CR	CENT	COO, TEN	Status	Status
Pomoxis annularis						
Black crappie*		CR	CENT	COO, TEN		
Pomoxis nigromaculatus						
Percidae						
Greenside darter* Etheostoma blennioides		IN	BI	TEN		
Holiday darter* Etheostoma brevirostrum	INT	IN	BI	COO		E
~ ~ ~ ~						_
Greenfin darter* Etheostoma chlorobranchium		IN	BI	TEN		Т
~				~~~~		
Coosa darter* Etheostoma coosae		IN	BI	000		
	DIT	DI	DI	600	F	F
Etowah darter* Etheostoma etowahae	IN I	IN	BI	000	E	E
Tuskassa Dautau*	INIT	INI	DI	TENI		
Etheostoma gutselli	11N 1	IIN	DI	I EIN		
Croonbroast dartor*	INT	IN	DI	COO		
Etheostoma jordani	110.1	11N	DI	000		
Redline darter*		IN	BI	TFN		
Etheostoma rufilineatum		114	DI	I LIN		
Rock darter		IN	BI	COO		R
Etheostoma rupestre		11 (DI	000		1
Cherokee darter*		IN	BI	COO	Т	Т
Etheostoma scotti			21		-	-
Speckled darter*		IN	BI	COO		
Etheostoma stigmaeum						
Trispot darter*	INT	IN	BI	COO		Е
Etheostoma trisella						
Wounded darter	INT	IN	BI	TEN		Е
Etheostoma vulneratum						
Banded darter*		IN	BI	TEN		
Etheostoma zonale						
Yellow perch*		CR		EXOTIC		
Perca flavescens						
Amber Darter		IN	BI	COO	Е	Е
Percina antesella						

Species	Tolerance Ranking	Feeding Guild	Species Category	Drainage Basin	Federal Status	State Status
Tangerine darter <i>Percina aurantiaca</i>	8	IN	BI	TEN		E
Goldline darter* <i>Percina aurolineata</i>	INT	IN	BI	COO	Т	E
Gilt darter* Percina evides	INT	IN	BI	TEN		
Conasauga Logperch Percina jenkinsi		IN	BI	COO	Е	Е
Mobile logperch* Percina kathae		IN	BI	COO		
Freckled Darter <i>Percina lenticula</i>		IN	BI	COO		Е
Blackbanded darter* Percina nigrofasciata		IN	BI	COO		
Bronze darter* Percina palmaris		IN	BI	COO		
Dusky darter Percina sciera		IN	BI	TEN		R
Olive darter Percina squamata	INT	IN	BI	TEN		R
Bridled darter* Percina kusha	INT	IN	BI	COO		Е

*Collected by GAWRD Stream Survey Team

Pollution Tolerance: **HWI** = headwater intolerant; **INT** = intolerant

Feeding Guild: **CR** = carnivore; **GE** = generalist; **HB** = herbivore;; **IC** = insectivorous cyprinid; **IN** = insectivore/invertivore; **OM** = omnivore; **PR** = parasitic

Species Category: BI = benthic invertivore; CENT = centrarchid species; RBS = round-bodied sucker species; SF = sunfish species; SMM = subterminal mouth minnow species;

Drainage Basin: **COO** = Coosa; **TEN** = Tennessee; **EXOTIC** = introduced to Georgia; ** introduced to basin

Status: \mathbf{E} = endangered; \mathbf{T} = threatened; \mathbf{R} = rare; \mathbf{C} = of concern