Voluntary Remediation Plan Application Form and Checklist

		VRP AP	PLICANT INFOR	NATION		
COMPANY NAME	JNV Investments Group					
CONTACT PERSON/TITLE	Mr. Lachman G. Vaswani					
ADDRESS	2910 Mountain Industrial	Boulevard,	Tucker, GA 30084			
PHONE	(770) 493-6515	FAX	(770) 493-6539	E-MAIL	lvaswani@	aol.com
GEORGIA CERTI	FIED PROFESSIONA		GIST OR PROFE	SSIONAL E		OVERSEEING CLEANUP
NAME	Andrew T. Benoit			GA PE/PG	NUMBER	1487
COMPANY	Environmental Consulting	& Technol	ogy, Inc.			
ADDRESS	6410 Southpoint Bouleva	rd, Suite 12	0, Jacksonville, FL 32	216		
PHONE	(904) 296-0544	FAX	(904) 296-2473	E-MAIL	tbenoit@ed	ctinc.com
		APPLIC	CANT'S CERTIFIC	ATION		
 (1) The property must have a (2) The property shall not be: (A) Listed on the federal U.S.C. Section 9601 (B) Currently undergoing (C) A facility required to (3) Qualifying the property undelegation or similar authorizad (4) Any lien filed under subsereleased by the director pursuant In order to be considered a participant must action. (2) The participant must action. (2) The participant must action. (3) The participant must action. (4) The participant must action. (5) The participant must action. (6) The participant must action. (7) The participant must action. (8) The participant must action. (9) The participant must action. (1) The participant must action. (2) The participant must action. (3) The participant must action. (4) The participant must action. (5) The participant must action. (6) The participant must action. (7) The participant must action. (8) The participant must action. (9) The participant must action. (1) The participant must action. (2) The participant must action. (3) The participant must action. (4) The participant must action. (7) The participant must action. (8) The participant must action. (9) The participant must action. (1) The participant must action. (2) The participant must action. (3) The participant must action. (4) The participant must action. (7) The participant must action. (8) The participant must action. (9) The participant must action. (1) The participant must action. (2) The participant must action. (3) The participant must action. (4) The participant must action. (7) The participant action. (8) The participant action. 	release of regulated substa National Priorities List purso gresponse activities require have a permit under Code der this part would not viola tion from the United States ction (e) of Code Section 1 ant to Code Section 12-8-9 articipant under the VRP: be the property owner of the not be in violation of any or at this document and all atta rly gather and evaluate the or gathering the information at penalties for submitting far is eligible for the Voluntary 106.	nces into the suant to the ed by an oro Section 12- te the terms Environme 2-8-96 or s 4 or Code S evoluntary r rder, judgme achments w informatior , the informatior , the informatior , the enformation alse information	the environment; a federal Comprehensi der of the regional adr 8-66. a and conditions under ntal Protection Agenc ubsection (b) of Code Section 12-13-6. emediation property of ent, statute, rule, or re- ere prepared under my a submitted. Based of ation, including the pos- tion Program (VRP) as G. Vaswani	ve Environmen ninistrator of th which the div Section 12-13 have express gulation subje direction or su my inquiry of he best of my ssibility of fine defined in Co	ntal Response, ne federal Envi ision operates 3-12 against th permission to e ct to the enford upervision in ac f the person or knowledge and and imprisonm ode Section 12-	, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 ronmental Protection Agency; or and administers remedial programs by he property shall be satisfied or settled and enter another's property to perform corrective cement authority of the director. ccordance with a system designed to assure persons who manage the system, or those d belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am hent for knowing violations. -8-105 and I am eligible as a participant as

Mail completed Voluntary Remediation Plan Application Form and Checklist, Voluntary Remediation Plan, and \$5,000 Application Fee to: Georgia Hazardous Sites Response Program VRP Coordinator, Suite 1462 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, SE Atlanta, GA 30334

	QUALIFYING PROPERTY IN	FORMATION - PROP	ERTY #1
TAX PARCEL ID	15 224 03 005	PROPERTY SIZE (ACR	ES) 7.58 acres
PROPERTY ADDRESS	4800 Redan Road		
CITY	Stone Mountain	COUNTY	Dekalb
LATITUDE	33 45' 41.0" N	LONGITUDE	84 11' 42.1" W
PROPERTY OWNER(S)	Lachman G. Vaswani and Manju L. Vaswani	PHONE #	(770) 493-6515
MAILING ADDRESS	2910 Mountain Industrial Boulevard		-
CITY	Tucker	STATE/ZIP	GA, 30084
	QUALIFYING PROPERTY INI	FORMATION - PROP	ERTY #2
TAX PARCEL ID		PROPERTY SIZE (ACR	ES)
PROPERTY ADDRESS			
CITY		COUNTY	
LATITUDE		LONGITUDE	
PROPERTY OWNER(S)		PHONE #	
MAILING ADDRESS			
CITY		STATE/ZIP	
	QUALIFYING PROPERTY INI	FORMATION - PROP	ERTY #3
TAX PARCEL ID		PROPERTY SIZE (ACR	ES)
PROPERTY ADDRESS			
CITY		COUNTY	
LATITUDE		LONGITUDE	
PROPERTY OWNER(S)		PHONE #	
MAILING ADDRESS			
CITY		STATE/ZIP	
	QUALIFYING PROPERTY INI	FORMATION - PROP	ERTY #4
TAX PARCEL ID		PROPERTY SIZE (ACR	ES)
PROPERTY ADDRESS			
CITY		COUNTY	
LATITUDE		LONGITUDE	
PROPERTY OWNER(S)		PHONE #	
MAILING ADDRESS			
CITY		STATE/ZIP	

Please add additional sheets as necessary to include all qualifying properties.

ITEM #	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIREMENT	Location in VRP (i.e. pg., Table #, Figure #, etc.)	For EPD Comment Only (leave Blank)
1	\$5,000 APPLICATION FEE IN THE FORM OF A CHECK PAYABLE TO THE GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES.	Attached to VRP Application	
2	WARRANTY DEED(S) FOR EACH QUALIFYING PROPERTY(IES).	Appendix VI	
3	TAX PLAT OR OTHER FIGURE INCLUDING QUALIFYING PROPERTY(IES) BOUNDARIES, ABUTTING PROPERTIES, AND TAX PARCEL IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS.	Figure 3	
4	ONE (1) PAPER COPY AND TWO (2) COMPACT DISC (CD) COPIES OF THE VOLUNTARY REMEDIATION PLAN IN A SEARCHABLE PORTABLE DOCUMENT FORMAT (PDF).	Included	
а	TABLE OF REGULATED SUBSTANCES RELEASED AT THE QUALIFYING PROPERTY.	Tables 1-2	
b	TABLE OF SITE DELINEATION CONCENTRATION FOR EACH REGULATEDSUBSTANCE ALONG WITH A REFERENCE TO THE SPECIFIC DELINEATIONCRITERIA USED [i.e. 12-8-108(1)(A), 12-8-108(1)(B), 12-8-108(1)(C), 12-8-108(1)(D), OR12-8-108(1)(E) FOR EACH REGULATED SUBSTANCE. CALCULATIONS FOR 12-8-108(1)(E) MUST BE INCLUDED TO DEMONSTRATE OTHER CRITERIA DO NOTEXCEED 12-8-108(1)(E)].	Soil – Table 1; Groundwater – Table 2; RRS Calculations Appendix V	
i	SITE DELINEATION MAP OF MINIMUM SCALE OF 1"= 200' AND VERTICAL CROSS- SECTIONS SHOWING DELINEATION OF REGULATED SUBSTANCES TO SITE DELINEATION CONCENTRATIONS HORIZONTALLY AND VERTICALLY, INCLUDING PROPERTY BOUNDARIES. SITE DELINEATION MAY NOT BE EXTRAPOLATED.	Site delineation has not been completed	
c	TABLE OF CLEANUP STANDARDS FOR EACH REGULATED SUBSTANCE AND EACH MEDIA LISTED BELOW ALONG WITH A REFERENCE TO THE SPECIFIC CLEANUP STANDARD USED [i.e. DEFAULT TYPE 1 RRS, SITE SPECIFIC TYPE 2 RRS, DEFAULT TYPE 3 RRS, SITE SPECIFIC TYPE 4 RRS, OR TYPE 5 RRS]. COMPLETE CALCULATIONS MUST BE PROVIDED FOR EACH REGULATED SUBSTANCE IN EACH MEDIA.	Section 1.1; Table 1	
i	SOURCE	Section 1.3	
ii	SOIL (SOIL HORIZONS MUST BE SPECIFIED WHERE DEPTH-SPECIFIC SOIL CRITERIA ARE APPLIED)	Sections 1.5 & 1.5.2; Table 1	
iii	GROUNDWATER IF THE APPLICANT IS REQUESTING REMOVAL FROM THE HAZARDOUS SITE INVENTORY PURSUANT TO 12-8-107(g)(2), A NOTATION TO THAT EFFECT MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE TABLE.		
iv	VAPOR INTRUSION (PLEASE REFER TO THE FOLLOWING LINK: http://www.epa.gov/epawaste/hazard/correctiveaction/eis/vapor/complete.pdf)	Section 3.3	

ITEM #	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIREMENT	Location in VRP (i.e. pg., Table #, Figure #, etc.)	For EPD Comment Only (leave Blank)
v	SURFACE WATER (INCLUDING ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT (http://www.gaepd.org/Documents/hsraguideCSRRRS.html - Ecological))	Will be addressed at a later date if necessary	
d	CURRENT STATUS OF QUALIFYING PROPERTY(IES)	Active Retail Center	
i	NARRATIVE AND TABULAR SUMMARY OF ALL PERTINENT FIELD DATA AND THE RESULTS OF ALL FINAL LAB ANALYSES THAT ARE SUPPORTED BY SUFFICIENT QA/QC CONTROL DATA TO VALIDATE THE RESULTS. (NOTE: MOST RECENT GROUNDWATER DATA MUST HAVE BEEN COLLECTED WITHIN 6 MONTHS OF RECEIPT OF APPLICATION.)	Sections 1.1 – 1.5.2	
ii	MAPS AND VERTICAL CROSS-SECTIONS OF APPROPRIATE SCALE DEPICTING CONCENTRATIONS FOR ALL REGULATED SUBSTANCES SUPERIMPOSED UPON SITE STRATIGRAPHIC FEATURES AND MONITORING WELLS. POINT OF DEMONSTRATION (POD) WELL MUST BE INCLUDED, IF APPLICABLE.	Cross-Sections maps of the soil and Groundwater will be provided when delineation is complete	
iii	DESCRIPTION OF ANY HUMAN OR ENVIRONMENTAL RECEPTORS WHO MAY HAVE BEEN OR COULD POTENTIALLY BE EXPOSED TO A RELEASE AT THE SITE.	No receptors; Site listed for On-site Exposure Pathway	
е	MAP (MINIMUM SCALE OF 1" = 200') OR LESS DEPICTING THE POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE OF GROUNDWATER. POD WELL MUST BE INCLUDED, IF APPLICABLE.	Figure 10	
f	FIGURE OF GROUNDWATER USAGE (DRINKING, IRRIGATION, ETC.) AND SURFACE WATER (RECREATIONAL, FISHING, ETC.) WITHIN THE AREA OF THE RELEASE AND 1,000' DOWNGRADIENT.	Figure 11	
g	ENUMERATE AND DESCRIBE ACTIONS PLANNED TO BRING THE QUALIFYING PROPERTY(IES) INTO COMPLIANCE WITH THE CLEANUP STANDARDS SPECIFIED IN 4.c. ABOVE. IF UTILIZING REPRESENTATIVE CONCENTRATIONS, DOCUMENTATION REGARDING THE EXPOSURE UNIT, EXPOSURE DURATION, EXPOSURE POINT CONCENTRATION, ETC. MUST BE INCLUDED.	Sections 4.1 – 4.6	
h	MODEL FOR POINT OF EXPOSURE: APPLICANT MUST EITHER PROVIDE A COPY OF THE MODEL OR LICENSE FOR USE, OR PURCHASING INFORMATION (PURCHASE OF A MODEL WILL BE BILLED TO THE APPLICANT BY EPD) ALONG WITH A TABLE OF ALL INPUT AND OUTPUT PARAMETERS AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION. A SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS MUST ALSO BE INCLUDED.	Section 4.6; Modeling will be provided at a later date	
i	MILESTONE SCHEDULE INLCUDING SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTING AND SUBMITTAL OF A FINAL COMPLIANCE STATUS REPORT. GANTT CHART FORMAT PREFERRED.	Section 5.3.2	
j	COST ESTIMATE FOR IMPLEMENTING THE CORRECTIVE ACTION AND ANY CONTINUING ACTIONS SPECIFED IN THE VOLUNTARY REMEDIATION PLAN.	Section 5.3.3	

ITEM #	DESCRIPTION OF REQUIREMENT	Location in VRP (i.e. pg., Table #, Figure #, etc.)	For EPD Comment Only (leave Blank)
k	SIGNED AND SEALED PE/PG CERTIFICATION AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION: "I certify under penalty of law that this report and all attachments were prepared by me or under my direct supervision in accordance with the Voluntary Remediation Program Act (O.C.G.A. Section 12-8-101, et seq.). I am a professional engineer/professional geologist who is registered with the Georgia State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors/Georgia State Board of Registration for Professional Geologists and I have the necessary experience and am in charge of the investigation and remediation of this release of regulated substances. Furthermore, to document my direct oversight of the Voluntary Remediation Plan development, implementation of corrective action, and long term monitoring, I have attached a monthly summary of hours invoiced and description of services provided by me to the Voluntary Remediation Program participant since the previous submittal to the Georgia Environmental Protection Division. The information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations." Andrwater Benevict #14487 3/12/10 Printed Name and GA PE/PG Number Date Signature and Stamp Date		



Voluntary Remediation Application (HSI # 10884)

Professional Dry Cleaners 4800 Redan Road, Stone Mountain, Georgia

February 2010

PREFACE

Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc. (ECT) has prepared this Voluntary Remediation Program (VRP) Application for the Professional Dry Cleaners (Subject Site) Hazardous Site Inventory (HSI) site number 10884 located in the Redan Village Shopping Center in Stone Mountain, Dekalb County, Georgia. This application is submitted on behalf of JNV Investments Group (JNV) and Mr. and Mrs. Lachman Vaswani the current property owner. This application is based in part on data resulting from prior investigations conducted at the subject site and ECT's September 2009 investigation. The Site is comprised of tax parcel I.D. 15 224 03 005.

This VRP Application is prepared to address volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in subject site soils and groundwater that exceed the applicable Risk Reduction Standards (RSS) as required by Article 3 of Chapter 8 of Title 12 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated. The Subject Site was listed on the Hazardous Site Inventory in December 2007 due to On-site Exposure Pathway. Based on review of historical environmental investigations, as well as more recent investigations conducted by ECT, the primary chemicals of interest are tetrachloroethene (PCE) in soil and PCE and its degradation product trichloroethene (TCE) in groundwater.

Several investigations have been performed at the Site since 2000 to identify the source and extent of soil and groundwater contaminants. In 2009, ECT was contracted by JNV to conduct investigations at the site and evaluate alternative remedial options. Based on the history and site conditions, ECT proposes excavation and disposal of all site soils that exceed Type 4 RRS and delineation and numerical modeling of VOCs impacted groundwater to illustrate that no human or environmental receptors will be impacted by this release.

This VRP Application relies on reports and data from past activities at the Subject Site and the information contained in those reports, and are not based on any first hand observations by JNV or ECT. By submitting this report, JNV makes no admission of fact or law of any kind regarding liability in connection with contamination at the facility or surrounding properties.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.1	Purpose	2
	1.2	Site Description	2
	1.3	Source Description	2
	1.4	Site History	3
	1.5	Previous Soil and Groundwater Sampling	3
2.0	SUB	JECT SITE ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	8
3.0	RISK	ASSESSMENT	9
	3.1	Risk Reduction Standards for Soil	9
	3.2	Risk Reduction Standards for Groundwater	10
	3.3	Vapor Intrusion	11
4.0	REM	EDIAL DESIGN	12
	4.1	General Scope of Corrective Action	12
	4.2	Corrective Action Objectives	12
	4.3	Professional Dry Cleaners Corrective Action Approach	12
	4.4	Permitting	
	4.5	Excavation	
	4.6	Groundwater Modeling	14
5.0	PERF	FORMANCE MONITORING PLAN	15
	5.1	Excavation	15
	5.2	Health and Safety	15
	5	5.2.1 Excavation	
	5.3	Reporting	
	2	5.3.1 VRP Application Addendum	
	2	5.3.2 Milestone Schedule	
CDOI		D.5.5 CONCUMINACION COST ESUMATES	l / 10
UNUL		ATEN OVIENTIÖT ÖTATEMENT	

TABLES

- Table 1Soil Analytical Results (VOCs)
- Table 2Groundwater Analytical Results (VOCs)
- Table 3Groundwater Elevations

FIGURES

- Figure 1 Site Location Map
- Figure 2 Site Layout
- Figure 3 Qualifying and Adjacent Properties
- Figure 4 PCE in Soil (0-3 ft)
- Figure 5 PCE in Soil (5-7 ft)
- Figure 6 PCE in Soil (8.5-10 ft)
- Figure 7 PCE in Groundwater
- Figure 8 TCE in Groundwater
- Figure 9 Potentiometric Map (09/10/09)
- Figure 10 PCE in Soil (0-3 ft) & Proposed Excavation Area
- Figure 11 Groundwater and Surface Water Usages

APPENDICES

APPENDIX II Figures APPENDIX III Georgia EPD Call in Letter dated September 15, 200	Tables
APPENDIX III Georgia EPD Call in Letter dated September 15, 200	Figures
Georgia Er D'Carrin Educa September 15, 200	Georgia EPD Call in Letter dated September 15, 2009
APPENDIX IV Laboratory Results	Laboratory Results
APPENDIX V RRS Calculations	RRS Calculations
APPENDIX VI Warranty Deed for Qualifying Property	Warranty Deed for Qualifying Property

Voluntary Remediation Application

(HSI # 10884)

Professional Dry Cleaners 4800 Redan Road, Stone Mountain, Georgia

February 2010

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc. (ECT) has prepared this Voluntary Remediation Program (VRP) Application for the Professional Dry Cleaners (Subject Site) Hazardous Site Inventory (HSI) site number 10884 located in the Redan Village Shopping Center in Stone Mountain, Dekalb County, Georgia. This application is submitted on behalf of JNV Investments Group (JNV) and Mr. and Mrs. Lachman Vaswani the current property owner. This application is based in part on data resulting from prior investigations conducted at the subject site and ECT's September 2009 investigation. The Site is comprised of tax parcel I.D. 15 224 03 005.

This VRP Application is prepared to address volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in subject site soils and groundwater that exceed the applicable Risk Reduction Standards (RSS) as required by Article 3 of Chapter 8 of Title 12 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated. No receptors were identified downgradient of the Subject Site in a Supplemental Release Notification submitted to Georgia EDP by GLE Associates in November 2007. The Subject Site was listed on the Hazardous Site Inventory in December 2007 due to On-site Exposure Pathway. Based on review of historical environmental investigations, as well as more recent investigations conducted by ECT, the primary chemicals of interest are tetrachloroethene (PCE) in soil and PCE and its degradation product trichloroethene (TCE) in groundwater.

Several investigations have been performed at the Site since 2000 to identify the source and extent of soil and groundwater contaminants. In 2009, ECT was contracted by JNV to conduct investigations at the site and evaluate alternative remedial options. Based on the history and site conditions, ECT proposes excavation and disposal of all site soils that exceed Type 4 RRS and delineation and numerical modeling of VOC impacted groundwater to illustrate that no human or environmental receptors will be impacted by this release.

1.1 Purpose

This VRP Application has been prepared in order to provide for voluntary and timely investigation and remediation of VOC impacted soil and groundwater at the Subject Site. The goals of the remedial approach will be to remove contaminant concentrations in soil that exceed their respective Type 4 RRS and conduct numerical groundwater modeling to illustrate that no human or environmental receptors will be impacted by this release.

1.2 Site Description

The Subject Site is located at 4800 Redan Road in the City of Stone Mountain, Dekalb County, Georgia. The subject property is described as a single parcel encompassing approximately 7.58 acres listed in the Dekalb County, Georgia tax registry as Parcel 15 224 03 005. The property is currently improved with one single story block construction buildings used for retail/commercial shopping and referred to as Redan Village Shopping Center. The property is currently owned and operated by JNV Investments Group (JNV). The shopping center is bordered by residences to the north and east and retail stores to the south and west. Its general location is shown of Figure 1. The Professional Dry Cleaners has been located in the western most tenant space in the main building on the property since the mid 1990s. The dry cleaner and adjacent properties are illustrated on Figures 2 and 3. The dry cleaner used a 55-gallon steel drum for storing spent filters from the on-site dry cleaning machine immediately north the Professional Dry Cleaners tenant space.

1.3 Source Description

This section of the report provides a description of each known source which is suspected to have contributed to a release as required. The facility has operated as a dry cleaner utilizing PCE since it began operation. Based on historical operations at the site, areas were investigated where solvents were stored or handled and where spent solvents and filters may have been staged or disposed. The suspected source areas of the PCE, based on historical subsurface investigation results, include: soil beneath the former dry cleaner and soil in the vicinity of the area where spent filters were staged (immediately north of the tenant space).

1.4 Site History

Since 2000, several environmental investigations have been conducted at the subject site by several consultants. A brief summary of the dates, investigations, reports, and consultants is included below.

Sailors Engineering, October 2000

• Prepared a Phase II environmental investigation - October 1997

Aerostar Environmental Services, Inc., July 2007

- Prepared a Phase I environmental investigation July 2007
- Prepared a Phase II environmental investigation July 2007

GLE Associates, October 2007

- Conducted soil and groundwater sampling October 2007
- Submitted Release Notification to Georgia EPD

ECT, 2009

- Advanced soil borings in and around the dry cleaners for delineating the VOCs in soil and groundwater;
- Installed shallow rock monitoring wells to horizontally delineate VOCs in groundwater;
- Evaluated remedial technologies and prepare a VRP Application.

ECT's soil and groundwater results and evaluation of remedial technologies are discussed in further detail below.

1.5 Previous Soil and Groundwater Sampling

Initial environmental investigations started at the site in October 2000 when a previous consultant conducted a Phase II ESA at the former Professional Cleaners. This investigation included the installation of two temporary monitoring wells and two soil borings by hand auger. The monitoring wells (TMW-1 and TMW-2) were advanced in the front and rear of the cleaners for groundwater sampling. One soil boring was advanced inside the cleaners adjacent to the machine (HA-1), and the other boring outside adjacent to the back door (HA-2) where waste tetrachloroethylene (PCE) solvent drums where stored. Groundwater samples were collected from each well and analyzed for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by EPA Method 8260B. Soils samples were collected from 2 feet below ground surface (ft-bgs) and analyzed for VOCs as well. Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from TW-1 and TW-2

indicated the presence of PCE at 67 micrograms per liter (ug/L) and 1,920 ug/L, respectively. Analytical results for the soil samples collected from HA-2 indicated the presence of PCE at 633 micrograms per kilogram (ug/kg), trichloroethylene (TCE) at 0.232 ug/kg, and cis-1,2 dichloroethylene (DCE) at 0.006 mg/kg. Based on the presence of the VOCs in the groundwater and soil, a Hazardous Site Response Program Release Notification was submitted to GA EPD in November 2000 detailing the investigation. Additionally, a receptor survey was conducted as part of the notification. Two water wells were identified from one to two miles of the site and the nearest residential structure at 300 feet to 1,000 feet from the edge of the plume. Based on the criteria from the receptor survey and the Phase II investigation the groundwater and on-site pathway score was below the GA EPD threshold for listing the site on the Hazardous Site Inventory (HSI). GA EPD issued a No HSI Listing Letter on February 8, 2001.

In July 2007, a phase I environmental assessment was conducted on the subject site by Aerostar Environmental Services, Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia (Aerostar) as part of a potential real estate transaction. Based on the information obtained in the report, the consultant recommended a subsurface investigation for off-site and on-site recognized environmental concerns (RECs). The offsite concerns included a gasoline station, a dry cleaning facility, and an auto repair facility. The on-site concern identified was the former dry cleaning operation, Professional Cleaners.

Based on the recommendations of the Phase I ESA, Aerostar was contracted to perform a Limited Phase II ESA. On July 20, 2007, Aerostar collected a groundwater sample from temporary monitoring well TW-2. The sample was analyzed for PCE, TCE, 1,1-dichloroethene, cis-DCE, trans-DCE, and vinyl chloride (VC) with analytical results indicating PCE at 5,600 ug/L, TCE at 320 ug/L, and cis-DCE at 69 ug/L. Additionally, the dry cleaning equipment was inspected during the site visit for compliance. Based on the results of the groundwater sampling, Aerostar stated that an additional release of dry cleaning solvent may have occurred and additional assessment activities may be warranted to delineate the dissolved chlorinate solves in the groundwater. Aerostar also stated that the dry cleaning equipment was in compliance.

Based on the results of the Aerostar Limited Phase II ESA, GLE Associates of Atlanta, Georgia was contracted to perform an additional subsurface investigation and a Hazardous Site Response Program Release Notification for the subject site. On October 12 and 15, 2007, GLE advanced 31 direct push soil borings from 2 ft-bgs to 29 ft-bgs and collected select soil samples for laboratory analysis of dry PCE and breakdown constituents. Additionally, a groundwater sample was collected from TW-2 to confirm the previously identified groundwater contamination level at the site. Based on the PCE concentrations identified in the soil samples above the HSRA notification concentrations, and the confirmation of the PCE and breakdown

constituent sin the groundwater, a PCE release to the soil and PCE, TCE, and cis-DCE release to groundwater was reported to the Georgia EPD on November 13, 2007. On December 27, 2007, GA EPD listed the site on the HSI.

Based on the listing of the site, GLE proposed to perform additional soil assessment to determine the magnitude ad extent of soil contamination at the site. The first phase proposed fifteen (15) soil borings to bedrock refusal collecting up to 10 soil samples for laboratory analysis. The second phase included an unidentified number of borings (based on the first phase) with a maximum of eight (8) soil samples collected for laboratory analysis. Based on the soil sample results from the two investigations, GLE proposed to prepare a remedial strategy.

On November 12, 2008, GLE submitted a request to GA EPD to provide the property owner with site specific clean-up levels for PCE in soil. GLE proposed a clean-up level of 0.1 mg/Kg PCE be the established clean-up level established for this property.

1.5.1 September 9, 2009 Groundwater Sampling

In September 2009, ECT installed three monitoring wells for horizontal delineation of groundwater contaminants. Well installation procedures followed US EPA Region 4 SESD guidance. The borings were installed utilizing a CME-75 drill rig and air compressor advancing a four-inch diameter air rotary hammer. MW-4 was advanced to 39 ft-bgs and MW-5 and MW-6 to 44 ft-bgs. During drilling, soil and bedrock cuttings were recovered from the borings and drummed for disposal. After boring completion, a two-inch diameter PVC monitoring well was placed in each boring. The well for MW-4 was constructed with a 19 foot of solid 2-inch PVC riser and 25 feet of 0.01 inch slotted screen. Eight-inch well vaults were secured to the top of each boring with $1\frac{1}{2}$ foot by $1\frac{1}{2}$ foot concrete well pads for protection. After completion of the construction of the new wells, the wells were surveyed for top of casing elevations to assess the groundwater flow at the site. The well elevations were set relative with an arbitrary elevation of 100 feet at MW-1.

Prior to sampling the monitoring wells, all wells were gauged for the presence of groundwater. The groundwater elevations were used to assess the groundwater flow direction at the site. Due to the wells being installed in bedrock, water levels appear to have been influenced and a potentiometric map and groundwater gradient could not be accurately be assessed. The elevations are shown on Table 3 and Figure 9. Note, during previous investigations conducted by other consultants, three wells, MW-1 through MW-3 were installed and subsequently gauged and determined to be dry. During the September 2009 gauging event, groundwater was present in all wells. The previously installed wells, MW-1 through MW-3, and the three newly installed monitoring wells, MW-4 through MW-6, were developed utilizing a submersible

pump. Based on the previous consultants boring logs and ECT's observation during the installation of MW-4 through MW-6, all wells were installed in competent bedrock. During development of the wells, the pump rate exceeded the recharge rate for each well. The wells were pumped until dry several times until the turbidity of each well was minimized. Development volumes for each well were as follows: MW-1 (15 gallons), MW-2 (12 gallons), MW-3 (10 gallons), MW-4 (15 gallons), MW-5 (5 gallons), and MW-6 (10 gallons).

After monitoring well development and groundwater gauging, all monitoring wells were sampled. Monitoring well TW-2 was purged and sampled utilizing a peristaltic pump with monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-6 being purged and sampled utilizing a bladder pump. All well purging and sampling was conducted following US EPA Region 4 SESD sampling procedures. The collected groundwater samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs by 8260B. One sample, MW-6 was also submitted for analysis of PAHs by EPA Method 8270B. The groundwater sample collected from MW-2 was collected for PAHs, but held pending PAH analysis of the soil sample collected from SB-37. No PAHs were detected in the SB-37 soil samples; therefore, the groundwater sample from MW-2 was not analyzed for PAHs.

Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected indicated the presence of PCE in TW-2, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4, and MW-6. Additional constituents detected from groundwater samples collected in September 2009 include TCE, cis-DCE, and VC. No PAHs were detected in the sample collected from MW-6. A summary of the analytical results is included in Table 2 and on Figures 7 and 8. Analytical laboratory reports are provided in Appendix IV.

1.5.2 September 9, 2009 Soil Sampling

On September 9, 2009, ECT installed nine soil borings to a maximum depth of 10 ft-bgs utilizing direct push technology on the exterior of the Professional Cleaners and three soil borings by hand auger in the interior of the drycleaners. Soil sampling was conducted following US EPA Region 4 Science and Ecosystem Support Division's (SESD) sampling procedures. Soil samples were collected at depths of 2 ft-bgs, 7 ft-bgs, and 10 ft-bgs where accessible. Note, competent bedrock refusal was encountered during the advancement of these borings as shallow as 2 ft-bgs.

Exterior Borings

On September 9, 2009, nine soil borings (SB-35 through SB-39 and MW-4 through MW-6) were advanced by direct push technology on the exterior of the dry cleaners. Soil samples were collected at the predetermined depths utilizing a macrocore sampling tube with a sterile acetate liner following SESD sampling procedures. The soil sample lithology was noted and the sample split for field screening and laboratory analysis. Soil samples collected were field

screened for VOCs using a Photo Ionization detector (PID). The collected soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs by EPA Method 8260B.

During field screening, no significant VOC detections were identified with the PID, with all concentrations being below 10 parts per million (ppm). Laboratory results indicated the presence of PCE above laboratory detection limits in all samples except MW-4 at 2 ft-bgs, MW-5 at 7 ft-bgs, and MW-6 at 10 ft-bgs. The soil laboratory results are included in Table 1 of the Tables Appendix, (Appendix 1). Additionally, the laboratory results relative to the boring location are included in Figures 5 through 7of the Figures Appendix (Appendix 2). PCE concentrations were highest in the immediate area adjacent to the back door of the dry cleaners and downgradient. Elevated concentrations were detected at all three depths (5 ft-bgs, 7 ft-bgs, and 10 ft-bgs). The suspected source area for the PCE appears to be immediately adjacent to the back door where PCE drums have historically been stored. All Soil Laboratory Reports are included in the Appendix IV.

Interior Soil Borings

On September 9, 2009, three additional soil borings were advanced indoors utilizing a hand auger (SB-32 through SB-34). The borings were advanced by hand auger following SESD sampling procedures. Borings SB-32, SB-33, and SB-34 were advanced to refusal depths of 2 ft-bgs, 8 ft-bgs, and 5 ft-bgs, respectively. The samples were collected from SB-32 and SB-34 at 2 ft-bgs and SB-33 at 2 ft-bgs and 7 ft-bgs. The samples were lithologically described, and split for field screening and laboratory analysis. Soil samples were field screened for VOCs with a PID. The collected soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs by EPA Method 8260B. Additionally, the soil samples collected from SB-37 were analyzed for polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) by EPA Method 8270B.

During field screening, no significant VOC detections were identified with the PID, with all concentrations being below 10 ppm. Laboratory results indicated the presence of PCE above laboratory detection limits in all samples collected. The laboratory results are included in Table 1. Additionally, the laboratory results relative to the boring location are included in Figures 4 through 6. Based on the shallow refusal indoors, only shallow samples could be collected. Accordingly, only horizontal delineation of the shallow soil profile was evaluated. Based on the results of the soil sampling, it appears that slightly elevated PCE concentrations were detected in the front of the dry cleaner and adjacent to the dry cleaning machine. No PAHs were detected in the samples collected from SB-37. All Soil Laboratory Reports are included in the Appendix IV.

2.0 SUBJECT SITE ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Site geology has been investigated extensively at the Subject Site and was assessed during ECTs investigation. Geologically, this site resides in the Piedmont Physiographic Province of the United States, which is characterized by Precambrian to early Paleozoic (700 million to 500 million year old) crystalline metamorphic rock. The local geology in the area of the site consists of hard but fractured bedrock overlain by approximately 15 feet of unconsolidated, highly weathered sediments and soils.

The local bedrock is composed of a slightly metamorphic granite related to the Stone Mountain Complex, and a highly metamorphic mica schist that contains biotite and muscovite minerals. Both bedrock types are variably fractured.

The metamorphic bedrock is overlain by a variable thickness of sediment and soil. Thicknesses can vary but are estimated to between 12 to 18 feet in the area of the site. The unconsolidated sediment is a weathered saprolite composed of red silty sands to silty clays. Clay mineralogy is variable but the dominant clay type can be kaolin. The water table is found at approximately 25-30 feet below grade, within the fractured bedrock.

3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT

This section presents a summary of RRS and applicability to the site and surrounding properties. RRS were calculated for both soil and groundwater using the methods provided in 391-3-19-.07 of the HSRA Rules. The proposed RRS for soil and groundwater were calculated prior to ECT's September 2009 investigation and have not been approved by Georgia EPD at the time of this submittal. The calculated RRS values for soil and groundwater were compared to concentration data from samples collected at the Subject Site in order to determine current compliance with RRS. RRS calculations were not performed for VOC constituents that were not detected above Release Notification Concentrations and substances not regulated under HSRA. Based on the current and expected use of the site, non-residential, site specific Type 4 RRS are the accepted clean-up criteria. A discussion of the RRS for soil and groundwater for the Subject Site is provided below to.

3.1 Risk Reduction Standards for Soil

PCE was the only COC detected in soil samples above its respective HSRA Notification Concentration. The detected VOC concentrations in the soil were compared to Type 4 RRS as shown on Table 1. Based on the current soil concentration data for the Subject Site, PCE was detected above its respective Type 4 RRS in three soil samples (SB-15 at 10'bls, SB-20 at 2' bls, and SB-37 at 2'bls). All concentrations exceeding the Type 4 RRSs are in bold included on Table 1. Soil sampling locations are shown in Figures 4 through 6.

Calculations for Type 3 and Type 4 RRSs for PCE, TCE, trans-DCE, cis-DCE, and VC in soil were performed for comparison of the laboratory detected concentrations. The respective Type 3 and 4 RRSs are shown at the bottom of Table 1. A review of the laboratory results for all soil investigations indicate that PCE was detected in three samples exceeding the calculated Type 4 RRSs (1,680 ug/kg). The exceedances were found in the samples collected from SB-15 at 10 ft-bgs (7,700 ug/kg), SB-20 at 2 ft-bgs (3,500 ug/kg), and SB-37 at 2 ft-bgs (1,700 ug/kg). Based on the presence the elevated PCE in soil, it appears that a PCE release has occurred in the vicinity of the back door of the dry cleaning facility. No PCE breakdown constituents exceeding their respective Type 4 RRS were detected in any of the samples collected. Based on the concentrations detected, it appears that horizontal delineation of all PCE and breakdown constituents to below Type 4 RRSs has been achieved.

In addition to the comparison of the laboratory results to RRSs, the results were compared to background concentrations, which would be laboratory detection limits. A review of the PCE and breakdown detections indicates that PCE had been delineated north, east, and south of the suspected source area at the dry cleaner. One sample, SB-10 collected at 2 ft-bgs, indicated the presence of trace PCE concentrations southeast of the suspected source area. Samples west of the site at the property boundary also indicated that PCE was present above laboratory detection limits at 2 ft-bgs and 5 ft-bgs from SB-13. PCE results are shown on Figures 5 through 7. TCE and cis-DCE concentrations were delineated to the east, south, and west of the suspected source area to below laboratory detection limits. The soil sample collected during the installation of MW-2 (SS-2) at 2 ft-bgs indicated the presence of TCE and cis-DCE at 8 ug/kg and 3.4 ug/kg; therefore, delineation to background was not complete to the north of the suspected source area. Note, that the previous consultant did not submit the perimeter samples for analysis of PCE breakdown constituents only PCE. Trans-DCE and VC have not been detected in soil above laboratory detection limits at the site; therefore are delineated to background levels.

Based on the PCE concentrations detected in soil in the immediate area north of the building on the subject site does not appear to be in compliance with the Type 4 RRS. Laboratory results for soil samples collected from all other site borings horizontally delineate PCE to below Type 4 RRS in all directions of the suspected source area.

3.2 Risk Reduction Standards for Groundwater

COCs detected in groundwater samples above HSRA Notification Concentrations include PCE and TCE. The detected VOC concentrations in groundwater were compared to Type 4 RRS with concentrations exceeding the RRSs in bold as shown on Table 2. Groundwater sampling locations are shown in Figures 7 and 8. Specific data concerning the delineation of groundwater is discussed below.

Calculations for Type 1/3 and Type 4 RRSs for PCE, TCE, trans-DCE, cis-DCE, and VC in groundwater were performed for comparison of the laboratory detected concentrations. The respective Type 1/3 and 4 RRSs are shown at the bottom of Table 2. A review of the laboratory results for the groundwater investigations indicate that PCE was detected in all samples except MW-1 and MW-5 above 5 ug/L, the calculated Type 4 RRS and laboratory detection limits. MW-1 and MW-5 are located northwest and west of the suspected source area. TCE was detected above laboratory detection limits in three wells, TW-2, MW-3 and MW-4. TCE was detected in TW-2 during three different events and exceeded Type 4 RRSs (34.5ug/L) for each. TCE concentrations detected in MW-3 and MW-4 were below Type 4 RRSs. VC was detected in one sample, MW-2, at the laboratory detection limit (2 ug/L), but below the calculated Type 4 RRSs (18.2ug/L). Cis-DCE was detected in TW-2 during three sampling events and MW-1 through MW-4 during the September 2009 sampling event. All cis-DCE concentrations detected

were below the calculated Type 4 RRSs (1,020 ug/L). Trans-DCE was not detected above laboratory detection limits in any of the groundwater samples collected at the subject site. Groundwater concentrations are shown in Table 2 and on Figures 1 through 3. Based on the concentrations detected, it appears that horizontal delineation of all PCE to below Type 4 RRS and laboratory detection limits to the west has been achieved. TCE, cis-DCE, and VC have been delineated to below Type 4 RRS and laboratory detection limits to the south, east, and west. No wells have been installed to assess the deeper aquifer at the site; therefore, vertical delineation has not been achieved.

Based on the investigation results for the most recent sampling events conducted at the site by ECT in September 2009, horizontal and vertical delineation of PCE and TCE to below Type 4 RRS in groundwater is incomplete as shown on Figures 7 and 8.

3.3 Vapor Intrusion

Prior to remediation activities, ECT will conduct activities such as modeling or collection of site specific data to determine if the subsurface contamination identified on the Subject Site poses a threat for vapor intrusion exposure pathways and an unacceptable risk to human health. These activities will be done following the guidance provided in EPA's document "*Draft Guidance for Evaluating the Vapor Intrusion from Indoor Pathways from Soils and Groundwater (Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Guidance).*" Data from these activities will be utilized to properly address any site specific issues and will be provided to Georgia EPD in the VRP Application Addendum.

4.0 REMEDIAL DESIGN

4.1 General Scope of Corrective Action

The implementation of the corrective action program will consist of the following components:

- (a) Source area remediation by excavation
 - TCLP sampling for VOCs and RCRA Metals,
 - Submittal of results to landfill for disposal approval,
 - Soil excavation,
 - Confirmation soil sampling,
 - Backfill with clean soil,
- (b) Groundwater Delineation and Modeling
 - Vertical and horizontal delineation of groundwater contamination
 - Numerical Modeling of VOC impacted groundwater
 - Routine Groundwater sampling

4.2 Corrective Action Objectives

The corrective action objectives for the site is limited to those substances/constituents present in the groundwater and soil, attributable to suspected releases from the release of PCE that are present at concentrations above Type 4 RRS. The specific constituents are addressed by this VRP Application are: PCE and TCE.

4.3 Professional Dry Cleaners Corrective Action Approach

Prior sections of this document provided a brief historical overview of the Professional Dry Cleaners contaminant concentrations and a review of the selected remedial technologies. This section provides the specific corrective action approaches that will be utilized at the Professional Dry Cleaners, excavation and groundwater modeling. Additionally, details of the implementation of these technologies including permitting, excavation, sampling, and Health and Safety are included in the sections below.

4.4 Permitting

All necessary local permits required for the excavation will be obtained prior to performing the work.

4.5 Excavation

Chlorinated VOC impacted soil has been identified in a source area immediately north of the building on the subject site. ECT proposes to excavate the VOC impacted soils in this source area as shown on Figure 10. Prior to excavation of soils, all monitoring wells in the area will be properly abandoned following Georgia Water Well Standards. Additionally, utilities such as power, drainage, water, and sewer may have to be temporarily disconnected and rerouted around the excavation area. Disconnection and handling of these utilities will be done at the direction of the respective utility company.

Once the necessary utilities have been addressed, sheet piling may be installed along the southern side of the excavation area nearest the building to protect the foundation of the building. The sheet piling will be located along the entire southern wall of the excavation area (approximately 20 feet). The sheet piles will be constructed of steel approximately ¹/₂ inches thick, 1 ¹/₂ feet wide, and 15 feet long. Specifications for the sheet pilings were provided by the company that will install the sheet pilings. Additionally, if the sheet piling is necessary a Georgia Professional Engineer will certify that the sheet pilings will be sufficient to protect the foundation of the building and keep the western wall of the excavation area from caving in.

After the sheeting pilings are properly installed, excavation of soil down to bedrock will begin (approximately 10-12 feet below land surface). Based on previous sampling events, soil in the excavation area contains PCE above its applicable RRS. The estimated dimensions of the excavation area are 45 feet x 20 feet to a depth of 12 feet. These dimension equate to approximately 400 cubic yards of impacted soil. The VOC impacted soil will be removed from the excavation area using an excavator and will be live loaded in dump trucks that will transport the soil to an approved off-site facility. It is anticipated that the impacted soil will be removed and disposed of at Eagle Point Landfill in Ball Ground, Georgia. As previously discussed, soil samples will be collected from this area and analyzed for TCLP VOCs and RCRA Metals prior to the disposal of any soil. Laboratory results will be provided to the landfill with a profile for acceptance. If inclement weather occurs during excavation activities, real time decisions to continue or cease excavation activities will be made by the Site Manager based on site conditions and safety considerations

ECT will collect soil samples for verification of removal of impacted soils above the applicable RRS. The soil sampling regime will be discussed in further detail in the Performance Monitoring Plan Section below. In general, soil samples will be collected for VOC analysis on the walls of the excavation every 25 linear foot at a depth of 8 ft.

Once confirmation samples have been collected from the excavation area, backfill activities will begin. Backfill from an off-site source will be utilized. Prior to accepting soil from the off-site source, ECT will collect a sample for VOC analysis from the area of the borrow pit that will supply the backfill. The backfill will be transported to the site via dump trucks and dumped directly into the excavation area. ECT will selectively screen all backfill material for signs of contamination (staining or odors). The excavator will then be used to compact the backfill in 2 foot lifts. If additional compaction is warranted due to future site uses, a remote controlled compactor will be utilized. Any utilities disconnected prior to excavation activities will be properly reconnected to ensure it function properly. Once the excavation area has been completely backfilled, the excavation area and surrounding disturbed areas will be finished with the appropriate material (asphalt, curbing, or vegetation).

4.6 Groundwater Modeling

After excavation and groundwater delineation activities have been completed, ECT will conduct numerical groundwater modeling using an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approved groundwater model. This model will estimate the likely extent of the dissolved VOCs impact in groundwater. The data generated during the numerical groundwater modeling will be compared to a map showing potential receptors to demonstrate that no human or environmental receptors will be impacted by the dissolved VOCs from this release. Specifics concerning the type of numerical groundwater model that will be used will be provided in the VRP Application Addendum.

5.0 PERFORMANCE MONITORING PLAN

As the remediation plan is implemented, the remediation process will be monitored continually to confirm that the specific remedial action is a success or failure. This determination can be made in a defensible way only through an adequately designed performance monitoring and assessment process, which includes a clear definition of success. The performance monitoring and assessment process must provide information that is compatible with the agreed upon regulatory framework. In this case, success is defined as obtaining concentrations of PCE below the RRS in soil.

5.1 Excavation

During historical investigations, several soil and groundwater samples have been collected in the source area. The results for the investigations will serve as a base line to determine the success of the remediation. During the excavation, confirmation soil samples will be field screened for VOCs with a PID at various depths and in various locations on the perimeter of the excavation to determine if significant concentrations are present beyond the proposed excavation area. Additionally, after the removal of the soil, soil samples will be collected at a depth (8 ft) every 25 linear ft of the excavation side walls of the excavation. Soil samples will be analyzed for VOCs by 8260B. It is expected that bedrock will be encountered in the bottom of the excavation; therefore, confirmation soil samples will not be collected. If bedrock is not encountered, confirmation soil samples will be collected on a 10 foot grid pattern. Based on the baseline concentrations, elevated VOC readings by field screening are not expected on the excavation walls after source removal. After verification that soil concentrations are below Type 4 RRS, clean soil will be placed back into the excavation.

5.2 Health and Safety

5.2.1 Excavation

Prior to the initiation of on-site activities, a Health & Safety plan will be created for this project. Additionally, the Health & Safety Plan will be provided to each subcontractor for comments and approval.

5.3 Reporting

5.3.1 VRP Application Addendum

Remediation will commence upon approval of this VRP Application by the Georgia EPD. At the completion of the implementation of the Corrective Actions, Performance Monitoring Plan, and horizontal and vertical delineation of VOC impacted groundwater, the data will be reviewed and summarized in a report to GA EPD. The report will provide data collected during the excavation, performance monitoring period, and groundwater delineation and modeling.

5.3.2 Milestone Schedule

The tentative schedule for implementation of corrective action is shown below.

Date	Task			
March 15, 2010	Submittal of VRP Application			
May 4-14, 2010	Excavation & Site Restoration			
June 8 11 2010	Horizontal & Vertical Delineation of VOC Impact			
Julie 8-11, 2010	Groundwater			
July 2010	Complete Groundwater Numerical Modeling of VOC			
July, 2010	Impacted Groundwater			
September, 2010	Submittal of VRP Application Addendum			

Tentative Milestone Schedule

5.3.3 Correction Action Cost Estimates

Cost estimates to conduct the corrective actions discussed previously in this report (excavation and groundwater modeling) are provided below.

Scope of Work		Proposed Price
Characterization a		
	6 hours	\$500
Pre-project Reporting		
	H&S/work plan prep	\$1,500
Baseline Sampling		
	Laboratory (VOCs-7)	\$750
	Laboratory (MNA-7)	\$2,200
	Equipment	\$200
	Sampling labor (10 hours)	\$800
Sheet Piling		
	Design (report & PE) If Necessary will be additional cost	
	Contractor If Necessary will be additional cost	
Soil Remediation,	backfill, and restoration	
	Contractor coordination/scheduling	\$3,500
	Soil Removal contractor	\$9,500
	Soil Disposal	
	400 yards/500 tons @ \$30 per ton-T&D	\$15,000
	Backfill soil	
	520 yards @ \$10 per yard	\$5,200
	Site Restoration (asphalt and curbing)	\$5,000
	Sampling and Oversight	\$9,200
	Soil sampling & Lab Analytical (15 x \$160)	\$2,650
	Project Oversight & Management (P.E., etc)	\$3,500
Estimated Costs		\$59,500.00

Cost Estimate for Excavation of Soil Exceeding Type 4 RRS

Installation of Shallow		
Rock Wells (3)		
	Drilling	\$14,000
	Oversight	\$2,400
	Soil Disposal	\$1,500
Installation of Deep Rock Well		
	Drilling	\$6,700
	Oversight	\$2,400
	Soil Disposal	\$500
Groundwater Sampling		
	Equipment	\$750
	Laboratory (VOCs-10)	\$1,200
	Labor	\$2,400
Numerical Groundwater		
Modeling		* 10.000
	Labor	\$10,000
Estimated Costs		\$41,850.00

Cost Estimate for Delineation and Modeling of Groundwater

GROUNDWATER SCIENTIST STATEMENT

I certify under penalty of law that this report and all attachments were prepared under my direction in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Based on my review of the findings of this report with respect of Risk Reduction Standards of the Rules for Hazardous Site Response, Chapter 391-3-19-.07, I have determined that this property ins not in compliance with Type 1 Risk Reduction Standards for soil or groundwater.

Signed:

Date:

Andrew T. Benoit Georgia Registration No. 1487

APPENDIX I

TABLES

Professional Cleaners

4800 Redan Road Stone Mountain, Georgia HSI# 10884

Table 2: Groundwater Analytical Results

Well	Date	cis-DCE	PCE	trans-DCE	TCE	VC
Number	Sampled	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)
TW-1	10/17/2000	<5	67	<5	<5	<2
TW-2	10/17/2000	<5	1,920	<5	<5	<2
	7/20/2007	69	5,600	<5	320	<2
	10/13/2007	53	3,200	<5	200	<2
	9/10/2009	81	1,900	<5	140	<2
MW-1	9/10/09	17	<5	<5	<5	<2
MW-2	9/10/09	290	94	<5	<5	2
MW-3	9/10/09	110	340	<5	18	<2
MW-4	9/12/09	5.6	210	<5	5.4	<2
MW-5	9/11/09	<5	<5	<5	<5	<2
MW-6	9/11/09	<5	41	<5	<5	<2
Type 1/3 R	RS	5	5	100	5	2
Type 4 RR	S	1,020	5	161	34.5	18.2

Notes:

 μ g/L = micrograms per liter

Professional Cleaners

4800 Redan Road Stone Mountain, Georgia HSI# 10884

Table 1: So	il Analytical	Results

Boring		Date	PCE	TCE	cis-DCE	trans-DCE	VC	
Number	Depth (ft)	Sampled	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	
HA-1	2	10/12/00	633	232	6	<5	<10	
HA-2	2	10/17/00	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	
SS-1 (MW-1)	2	10/12/07	53	<4.2	<4.2	<4.2	<8.4	
SS-2 (MW-2)	2	10/12/07	400	8	3.4	<3.2	<6.4	
SS-3 (MW-3)	6	10/12/07	13	<3.2	<3.2	<3.2	<6.5	
SB-4	2	2/25/08	12			1		
	10	2/25/08	<3.5					
SB-5	2	2/25/08	18	1				
SB-6	2	2/25/08	<3.4	-				
	10	2/25/08	<3.3					
SB-7	2	2/25/08	5.2	1				
	10	2/25/08	<4					
	25	2/25/08	14	1				
SS-8	2	2/25/08	<3.6					
	5	2/25/08	6.6					
SB-9	2	2/25/08	<3.2					
	10	2/25/08	12	_				
SB-10	2	3/10/08	8.4					
	10	3/10/08	<4.3					
SB-11	2	3/10/08	<3.0					
	10	3/10/08	<3.4					
SB-12	2	3/10/08	9.4	1				
	10	3/10/08	12					
	25	3/10/08	4	Not Analyzed				
SB-13	2	3/10/08	77					
	5	3/10/08	49					
SB-14	2	3/10/08	3.2					
	5	3/10/08	<3.4					
SB-15	2	3/10/08	440					
	5	3/10/08	1,100					
	10	3/10/08	7,700					
SB-16	2	3/10/08	<3.1					
	10	3/10/08	<5.3					
SB-17	2	3/10/08	7.5					
	10	3/10/08	<3.4					
SB-18	2	3/10/08	<3.2					
SB-19	2	3/10/08	49					
	10	3/10/08	<4.2					
SB-20	2	5/14/08	3,500					
	7	5/14/08	6					
SB-21	2	5/14/08	590					
	8.5	5/14/08	4.8					

Boring		Date	PCE	TCE	cis-DCE	trans-DCE	VC		
Number	Depth (ft)	Sampled	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(μg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)		
SB-22	2	5/14/08	710						
_	10	5/14/08	13						
SB-23	2	5/14/08	240	-					
	10	5/14/08	360						
SB-24	2	5/14/08	<3.4	Not Analyzed					
	10	5/14/08	9.2						
SB-25	2	5/14/08	<3.0						
	10	5/14/08	<3.9						
SB-26	2	5/14/08	<3.8						
	10	5/14/08	<3.8						
SB-27	2	5/14/08	<3.8						
	10	5/14/08	<3.6						
SB-28	2	5/14/08	<3.4						
SB-29	1.5	5/14/08	280						
SB-30	2	5/14/08	8.9						
	5	5/14/08	690						
SB-31	2	5/14/08	11	1					
	5	5/14/08	560						
MW-4	2	9/9/09	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8	<7.7		
MW-5	2	9/9/09	17	<3.9	<3.9	<3.9	<7.9		
	7	9/9/09	<3.9	<3.9	<3.9	<3.9	<7.8		
MW-6	2	9/9/09	160	<6.5	<6.5	<6.5	<13		
	7	9/9/09	220	<6.8	<6.8	<6.8	<14		
	10	9/9/09	<9.2	<9.2	<9.2	<9.2	<18		
SB-32	2	9/9/09	130	<7.9	<7.9	<7.9	<16		
SB-33	2	9/9/09	110	<6.8 <6.8 <6.8		<14			
	7	9/9/09	240	<6.9	<6.9	<6.9	<14		
SB-34	2	9/9/09	31	6.9	<6.0	<6.0	<12		
SB-35	2	9/9/09	310	110	230	<6.4	<13		
	7	9/9/09	230	300	180	<6.3	<13		
	10	9/9/09	940	61	170	<6.6	<13		
SB-36	2	9/9/09	380	4	<3.2	<3.2	<6.4		
	7	9/9/09	200	9.6	5.4	<3.4	<6.9		
SB-37	2	9/9/09	1,700	940	220	<6.1	<12		
	7	9/9/09	900	480	530	<6.3	<13		
SB-38	2	9/9/09	88	65	120	<3.6	<7.1		
	7	9/9/09	260	70	180	<3.9	<7.8		
	10	9/9/09	48	32	44	<2.6	<5.2		
SB-39	2	9/9/09	450	9	<4.1	<4.1	<8.2		
	7	9/9/09	31	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	<8.1		
10 9/9/09			30	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8	<7.6		
Type 3 RRS			500	500	530	10,000	200		
Type 4 RRS			1,680	11,584	343,392	54,219	4,957		

Notes:

µg/kg = micrograms per kilogram

Professional Cleaners

4800 Redan Road Stone Mountain, Georgia HSI# 10884

Table 3: Groundwater Elevations

		Top of	Depth of		Corrected
	Date	casing	Screen	Water	Groundwater
	Measured	Elev. (ft)	Interval (ft)	Depth (ft)	Elevation (ft)
TMW-2	9/12/2009	102.03	10-33	27.84	74.19
MW-1	9/12/2009	100.00	20-40	38.01	61.99
MW-2	9/12/2009	100.40	18-38	35.42	64.98
MW-3	9/12/2009	100.75	15-45	33.14	67.61
MW-4	9/12/2009	100.87	19-39	26.78	74.09
MW-5	9/12/2009	102.77	19-44	21.29	81.48
MW-6	9/12/2009	102.57	19-44	25.62	76.95

Note:

Elevation at MW-1 arbitrarily set at 100 ft.

APPENDIX II

FIGURES














M:\Prop\Matt T\Parcels.dwg

Source:Dekalb County, 2010; ECT, 2010.







M:\Prop\Matt T\Surfacewater.dwg

Mar 11, 2010 - 4:41pm by ndenahan



GROUNDWATER AND SURFACEWATER USAGE PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS 4800 REDAN ROAD STONE MOUNTAIN, GA Source:NRCS, 2009; ECT, 2010.

Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc.

APPENDIX III

GEORGIA EPD CALL IN LETTER DATED SEPTEMBER 15, 2009

Georgia Department of Natural Resources

2 Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive, SE, Suite 1462 East, Atlanta, Georgia 30334 Chris Clark, Commissioner Environmental Protection Division Carol A. Couch, Ph.D., Director Hazardous Waste Management Branch 404-657-8600

September 15, 2009

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

JNV Investments Group c/o Ms. Marian Dykes, CEO 2910 Stone Mountain Industrial Boulevard Tucker, GA 30084-3095

> Re: JNV Investments Group (*f.k.a.* Professional Cleaners) Compliance Status Report Call-in 4800 (*a.k.a.* 4808) Redan Road Stone Mountain, DeKalb County, Georgia HSI No. 10884

Dear Ms. Dykes:

As a responsible party for the above referenced site, Section 391-3-19-.06(3)(a) of the Rules for Hazardous Site Response (Rules) requires that you submit a compliance status report and a compliance status certification that documents the current status of the site with regard to the risk reduction standards of Section 391-3-19-.07 of the Rules. A copy of those Rules is available at <u>www.gaepd.org</u>.

Section 391-3-19-.06(3)(a) of the Rules provides that the compliance status report and compliance status certification shall be submitted in accordance with a schedule to be determined by the Director. The Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) requests that you submit the compliance status report and certification for the above referenced site by March 15, 2010. We are also requesting that all documents more than 25 pages in length be submitted as one paper copy, and two compact disc copies in Portable Document Format (PDF). Please find enclosed more information concerning the required format for compact disc copies of documents.

In lieu of submitting a compliance status report March 15, 2010 that meets all of the requirements set forth in Section 391-3-19-.06(3) of the Rules, you have the option to first perform corrective action to bring the site into compliance with the risk reduction standards and then submit a final compliance status report during or following completion of corrective action. If you would like to pursue this option, please schedule a meeting with us by November 16, 2009 to discuss the submittal of a corrective action plan that would be submitted by March 15, 2010. The corrective action plan would have to:

- Describe the actions that will be taken to bring the site into compliance with the risk reduction standards and a schedule for implementation and completion of corrective action;
- Provide a description of all sources of releases of regulated substances as required by Section 391-3-19-.06(3)(b)(1) of the Rules;
- Define the extent of regulated substances in impacted media that exceed the risk reduction standards as provided for in Section 391-3-19-.06(3) of the Rules. Delineation of releases to background levels would not be necessary until submittal of the compliance status report;

JNV Investments Group September 15, 2009 Page 2

- Describe any human or environmental receptors who may have been or could be potentially exposed to a release;
- Describe all properties which are part of the site including the address and location of the properties, its legal description, and the property owner's name, address, and telephone number;
- Include a summary of any previous actions taken to eliminate, control, or minimize any potential risk at the site; and
- Describe actions that will be taken to complete a compliance status report and a schedule for submittal.

Please be informed that under Section 391-3-19-.06(6)(c) of the Rules, the Director may designate this site as having a known release needing corrective action if you fail to submit the compliance status report or corrective action plan as requested by this letter. Please send us your written reply by November 16, 2009 and state whether you intend to submit the compliance status report or the corrective action plan by the date requested. If you do not intend to submit the compliance status report or the corrective action plan by the date requested, please state your basis for that decision. This site may also be designated as needing corrective action if you fail to respond by November 16, 2009, or if you respond by November 16, 2009 but fail to agree to submit the compliance status report or the corrective action.

If you believe the EPD has incorrectly identified you as a responsible party at this site, please provide sufficient documentation to support that claim, such as documentation that you did not own the property, did not operate a business at the property, or a copy of a report documenting the property was investigated and sampled to demonstrate there were no releases at the time you sold or vacated the property. In addition, I am also requesting that you help us identify any other persons or parties whom you believe to be a responsible party, to describe the nature of their relationship with the site, and to provide evidence of that relationship. EPD's effectiveness in expanding the pool of responsible parties will depend on our ability to communicate with those parties; therefore, your assistance in obtaining current mailing addresses and phone numbers for appropriate contact persons is requested. We encourage responsible parties to collaborate to expedite and simplify the investigation and cleanup of this site. Therefore, a list of parties that have been sent a CSR call-in letter by EPD for HSI Site 10884 is attached.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact Ms. Carolyn L. Daniels at 404-657-8600.

Sincerely,

antonia S. Blaver

Antonia S. Beavers Acting Unit Coordinator Hazardous Sites Response Program

Enclosures: Document Submittal Format List of Parties Sent a CSR Call-In Letter

File: HSI Site Number 10884

S:\RDRIVE\DANIELSC\SITES\HSRA\#10884 [JNV Inv. Grp (f.k.a. Professional Cleaners)]\CSR Call In\Call-In Letter JNV Investments Group.doc

Hazardous Sites Response Program Document Submittal Format

All documents more than 25 pages in length shall be submitted as one paper copy and two compact disc (CD) copies with the documents in searchable (i.e., tagged) Portable Document Format (PDF). A signed certification page must be included in the CD copies. The certification page states that the electronic copy is complete, identical to the paper copy, and virus free.

All documents currently in electronic format should be converted into the searchable PDF format. All documents not available electronically and pages that contain signatures, initials, or other information not in the electronic copy should be scanned into a searchable PDF format including the signed certification page. Scanning should be at 200 dpi with any documents requiring color being scanned in color.

The document should be broken down into multiple searchable PDF files along the following guidelines with the file name referenced in the table of content.

Table of Contents Signature / Certification pages Main body of document Each Attachment (Appendices, Tables, Figures, Reports, etc.)

The CDs shall be enclosed in a jewel case. The CD shall be labeled with the following information written on the CD in indelible ink or affixed to the CD with an adhesive CD label.

Site Name Site Address HSI Number City County Document Name Document Date

R:\Donney\Form letters\document format.doc

List of Parties Sent a CSR Call-In Letter for JNV Investments Group (*f.k.a.* Professional Cleaners) Stone Mountain, DeKalb County, Georgia Dept. of Natural Resources HSI No. 10884

JNV Investments Group c/o Marian Dykes, CEO 2910 Mountain Industrial Boulevard Tucker, GA 30084-3012

Lachman G. (Cliff) Vaswani 775 Wesley Oak Road NW Atlanta, GA 30328-4738

Manju L. Vaswani 775 Wesley Oak Road NW Atlanta, GA 30328-4738

Redan Properties, LLC c/o Chandrakant I. Patel 2041 Kinderton Manor Dr. Duluth, GA 30097-5968

Redan Village, L.P. c/o Shiv R. Aggarwal or Braham R. Aggarwal 4422 Northeast Expressway, Suite A Doraville, GA 30340-3402

Redan Village, L.P. c/o Shiv R. Aggarwai 4277 Memorial Drive, Suite E Decatur, GA 30032-1200

Redan Village, L.P. c/o Braham R. Aggarwal 7636 Apple Tree Circle Orlando, FL 32819

V.F. Redan Associates, L.P. c/o Michael G. Wasserman 100 Galleria Parkway SE, Suite 600 Atlanta, GA 30339-5947 VF Redan Associates, L.P. c/o Mark A. Parker Vlass-Fotos Group, Ltd 2 Ravinia Dr., Suite 1570 Atlanta, GA 30339-5947

Vlass-Fotos Group, Ltd c/o Robert G. Holt 100 Galleria Parkway SE, Suite 600 Atlanta, GA 30339-5947

Vlass-Fotos Group, Ltd c/o Michael B. Vlass 3491 Buckhead Loop NE, Apt. 1703 Atlanta, GA 30326-1524

Redan Limited c/o Walter B. Silber 1950 Sawtelle Boulevard, Suite 215 Los Angeles, CA 90025-7014

Redan Limited c/o Walter B. Silber 5629 Oso Avenue Woodland Hills, GA 91367-5525

Professional Cleaners c/o Deepak Bhakta 4808 Redan Road Stone Mountain, GA 30088

S/RDRIVE\DANIELSC\SITES\HSRA\#10884 [JNV Inv. Grp (f.k.a. Professional Cleaners)]\CSR Call In\10884 - PRP List.doc

APPENDIX IV

SOIL AND GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS ECT'S SEPTEMBER 2009 INVESTIGATION



September 22, 2009

Matt Tramell ECT 6410 Southpoint Parkway Suite 120 Jacksonville, FL 32216 TEL: (904) 296-0544 FAX (904) 296-2473

RE: Prof. Cleaners

Dear Matt Tramell:

Order No.: 0909A10

Analytical Environmental Services, Inc. received 8 samples on 9/14/2009 1:40:00 PM for the analyses presented in the following report.

No problems were encountered during the analyses. Additionally, all results for the associated Quality Control samples were within EPA and/or AES established limits. Any discrepancies associated with the analyses contained herein will be noted and submitted in the form of a project Case Narrative.

AES' certifications are as follows:

-NELAC/Florida Certification number E87582 for analysis of Environmental Water, soil/hazardous waste, and Drinking Water Microbiology, effective 07/01/09-06/30/10. -AIHA Certification ID #100671 for Industrial Hygiene samples (Organics, Inorganics), Environmental Lead (Paint, Soil, Dust Wipes, Air), and Environmental Microbiology (Fungal) effective until 09/01/11.

These results relate only to the items tested. This report may only be reproduced in full and contains 19 total pages (including cover letter).

If you have any questions regarding these test results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

ta Kararic

Project Manager

AFS

ANALYTICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Work Order: 0909A10

AES TEL: (770) 457-8177 / TOLL-FREE (800) 9:	72.4889 / FAX: (770) 457-8188	Date: $\frac{q}{14}$ Page \downarrow of \int
ECT, Tue.	6410 Southpoint Phuny ANALYSIS REQUESTED	Visit our website
	Stelzu Inclesouidle PL 32216 3 9	<u>www.aesatlanta.com</u>
PHONE 004-296-0544	AX (304) 296-2473 3 3 3	your results, place bottle
SAMPLED BY. KENN & AN MODUE	SIGNATIVE: AC U Sta	orders, etc.
	SAMPLED 5	
# SAMPLE U	PRESERVATION (See codes	REMARKS
d'EF		
2 Mul-1	a/10 12:05 / 12:00 / 12:01	
e-mw :	1/0/14:46 / GW //	14010 - 20145 3
* Mi2-3	9/10 16:35 / 6.60 /	
5 MW-6	9/11 10:40 1 GW 11	
ء MW-S	alii 12:35 / Gw /	
- MW-4	9/10- (1:05 / GW /	<i>.</i> се
* Trip blank		4
•		
01		
12		
13		
RELINQUISHED BY DATE/TIME R	ECEIVED BY DATE/TIME PROJECT INFORMATION	RECEIPT
Kth Www allt 8:00	9/1401 1.12 M PROJECT NAME	Total # of Containers
2 a hulo a n a la	() A / 1 / 4, m 9/2 PROJECT # 09 6667	Tumaround Time Request
3 11 10 11 4 0 - 4/ 10 - 3	WILDAW IN THE ADRESS.	Standard 5 Business Days
$\langle \rangle$	SEND REPORT TO: Math Trough	2 Business Day Rush Next Business Day Rush
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS/COMMENTS:	SHIPMENT METHOD INVOICE TO:	Same Day Rush (auth req.)
	OUT / / VIA: (IF DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE)	O Other
	IN / / VIA: CLIENT Fedex UPS MAIL COURIER	STATE PROGRAM (if any): E-mail? Y/N: Fav? Y/N
	GREVHOUND OTHER PO#: PO#:	DATA PACKAGE: 1 11 11/
SAMPLES RECEIVED AFTER 3PM OR SATURDAY ARE CONSID SAMPLES ARE DISPOSED OF 30 DAYS AFTER COMPLETION O	ERED AS RECEIVED ON THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY; IF NO TAT IS MARKED ON COC AËS WILL PROC F REPORT UNLESS OTHER ARRANGEMENTS ARE MADE.	ED AS STANDARD TAT.
MATRIX CODES: A = Air GW = Groundwater SE = Sediment DESEDDVATIVE CODES: ULL = Holocohoric constants	SO = Soil SW = Surface Water W = Water (Blanks) DW = Drinking Water (Blanks) $O = Other (specify) W$	V = Waste Water
FKESEKVA11VE CODES: H+1 = Hytrochioric and + ice 1 = loc on	y = N = N it is acid $S+i = Suffinic acid + ice S/M+i = Sodium Bisulfate/Methanol + ice O = O ther (specify) N = N = N$	A = None White Copy - Original; Yellow Copy - Client

Sample/Cooler Receipt Checklist

-

Client ECT		Work Order Number 0909 A/U
Checklist completed by M. 9 Signature Date	14/03	
Carrier name: FedEx UPS Courier Client US	Mail Other	·
Shipping container/cooler in good condition?	Yes	No Not Present
Custody seals intact on shipping container/cooler?	Yes	No Not Present
Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes	No Not Present
Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance? (4°C±2)*	Yes	No
Cooler #1 3_2 Cooler #2 Cooler #3	_ Cooler #4	Cooler#5 Cooler #6
Chain of custody present?	Yes 🗸	No
Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received?	Yes 🖌	No
Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?	Yes 🗸	No
Samples in proper container/bottle?	Yes 🗹	No
Sample containers intact?	Yes _	No
Sufficient sample volume for indicated test?	Yes 🟒	No
All samples received within holding time?	Yes 🗸	No
Was TAT marked on the COC?	Yes 🗸	No
Proceed with Standard TAT as per project history?	Yes	No Not Applicable
Water - VOA vials have zero headspace? No VOA vials su	bmitted	Yes 🗹 No
Water - pH acceptable upon receipt?	Yes 🧹	No Not Applicable
Adjusted?	Chec	ked by <u>M-D</u>
Sample Condition: Good <u></u> Other(Explain)		
(For diffusive samples or AIHA lead) Is a known blank include	ed? Yes	No

See Case Narrative for resolution of the Non-Conformance.

* Samples do not have to comply with the given range for certain parameters.

\L\Quality Assurance\Checklists Procedures Sign-Off Templates\Checklists\Sample Receipt Checklists\Sample_Cooler_Receipt_Checklist

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT:ECTProject:Pro. CleanersLab ID:0909A10-001

Client Sample ID: TW-2

Collection Date: 9/10/2009 12:10:00 PM

Matrix: GROUNDWATER

Analyses		Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS	SW8260B			(S	W5030B)		Analyst: GKK
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,1-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,1-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,2-Dibromoethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,2-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,2-Dichloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
2-Butanone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
2-Hexanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Acetone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Benzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Bromodichloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Bromoform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Bromomethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Carbon disulfide		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Carbon tetrachloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Chlorobenzene		BRL.	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Chloroethane		BRL	10	ug/l_	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Chloroform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Chloromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		81	5.0	ug/L.	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Cyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Dibromochloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L.	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Dichlorodifluoromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Ethylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Freon-113		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Isopropylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
m,p-Xylene		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Methyl acetate		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Methyl tert-butyl ether		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Methylcyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Methylene chloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM

Qualifiers: *	Value exceeds Maximum	Contaminant Level
---------------	-----------------------	-------------------

BRL Below Reporting Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

N Analyte not NELAC certified

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

> Greater than Result value

E Estimated (Value above quantitation range)

S Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix

Narr See Case Narrative

NC Not Confirmed

< Less than Result value

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT:ECTProject:Pro. CleanersLab ID:0909A10-001

Client Sample ID: TW-2

Collection Date: 9/10/2009 12:10:00 PM

Analyses		Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS	SW8260B			(SV	/5030B)		Analyst: GKK
o-Xylene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Styrene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Tetrachloroethene		1900	100	ug/L	118578	20	9/17/2009 2:15 PM
Toluene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	u g/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Trichloroethene		140	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Trichlorofluoromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Vinyl chloride		BRL	2.0	ug/L	1 1 8578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		102	61.3-128	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		92.1	61.3-128	%REC	118578	20	9/17/2009 2:15 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane		99.8	67.8-130	%REC	118578	20	9/17/2009 2:15 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane		111	67.8-130	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8		96.2	70.6-121	%REC	118578	20	9/17/2009 2:15 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8		97.6	70.6-121	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 10:27 PM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level	E	Estimated (Value above quantitation range)
	BRL	Below Reporting Limit	S	Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	Narr	See Case Narrative
	Ν	Analyte not NELAC certified	NC	Not Confirmed
	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	<	Less than Result value
	>	Greater than Result value		I

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT:ECTProject:Pro. CleanersLab ID:0909A10-002

Client Sample ID: MW-1 Collection Date: 9/10/2009 1:05:00 PM

Matrix: GROUNDWATER

Analyses		Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS	SW8260B			(SV	N5030B)		Analyst: GKK
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		BRL.	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,1-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,1-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,2-Dibromoethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,2-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,2-Dichloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
2-Butanone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
2-Hexanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Acetone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Benzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	11 <mark>8578</mark>	ï	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Bromodichloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Bromoform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Bromomethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Carbon disulfide		BRL.	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Carbon tetrachloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Chlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Chloroethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Chloroform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Chloromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		17	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Cyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Dibromochloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Dichlorodifluoromethane		BRL	10	ug/i∟	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Ethylbenzene		BRL.	5.0	'ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Freon-113		BRL.	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
lsopropylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
m,p-Xylene		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Methyl acetate		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Methyl tert-butyl ether		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Methylcyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Methylene chloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM

BRL Below Reporting Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

N Analyte not NELAC certified

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

> Greater than Result value

E Estimated (Value above quantitation range)

S Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix

Narr See Case Narrative

NC Not Confirmed

< Less than Result value

Page 3 of 16

Date: 18-Sep-09

 CLIENT:
 ECT

 Project:
 Pro. Cleaners

 Lab ID:
 0909A10-002

Client Sample ID: MW-1 Collection Date: 9/10/2009 1:05:00 PM

Analyses	Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS SW826	60B		(S	W5030B)		Analyst: GKK
o-Xylene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Styrene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Tetrachloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Toluene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Trichloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Trichlorofluoromethane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Vinyl chloride	BRL	2.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	89.8	61.3-128	%REC	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	102	67.8-130	%REC	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8	96.8	70.6-121	%REC	118578	1	9/17/2009 6:32 PM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level	Е	Estimated (Value above quantitation range	;)
	BRL	Below Reporting Limit	S	Spike Recovery outside limits due to matri	х
	н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	Narr	See Case Narrative	
	Ν	Analyte not NELAC certified	NC	Not Confirmed	
	в	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	<	Less than Result value	
	>	Greater than Result value			Page

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT:ECTProject:Pro. CleanersLab ID:0909A10-003

Client Sample ID: MW-2

Collection Date: 9/10/2009 2:46:00 PM

Matrix: GROUNDWATER

Analyses		Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VQLATILE ORGANICS	SW8260B			. (!	SW5030B)		Analyst: GKK
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,1-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,1-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,2-Dibromoethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,2-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,2-Dichloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	u g/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
2-Butanone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
2-Hexanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Acetone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Benzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Bromodichloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Bromoform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Bromomethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Carbon disulfide		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Carbon tetrachloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Chlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Chloroethane		BRL.	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Chloroform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Chloromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		290	50	ug/L	118578	10	9/17/2009 5:35 PM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Cyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Dibromochloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Dichlorodifluoromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Ethylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Freon-113		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
lsopropylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
m,p-Xylene		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Methyl acetate		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Methyi tert-butyi ether		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Methylcyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Methylene chloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Le	vel

BRL Below Reporting Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

N Analyte not NELAC certified

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

> Greater than Result value

E Estimated (Value above quantitation range)

S Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix

Narr See Case Narrative

NC Not Confirmed

< Less than Result value

Page 5 of 16

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT: ECT **Project:** Pro. Cleaners Lab ID: 0909A10-003

Client Sample ID: MW-2 Collection Date: 9/10/2009 2:46:00 PM

Analyses	Resu	t Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS S	SW8260B		(1	SW5030B)		Analyst: GKK
o-Xylene	BRI	. 5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Styrene	BRI	- 5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Tetrachloroethene	94	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Toluene	BRI	. 5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	BRI	. 5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	BRI	. 5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Trichloroethene	BRI	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Trichlorofluoromethane	BRI	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Vinyl chloride	2.0	2.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	61.3-128	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	92.5	61.3-128	%REC	118578	10	9/17/2009 5:35 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	114	67.8-130	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	100	67.8-130	%REC	118578	10	9/17/2009 5:35 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8	95.9	70.6-121	%REC	118578	10	9/17/2009 5:35 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8	99.4	70.6-121	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:26 PM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level	Е	Estimated (Value above quantitation range)
	BRL	Below Reporting Limit	S	Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	Narr	See Case Narrative
	Ν	Analyte not NELAC certified	NC	Not Confirmed
	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	<	Less than Result value
	>	Greater than Result value		P

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT:ECTProject:Pro. CleanersLab ID:0909A10-004

Client Sample ID: MW-3 Collection Date: 9/10/2009 4:35:00 PM

Matrix: GROUNDWATER

Analyses		Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS	SW8260B			(SV	V5030B)		Analyst: GKK
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,1-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,1-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,2-Dibromoethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,2-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,2-Dichloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
2-Butanone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
2-Hexanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Acetone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Benzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Bromodichloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Bromoform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Bromomethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Carbon disulfide		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Carbon tetrachloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Chlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Chloroethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Chloroform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Chloromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		110	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Cyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Dibromochloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Dichlorodifluoromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Ethylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Freon-113		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Isopropylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
m,p-Xylene		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Methyl acetate		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Methyi tert-butyi ether		BRL.	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Methylcyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Methylene chloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM

Qualifiers: * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

BRL Below Reporting Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

N Analyte not NELAC certified

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

> Greater than Result value

E Estimated (Value above quantitation range)

S Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix

Narr See Case Narrative

NC Not Confirmed

< Less than Result value

Page 7 of 16

CLIENT:ECTProject:Pro. CleanersLab ID:0909A10-004

Date: 18-Sep-09

Client Sample ID: MW-3 Collection Date: 9/10/2009 4:35:00 PM

Analyses	Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS SW	/8260B		(SV	/5030B)		Analyst: GKK
o-Xylene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Styrene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Tetrachloroethene	340	50	ug/L	118578	10	9/17/2009 6:04 PM
Toluene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Trichloroethene	18	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Trichlorofluoromethane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Vinyl chloride	BRL	2.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	104	61.3-128	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	89.5	61.3-128	%REC	118578	10	9/17/2009 6:04 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	98.9	67.8-130	%REC	118578	10	9/17/2009 6:04 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	1 1 5	67.8-130	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8	95.1	70.6-121	%REC	118578	10	9/17/2009 6:04 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8	101	70.6-121	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 11:55 PM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level	Е	Estimated (Value above quantitation range)
	BRL	Below Reporting Limit	S	Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	Narr	See Case Narrative
	N	Analyte not NELAC certified	NC	Not Confirmed
	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	<	Less than Result value
	>	Greater than Result value		Page 8 of 16

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT: ECT **Project:** Lab ID: 0909A10-005

Pro. Cleaners

Client Sample ID: MW-6 Collection Date: 9/11/2009 10:40:00 AM

Matrix: GROUNDWATER

Analyses	Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
POLYAROMATIC HYDROCARBONS	SW8270D		(SV	V3535A)		Analyst: NE
Naphthalene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Acenaphthylene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
1-Methylnaphthalene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
2-Methylnaphthalene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Acenaphthene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Fluorene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Phenanthrene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Anthracene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Fluoranthene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Pyrene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Benz(a)anthracene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Chrysene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	BRL	10	ug/L.	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Benzo(a)pyrene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	BRL	10	ug/L	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Surr: Nitrobenzene-d5	65.4	25.9-124	%REC	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Surr: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	62.9	42.2-116	%REC	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
Surr: 4-Terphenyl-d14	77.3	56.7-143	%REC	118568	1	9/17/2009 5:37 PM
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS SW8260	В		(SV	/5030B)		Analyst: GKK
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,1-Dichloroethane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,1-Dichloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,2-Dibromoethane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	11 <mark>8578</mark>	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,2-Dichloroethane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,2-Dichloropropane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
2-Butanone	BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
2-Hexanone	BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Acetone	BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM

Qualifiers: * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Leve	
--	--

BRL Below Reporting Limit

- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Ň Analyte not NELAC certified
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- > Greater than Result value

- Е Estimated (Value above quantitation range)
- S Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix
- Narr See Case Narrative
- NC Not Confirmed

< Less than Result value

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT: ECT **Project:** Pro. Cleaners Lab ID:

0909A10-005

Client Sample ID: MW-6 Collection Date: 9/11/2009 10:40:00 AM Matrix: GROUNDWATER

Analyses		Result	Limit	^g Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS	SW8260B			(SW5030B)		Analyst: GKK
Benzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Bromodichloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Bromoform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Bromomethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Carbon disulfide		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM [*]
Carbon tetrachloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Chlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Chloroethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Chloroform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Chloromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Cyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Dibromochloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Dichlorodifluoromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Ethylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Freon-113		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Isopropylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
m,p-Xylene		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Methyl acetate		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Methyl tert-butyl ether		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Methylcyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Methylene chloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
o-Xylene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Styrene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Tetrachloroethene		41	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Toluene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Trichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Trichlorofluoromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Vinyl chloride		BRL	2.0	ug/L	118578	í	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		102	61.3-128	%REC	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane		112	67.8-130	%REC	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM
Surr: Toluene-d8		. 97.6	70.6-121	%REC	118578	1	9/16/2009 12:23 AM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level	Е	Estimated (Value above quantitation range)
-	BRL	Below Reporting Limit	S	Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	Narr	See Case Narrative
	N	Analyte not NELAC certified	- NC	Not Confirmed
	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	<	Less than Result value
	>	Greater than Result value		Page 10 of 16

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT: ECT **Project:** Pro. Cleaners 0909A10-006 Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: MW-5

Collection Date: 9/11/2009 12:35:00 PM

Matrix: GROUNDWATER

Analyses		Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS	SW8260B				(SW5030B)		Analyst: GKK
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/Ĺ	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,1-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,1-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,2-Dibromoethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,2-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,2-Dichloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
2-Butanone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
2-Hexanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Acetone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Benzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Bromodichloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Bromoform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Bromomethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Carbon disulfide		BRL.	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Carbon tetrachloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Chlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Chloroethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Chloroform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Chloromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Cyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Dibromochloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Dichlorodifluoromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Ethylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Freon-113		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Isopropylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
m,p-Xylene		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Methyl acetate		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Methyl tert-butyl ether		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Methylcyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Methylene chloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM

Qualifiers: *	Val	ie exceeds	Maximum	Contaminant Level
---------------	-----	------------	---------	-------------------

BRL Below Reporting Limit

Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Ν Analyte not NELAC certified

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Greater than Result value >

Е Estimated (Value above quantitation range)

S Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix

Narr See Case Narrative

ŇĊ Not Confirmed

< Less than Result value

Page 11 of 16

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT:ECTProject:Pro. CleanersLab ID:0909A10-006

Client Sample ID: MW-5 Collection Date: 9/11/2009 12:35:00 PM

Analyses	Result	Reporting Limit	^g Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS SW8260	В		. ((SW5030B)	-	Analyst: GKK
o-Xylene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Styrene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Tetrachloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Toluene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Trichloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Trichlorofluoromethane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Vinyl chloride	BRL	2.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	92.1	61.3-128	%REC	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	93.2	67.8-130	%REC	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8	91.5	70.6-121	%REC	118578	1	9/17/2009 10:22 PM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level	Е	Estimated (Value above quantitation range)
	BRL	Below Reporting Limit	S	Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix
	н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	Narr	See Case Narrative
	N	Analyte not NELAC certified	NC	Not Confirmed
	в	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	<	Less than Result value
	>	Greater than Result value		Page 12 of 16

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT:ECTProject:Pro. CleanersLab ID:0909A10-007

Client Sample ID: MW-4 Collection Date: 9/12/2009 11:05:00 AM

Matrix: GROUNDWATER

Analyses		Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS	SW8260B			(SV	V5030B)		Analyst: GKK
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,1-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,1-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,2-Dibromoethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	1 1 8578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,2-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,2-Dichloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
2-Butanone		BRL	5 0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
2-Hexanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Acetone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Benzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Bromodichloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Bromoform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	1 1 8578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Bromomethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Carbon disulfide		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Carbon tetrachloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Chlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Chloroethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Chloroform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Chloromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		5.6	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Cyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Dibromochloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Dichlorodifluoromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118 578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Ethylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Freon-113		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Isopropylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
m,p-Xylene		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Methyl acetate		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Methyl tert-butyl ether		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Methylcyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Methylene chloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM

BRL Below Reporting Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

N Analyte not NELAC certified

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

> Greater than Result value

E Estimated (Value above quantitation range)

S Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix

Narr See Case Narrative

NC Not Confirmed

< Less than Result value

Page 13 of 16

Date: 18-Sep-09

ECT **CLIENT: Project:** Pro. Cleaners Lab ID: 0909A10-007

Client Sample ID: MW-4 Collection Date: 9/12/2009 11:05:00 AM

Analyses		Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS	SW8260B			(SI	N5030B)		Analyst: GKK
o-Xylene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Styrene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Tetrachloroethene		210	50	ug/L	118578	10	9/18/2009 11:32 AM
Toluene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Trichloroethene		5.4	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Trichlorofluoromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Vinyl chloride		BRL	2.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		91.4	61.3-128	%REC	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene		91.3	61.3-128	%REC	118578	10	9/18/2009 11:32 AM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane		102	67.8-130	%REC	118578	10	9/18/2009 11:32 AM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane		90.4	67.8-130	%REC	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8		96.2	70.6-121	%REC	118578	10	9/18/2009 11:32 AM
Surr: Toluene-d8		89.9	70.6-121	%REC	118578	1	9/17/2009 9:54 PM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level	Е	Estimated (Value above quantitation range)	
	BRL	Below Reporting Limit	S	Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix	
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	Narr	See Case Narrative	
	Ν	Analyte not NELAC certified	NC	Not Confirmed	
	в	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	<	Less than Result value	
	>	Greater than Result value		Page	14

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT:ECTProject:Pro. CleanersLab ID:0909A10-008

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Collection Date: 9/14/2009

Matrix: AQUEOUS

Analyses	-	Result	Reporting Limit	^g Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS	SW8260B			(S)	W5030B)		Analyst: GKK
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,1,2,2-Tetrachioroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,1-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,1-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,2-Dibromoethane		BRL.	5.0	ug/L	11 <mark>8578</mark>	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,2-Dichloroethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	1 1 8578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,2-Dichloropropane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
2-Butanone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
2-Hexanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
4-Methyl-2-pentanone		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Acetone		BRL	50	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Benzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Bromodichloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Bromoform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Bromomethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Carbon disulfide		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Carbon tetrachloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	. 1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Chlorobenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Chloroethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Chloroform		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Chloromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Cyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Dibromochloromethane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	11 <mark>8</mark> 578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Dichlorodifluoromethane		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Ethylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Freon-113		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
isopropylbenzene		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
m,p-Xylene		BRL	10	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Methyl acetate		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Methyl tert-butyl ether		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Methylcyclohexane		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Methylene chloride		BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM

Qualifiers: * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

BRL Below Reporting Limit

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

N Analyte not NELAC certified

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

> Greater than Result value

E Estimated (Value above quantitation range)

S Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix

Narr See Case Narrative

NC Not Confirmed

< Less than Result value

Page 15 of 16

Date: 18-Sep-09

CLIENT:ECTProject:Pro. CleanersLab ID:0909A10-008

Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Collection Date: 9/14/2009 Matrix: AQUEOUS

Analyses	Result	Reporting Limit	Qual Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed
TCL VOLATILE ORGANICS SW8260E	5		(5	SW5030B)		Analyst: GKK
o-Xylene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Styrene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Tetrachloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Toluene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Trichloroethene	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Trichlorofluoromethane	BRL	5.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Vinyl chloride	BRL	2.0	ug/L	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	61.3-128	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	114	67.8-130	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM
Surr: Toluene-d8	99.9	70.6-121	%REC	118578	1	9/15/2009 9:30 PM

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level	E	Estimated (Value above quantitation range)
	BRL	Below Reporting Limit	S	Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix
	Η	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	Narr	See Case Narrative
	Ν	Analyte not NELAC certified	NC	Not Confirmed
	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank	<	Less than Result value
	>	Greater than Result value		Pag
				•

Page 16 of 16

APPENDIX V

SOIL AND GROUNDWATER RRS CALCULATIONS

HSRA TYPE 4 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA *Per GA HSRA* 391-3-19-.07(9)(c) May 2009

Site Constituent Data						Calculation of Hig (T	hest of Type 3 and 4 G o Model Leaching to G Criteria (9)(d)1.	roundwater Criteria iW)	HSRA Criteria (9)(d)1	RAGS B C			HSRA Criteria (9)(d)2	
Constituent	CAS No.	Soil Detection Max. Reported		Soil Notification Limits		From Table 1	Type 4 GW Criteria From Table 4 - A	Highest of Type 3 or 4	Soil Concent. to Achieve GW Criteria (cal EPA SSL)	Non-Cancer Based Eq. 7	Cancer Based EQ. 6	Lowest of RAGSB Eq. 6 and 7	Surface Soil to Meet HSRA (9)(d)1 if that is	
		Frequency	requency Concentration (mg/kg)		(HSRA App.I) Appe (mg/kg) Notes (m		(mg/l)	(mg/l)	Eq. 10 or 14 SSL (mg/kg)	(mg/kg)		(9)(d)(2)(i,ii,and iii) (mg/kg)	more stringent than (9)(d)2	
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene Tetrachloroethylene Trichloroethylene Vinyl Chloride	156-59-2 156-60-5 127-18-4 79-01-6 75-01-4	2/5 0/5 37/62 2/5 0/5	0.006 DL 7.7 0.232 DL	0.53 0.53 0.18 0.13 0.04	None None None None None	None 0.1 0.005 0.005 0.002	1.02E+00 1.61E-01 3.83E-03 3.45E-02 1.82E-02	1.02E+00 1.61E-01 5.00E-03 3.45E-02 1.82E-02	3.43E+02 5.42E+01 1.68E+00 1.16E+01 6.12E+00	2.04E+04 1.99E+02 1.05E+03 NC 7.79E+01	NC NC 1.64E+01 7.53E+01 4.96E+00	2.04E+04 1.99E+02 1.64E+01 7.53E+01 4.96E+00	3.43E+02 5.42E+01 1.68E+00 1.16E+01 4.96E+00	

Notes:

Notes: Calculations are detailed in Tables 4-B through 4-G NA = Not available (none in available databases) or not appropriate (I.e., most metals are not volatile at environmental temperatures) NC = Not calculated (either not appropriate, or there were missing values, I.e., no molecular dflusivity values for most metals). NS = Not sampled NL = Not listed

ND = Not determined BDL = Below detection Limit

Shaded Cells - Not referenced - I.e., lead criteria for lead only.

Type 4 Soil Criteria: Boxed Column of Cells = Identified Criteria for "any point above uppermost groundwater zone" and for "surface soil".

Date Printed 11-Jun-09

TABLE 3-B

HSRA TYPE 4 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c) May 2009

SSL Calculations Soil Concentrations to Achieve Type 4 Groundwater RRS (For All Soil Depths) No Dilution and with 20X Dilution (See Note 1) Equation 10, EPA SSL Guidance

		Soil Screening - EPA 1996 Guidance - Equation 10													ss Limit Ca				
		CW										Calculate	ed SSL	CW with	Soil	Exposure	Source	Mass-limit SSL	
		Criterion (9)(d)1										EPA SSL Eq. 10	With	Dilution	Infiltration	Duration	Depth	MLSSL	
Constituent	CAS No.	GW Standard	Koc	foc	Kd	θw	θa	ρb	n	ρs	н		DAF Correction	CW-DAF	1	ED	ds	Eq. 14	SSL Value
		Table 3-A	Look-up	default	Koc x foc	default	n-0w	default	1-(ρb/ρs)	default	Look-up	Criteria (9)(d)1	See Not	te 1	Default	Default	(Site Data)		for Risk Calculations
		(mg/l)	(cm3/g)	(unitless)	or lookup	(unitless)	(unitless)	(kg/l)	(unitless)	(kg/l)	(dimensionless)	for soil	DAF	DAF	(m/yr)	(yr)	(m)	(mg/kg)	(<i>mg/kg</i>)
		Health Based		0.0200	(cm3/g)	0.3	1.34E-01	1.5	4.34E-01	2.65		(mg/kg)	20	20	0.18	70	0.5		
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylen€	156-59-2	1.02E+00	3.55E+01	0.02	7.10E-01	3.00E-01	1.34E-01	1.50E+00	4.34E-01	2.65E+00	1.67E-01	9.45E-01	1.89E+01	2.04E+01	0.18	70	0.5	3.43E+02	3.43E+02 Mass Limit SSL
trans-1,2-Dichloroethyle	156-60-5	1.61E-01	5.25E+01	0.02	1.05E+00	3.00E-01	1.34E-01	1.50E+00	4.34E-01	2.65E+00	3.85E-01	2.07E-01	4.15E+00	3.23E+00	0.18	70	0.5	5.42E+01	5.42E+01 Mass Limit SSL
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	5.00E-03	1.55E+02	0.02	3.10E+00	3.00E-01	1.34E-01	1.50E+00	4.34E-01	2.65E+00	7.54E-01	1.68E-02	3.37E-01	1.00E-01	0.18	70	0.5	1.68E+00	1.68E+00 Mass Limit SSL
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	3.45E-02	1.66E+02	0.02	3.32E+00	3.00E-01	1.34E-01	1.50E+00	4.34E-01	2.65E+00	4.22E-01	1.23E-01	2.45E+00	6.90E-01	0.18	70	0.5	1.16E+01	1.16E+01 Mass Limit SSL
Xylenes (Total)	1330-20-7	1.82E-02	1.86E+01	0.02	3.72E-01	3.00E-01	1.34E-01	1.50E+00	4.34E-01	2.65E+00	1.11E+00	1.22E-02	2.45E-01	3.65E-01	0.18	70	0.5	6.12E+00	6.12E+00 Mass Limit SSL

Notes:

Organic Carbon Partition) and H (Henry's Law Constant are from Table 4-D SSL Calculations - See Eq. B1 Table 3-F MLSSL Calculations - See Eq. B2 Table 3-F

Note 1: As noted in EPA 1996 SSL Guidance at Eq. 11, a default Dilution Factor of 20 (for an 0.5-acre source) is suggested to accomodate dilution absent site-specific parameters. Since the source material is located under a building and a well is unlikely near the source area, this is a very conservative assumption. Likewise, in calculating the Mass-Limit values. the DAF is applied as a default by multiplying the DAF by the target leachate concentration (see Eq. 14 of guidance).

Parameters and Values - See defaults for Equations 10 and 14, EPA Soil Screening Guidance, July 1996 (Second Edition).

- Target soil leachate concentration (higherst of Type 3 and 4 GW RRS calculated for Criteria (9)(d)1. (mg/l) Soil-water partition coefficient from Tables in EPA SSL Guidance or other EPA sources (I/kg) (See Table 4-D) CW
- Koc
- Kd
- Soll arganic paraticin ocenicerit iron rates in EPA SSL Guidance of other EPA Sources (I/kg) (See I able 4-D) Soll arganic carbon vater partition coefficient (I/kg) Fraction organic carbon in soil (g/g) 0.002 (0.2%) default in guidance (0.02 per RAGSB and HSRA Table 3 (g/g) water-filled soil porosity (-0.3 default in guidance (EPA 1996 Eq. 10) (I(w)/(s)) air-filled soil porosity (-6 w) (I(a)/(s)) foc
- θw
- θа
- dry soil bulk density (default of 1.5) (kg/l) soil porosity (1-(pb/ps)) (l(p)/l(s)) ρb
- n
- soil particle density (densitie) ρs Ĥ.
- 1
- Infiltration Rate (m/yr) (default 0.18)
- Depth of Source (based on site data max depth of PCE/TCE (AES Report August 2006) (5 feet, 1.5 m) d(s)
- ED Exposure duration (years) (default 70 years)
TABLE 3-C

HSRA TYPE 4 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA *Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-07(9)(c)* May 2009

Calculation of Risk-Based Type 4 Criteria for Soil per RAGS B Equations 6 and 7 (Surface Soil Only - Human Exposure)

									s	Soil Type 4	RRS (9)(d)2(i) AND (ii)		
Constituent	Volatilization Factor	1/VF Calculation Term	Chronic Tai	Ref. Doses ble 3-E	Calculate RAGS B	ed Terms for Equation 7	Non-Cancer Based	Car Weight-of	ncer -Evidence	Cancer Sl Tab	lope Factors ble 3-E	Calculate RAGS B	d Terms for Equation 6	Cancer Based
	VF	(for Zero)	RfDo	RfDi	1/RfDo	1/RfDi	EPA RAGS B		Target	SFo	SFi	SFo	SFi	EPA RAGS B
	(from Table 2-C)	Correction)	Oral	Inhalation	1/(m	ig/kg-day)	Equation 7		Haz Risk	Oral	Inhalation	Oral	Inhalation	Equation 6
	(m3/kg)	(1/(m3/kg))	(mg	/kg-day)			(mg/kg)			(mg/k	g-day-1)	(mg/k	g-day-1)	(mg/kg)
cis-1.2 Dichloroethylene	2.82E+03	3.54E-04	0.01	NA	1.000E+02	0.000E+00	2.04E+04	D	1.0E-05	NA	NA	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	NC
trans-1.2-Dichloroethylene	2.28E+03	4.39E-04	0.02	0.0171429	5.000E+01	5.833E+01	1.99E+02	NR	1.0E-05	NA	NA	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	NC
Tetrachloroethylene	2.81E+03	3.56E-04	0.01	0.0771429	1.000E+02	1.296E+01	1.05E+03	NA	1.0E-05	0.54	0.02065	5.40E-01	2.07E-02	1.64E+01
Trichloroethylene	3.75E+03	2.67E-04	NA	NA	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	NC	Not on IRIS	3 1.0E-05	0.013	0.007	1.30E-02	7.00E-03	7.53E+01
Vinyl Chloride	5.34E+02	1.87E-03	0.72	0.0285714	1.389E+00	3.500E+01	7.79E+01	А	1.0E-05	0.003	0.0154	3.00E-03	1.54E-02	4.96E+00

Notes Example Calculations in TABLE 2-E Non-cancer Based Soil Concentrations Calculated per Equation 7 of RAGS B. See Equation B1 in Table 2 - E Cancer Based Soil Concentrations Calculated per Equation 6 of RAGS B. See Equation B2 in Table 2 - E

Terms and units HRSA Appendix III, Table 3 and RAGS B

Chemical Concentration	С	mg/l	***
Target Hazard Index	THI	unitless	1
Target Risk	TR	unitless	1.00E-05
Oral Reference Dose	RfDo	mg/kg-Day	chem. spec.
Inhalation Reference Dose	RfDi	mg/kg-Day	chem. spec.
Oral Slope Factor	Sfo	mg/kg-Day-1	chem. spec.
Inhalation Slope Factor	SFi	mg/kg-Day-1	chem. spec.
Adult Body Weight	BW	kg	70
Averating Time (nc)	ATnc	yr	25
Averating Time (c)	ATc	yr	70
Exposure Freg.	EF	Days/yr	250
Exposure Duration	ED	years	25
Intake Rate - Air	IRa	m3/Day	20
Intake Rate - Soil	IRsoil	mg/day	50
Soil-air volatilization factor	VF	m3/kg	calculated - chem-specific
particle emission factor	PEF	m3/kg	4.63E+09 Default

Note - Csat Ignored - assumed that constituents not present at saturation.

TABLE 3-D

HSRA TYPE 4 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c) May 2009

Calculation of Soil-to-Air Volatilization Factor - (VF) - RAGS B Eq. 8

						Equation f	rom Table	a 3 - HSRA				
Constituent		Ca	Iculate Factor	α							Mixing Geometry Constant	Soil to Air Volatilization
	Di(a)	E	D(ei)	p(s)	н	K(oc)	f(oc)	K(d)	K(as)	α	(LSxVxDH)/A	VF
	(cm2/sec)	(unitless)	(cm2/sec)	(unitless)	(dimensionless,	(cm3/g)	(unitless)	(cm3/g)	(g soil/cm3 air)	(cm2/sec)	((m3/sec)/cm2)	(m3/kg)
	юокар	0.35	caldiated-Eq.1	2.65	юокар	юокир	0.02	(lookup metals)	calulated-Eq.5	calulated-Eq.4	9.975E-06	(Table 3-HSRA)
								,				RAGSB Eq. 8
sis-1,2 Dichloroethylene	7.36E-02	3.50E-01	5.205E-02	2.65	1.67E-01	3.55E+01	0.02	7.10E-01	2.35E-01	2.37E-03	9.975E-06	2.825E+03
rans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	7.07E-02	3.50E-01	5.000E-02	2.65	3.85E-01	5.25E+01	0.02	1.05E+00	3.67E-01	3.47E-03	9.975E-06	2.280E+03
Tetrachloroethylene	7.20E-02	3.50E-01	5.092E-02	2.65	7.54E-01	1.55E+02	0.02	3.10E+00	2.43E-01	2.40E-03	9.975E-06	2.806E+03
Trichloroethylene	7.90E-02	3.50E-01	5.587E-02	2.65	4.22E-01	1.66E+02	0.02	3.32E+00	1.27E-01	1.41E-03	9.975E-06	3.748E+03
Vinvl Chloride	1.06E-01	3.50E-01	7.496E-02	2.65	1.11E+00	1.86E+01	0.02	3.72E-01	2.98E+00	2.83E-02	9.975E-06	5.337E+02

See Notes for Table 2-C

TABLE 3-E

HSRA TYPE 4 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-07(9)(c) May 2009

Toxicology Data

	Chronic Refe (EPA Reg. II	erence Doses I RBC Table)	Cancer Slo (EPA Reg. II	pe Factors I RBC Table)	Cancer Weight-of	RFC	IURF
Constituent	Oral mg/k	Inhalation g-day	Oral mg/kg	Inhalation -day-1	Evidence		
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene	1.00E-02	NA	NA	NA	D	NA	NA
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	2.00E-02	1.71E-02	NA	NA	NR	0.06	NA
Tetrachloroethylene	1.00E-02	7.71E-02	5.40E-01	2.07E-02	NA	0.27	5.9E-06
Trichloroethylene	NA	NA	1.30E-02	7.00E-03	Not on IRIS	NA	2.0E-06
Vinyl Chloride	7.20E-01	2.86E-02	3.00E-03	1.54E-02	A	1.0E-01	4.4E-06

Health Criteria (Non-carcinogenic Reference Doses and Cancer Slope Factors) are obtained from the US EPA Regional screening table revised April 2009. Cancer Weight of Evidence from US EPA IRIS Database as of May, 2007 NA = Not applicable or no value available NR = Not reported or not evaluated

TABLE 3 - F

HSRA TYPE 4 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL - Rev. February 2005 FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA *Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-07(9)(c)* May 2009 Equations and Calculations - Based on Trichloroethylene

TABLE 4 - B

Calculation of Soil Screening Levels per EPA SSL Guidance, Equations 10 and 14

Eq. B1 - Calculate SSL (SSL Eq. 10)	$SSL = C_w \left[K_d + \left(\frac{\theta_w + (\theta_a H)}{P_b} \right) \right] = \left(trichloroethylene \right) 0.005 \times \left[3.32 + \left(\frac{0.3 + (0.134 \times 0.422)}{1.5} \right) \right]$
Eq. B2 - Calculate MLSSL (SSL Eq. 14)	$ML - SSL = \frac{C_{w} \times I \times ED}{P_{b} \times d_{x}} = (trichloroethylene) \frac{0.005 \times 0.18 \times 70}{15 \times 15}$

HSRA TYPE 3 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c) May 2009

													Soil Criterion	.07(8)(d)				
Constituent	CAS No.	Site Cons	stituent Data	-		Type 1 and Type 3	Туре	a 3 RRS for A	All Soils Al	oove Grou (mg	ndwater Zone //kg)	- Criterion .0	07(8)(d)(1) -	Type 3 I	RRS for Surfac	e Soils Criterion -	.07(8)(d)(2) - ((mg/kg)
		Detection	Max. Reported	Soil Notifica	tion Limits	GW Criteria (from Table 1		Criterion .0 (Type 1 Soil C	7(8)(d)(1)(i) Criterion7(6)(c))	-		Type 3 Criteria All Soils				Type 3 Surfac	Criteria ce Soils
		Frequency	Concentration mg/Kg	(HSRA / (mg/kg)	App.I) Notes	Appendix III) (mg/l)	(i) App. 1	(ii) Type 1&3 GW x 100	(iii) TCLP	Highest Type 1 Soil Value	Remaining .07((d)(1)(ii) Table 2 App. III	8)(d) Criteria (d)(1)(iii) Lead	Highest (8)(d)(1) Criterion	Non-Cancer EQ. 7 (NC=N	Cancer EQ. 6 ot Cal.)	Lowest of RAGS B Eq. 6 and 7	Lowest of (8)(d) Criteria	Basis
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene Tetrachloroethylene Trichloroethylene Vinyl Chloride	156-59-2 156-60-5 127-18-4 79-01-6 75-01-4	2/5 0/5 37/62 2/5 0/5	0.006 DL 7.7 0.232 DL	0.53 0.53 0.18 0.13 0.04	None None None None None	None 0.1 0.005 0.005 0.002	0.53 0.53 0.18 0.13 0.04	NA 10 0.5 0.5 0.2	ND ND ND ND ND	0.53 10 0.5 0.5 0.2	These criteri metals and a relevant here	a apply to are not	5.30E-01 1.00E+01 5.00E-01 5.00E-01 2.00E-01	2.04E+04 1.99E+02 1.05E+03 NC 7.79E+01	NC NC 1.64E+01 7.53E+01 4.96E+00	2.04E+04 1.99E+02 1.64E+01 7.53E+01 4.96E+00	5.30E-01 1.00E+01 5.00E-01 5.00E-01 2.00E-01	GW Leaching GW Leaching GW Leaching GW Leaching GW Leaching

Notes:

Calculations are detailed in Tables 2-B through 2-E

NA = Not available (none in available databases) or not appropriate (i.e., most metals are not volatile at environmental temperatures) <math>NC = Not calculated (either not appropriate, or there were missing values, i.e., no molecular dffusivity values for most metals).

NS = Not sampled

NL = Not listed

ND = Not determined

BDI = Below detection Limit

Shaded Cells - Not referenced - I.e., lead criteria for lead only, criteria for metals only, etc.

Type 3 Soil Criteria:

Boxed Columns of Cells = Identified Criteria for "any point above uppermost groundwater zone" and for "surface soil".

Date Printed 11-Jun-09

TABLE 2-B

HSRA TYPE 3 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c) May 2009

Calculation of Risk-Based Type 3 Criteria for Soil per RAGS B Equations 6 and 7

		-	Calculation of Non-Cancer Based Type 3 RRS - (8)(d)2(i)						Calculation of Cancer Based Type 3 RRS - (8)(d)2(ii)					
Constituent Factor	Volatilization Factor	1/VF Calculation Term	Chronic Tal	Ref. Doses ble 2-D	Calculated RAGS B I	d Terms for Equation 7	Non-Cancer Based	ancer Cancer Sed Weight-of-Evidence		Cancer Slope Factors Table 2-D		Calculated RAGS B I	d Terms for Equation 6	Cancer Based
	VF	(for Zero)	RfDo	RfDi	1/RfDo	1/RfDi	EPA RAGS B		Target	SFo	SFi	SFo	SFi	EPA RAGS B
	(from Table 2-C)	Correction)	Oral	Inhalation	1/(mg/	(kg-day)	Equation 7		Haz Risk	Oral	Inhalation	Oral	Inhalation	Equation 6
	(m3/kg)	(1/(m3/kg))	(mg	/kg-day)			(mg/kg)			(mg/kg	g-day-1)	(mg/kg	g-day-1)	(mg/kg)
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene	2.82E+03	3.54E-04	0.01	NA	1.000E+02	0.000E+00	2.04E+04	D	1.0E-05	NA	NA	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	NC
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	2.28E+03	4.39E-04	0.02	0.01714286	5.000E+01	5.833E+01	1.99E+02	NR	1.0E-05	NA	NA	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	NC
Tetrachloroethylene	2.81E+03	3.56E-04	0.01	0.07714286	1.000E+02	1.296E+01	1.05E+03	NA	1.0E-05	0.54	0.02065	5.40E-01	2.07E-02	1.64E+01
Trichloroethylene	3.75E+03	2.67E-04	NA	NA	0.000E+00	0.000E+00	NC	Not on IRIS	1.0E-05	0.013	0.007	1.30E-02	7.00E-03	7.53E+01
Vinyl Chloride	5.34E+02	1.87E-03	0.72	0.02857143	1.389E+00	3.500E+01	7.79E+01	А	1.0E-05	0.003	0.0154	3.00E-03	1.54E-02	4.96E+00
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene Tetrachloroethylene Trichloroethylene Vinyl Chloride	(from Table 2-C) (m3/kg) 2.82E+03 2.28E+03 2.81E+03 3.75E+03 5.34E+02	(3.545-04) (1/(m3/kg)) 3.54E-04 4.39E-04 3.56E-04 2.67E-04 1.87E-03	0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 NA 0.72	Inhalation / <u>kg-day</u>) NA 0.01714286 0.07714286 NA 0.02857143	1.000E+02 5.000E+01 1.000E+02 0.000E+00 1.389E+00	0.000E+00 5.833E+01 1.296E+01 0.000E+00 3.500E+01	2.04E+04 1.99E+02 1.05E+03 NC 7.79E+01	D NR NA Not on IRIS A	Haz Risk 1.0E-05 1.0E-05 1.0E-05 1.0E-05 1.0E-05	NA NA 0.54 0.003	Inhalation 9 <u>-day-1)</u> NA NA 0.02065 0.007 0.0154	0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 5.40E-01 1.30E-02 3.00E-03	Inhalation (1-day-1) 0.00E+00 0.00E+00 2.07E-02 7.00E-03 1.54E-02	Equat (mg/ N(1.64E 7.53E 4.96E

Non-cancer Based Soil Concentrations Calculated per Equation 7 of RAGS B. See Equation B1 in Table 2 - E Cancer Based Soil Concentrations Calculated per Equation 6 of RAGS B. See Equation B2 in Table 2 - E

Terms and units EPA RAGS B Equations, HSRA Appendix III, Table 3 Default Values

Chemical Concentration	С	mg/l	***
Target Hazard Index	THI	unitless	1
Target Risk	TR	unitless	1.00E-05 c 1.00E-04
Oral Reference Dose	RfDo	mg/kg-Day	chem. spec.
Inhalation Reference Dose	RfDi	mg/kg-Day	chem. spec.
Oral Slope Factor	Sfo	mg/kg-Day-1	chem. spec.
Inhalation Slope Factor	SFi	mg/kg-Day-1	chem. spec.
Adult Body Weight	BW	kg	70
Averating Time (nc)	ATnc	yr	25
Averating Time (c)	ATc	yr	70
Exposure Freg.	EF	Days/yr	250
Exposure Duration	ED	years	25
Intake Rate - Air	IRa	m3/Day	20
Intake Rate - Soil	IRsoil	mg/day	50
Soil-air volatilization factor	VF	m3/kg	calculated - chem-specific
particle emission factor	PEF	m3/kg	4.63E+09 Default

HSRA TYPE 3 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA *Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c)* May 2009

Calculation of Soil-to-Air Volatilization Factor - (VF) - RAGS B Eq. 8

					Equa	VF - Soil ation from A	to Air Vola ppendix II	atilization I, Table 3 - HSF	RA			
Constituent		с	alculate Facto	rα							Mixing Geometry Constant	Soil to Air Volatilization
	Di(a) (cm2/sec) lookup	E (unitless) default 0.35	D(ei) (cm2/sec) calulated-Eq.1	p(s) (unitless) default 2.65	H (dimensionless) lookup	K(oc) (cm3/g) lookup	f(oc) (unitless) default 0.02	K(d) (cm3/g) calulated-Eq.2	K(as) (g soil/cm3 air) calulated-Eq.3	α (cm2/sec) calulated-Eq.4	(LSxVxDH)/A ((m3/sec)/cm2) calulated-Eq.5 9.975E-06	VF (m3/kg) calulated-Eq.6 (Table 3-HSRA) RAGSB Eq. 8
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene Tetrachloroethylene Trichloroethylene Vinyl Chloride	7.36E-02 7.07E-02 7.20E-02 7.90E-02 1.06E-01	3.50E-01 3.50E-01 3.50E-01 3.50E-01 3.50E-01	5.205E-02 5.000E-02 5.092E-02 5.587E-02 7.496E-02	2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65 2.65	1.67E-01 3.85E-01 7.54E-01 4.22E-01 1.11E+00	3.55E+01 5.25E+01 1.55E+02 1.66E+02 1.86E+01	0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	7.10E-01 1.05E+00 3.10E+00 3.32E+00 3.72E-01	2.35E-01 3.67E-01 2.43E-01 1.27E-01 2.98E+00	2.37E-03 3.47E-03 2.40E-03 1.41E-03 2.83E-02	9.975E-06 9.975E-06 9.975E-06 9.975E-06 9.975E-06	2.825E+03 2.280E+03 2.806E+03 3.748E+03 5.337E+02

Notes:

NA = Not available (none in available databases) or not appropriate (I.e., most metals are not volatile at environmental temperatures)

NC = Not calculated (either not appropriate, or there were missing values, I.e., no molecular dffusivity values for most metals).

Shaded Cells - Not referenced - I.e., K(oc) values were not referenced for metals - K(d) values were looked up, not calculated for metals.

Di(a) molecular diffusivity in air (cm2/sec) - Look up in Table Exhibit C-1, US EPA Soil Screening Guidance Supplement 2002.

E total soil porosity (unitless - default value from Appendix III, Table 3, GA 391-1-19

D(ei) - effective diffusivity (cm2/sec) - calculated as D(ia) x E power 0.33 - see Equation C1

p(s) - density of soil solids (g/cm3) - default from Appendix iii, Table 3, GA 391-1-19

H - Henry's Law Constant (unitless) - Look up in Table Exhibit C-1, US EPA Soil Screening Guidance Supplement 2002.

K(oc) - organic carbon partition coefficient (cm3/g) - Look up in Table Exhibit C-1, US EPA Soil Screening Guidance Supplement 2002.

K(d) - soil-water partition coefficient (cm3/g) - calculated as K(oc) x F(oc) - see Equation C2. Values for metals looked up in Table C-4 of US EPA Soil Screening Guidance (lead from RAIS Database).

K(as) - soil-air partition coefficient - Calculated as H/K(d) - see Equation C3.

a - Chemical-specific Diffusion Constant calculated from diffusivity values and soil porosity and density - see Equation C4

Mixing Constant - "Site Specific" Mixing Geometry" Constant based on a box model mixing zone and default parameters - see Equation C5

VF - Soil-to-Air Volatilization Factor - calculated per Eq. 8 in RAGS B and equation for Table 3, Appendix III, GA 391-3-19 - See Equation C6

Terms and Units From EPA SSL and RAGS B and HSRA Appendix III, Table 3

molecular diffusivity	Di	cw2/s	chem.specific	Look up - EPA SSL guidance
total soil porosity	E		0.35	
effective diffusivity	Dei	cm2/s	calculated	Di x E power 0.33
density of soil solids	ρs	g/cm3	2.65	
Henry's Law Constant	н	dimensionless	chem.specific	Look up - EPA SSL guidance or other US EPA Guidance
Organic Carbon partition	Koc	cm3/g	chem.specific	Look up - EPA SSL guidance or other US EPA Guidance
soil organic carbon content	foc		0.02	
soil-water partition	Kd	cm3/g	chem.specific	Look up - EPA SSL guidance or calculate - Koc x foc
soil/air partition coefficient	Kas	gsoil/cm3aiı	calculated	(H/Kd)
α		cw2/s	calculated	(Die x E)/(E+((ρs)(1-E))/Kas)
length of side of contam. area	LS	meters	45	
wind speed-mixing zone	V	m/s	2.25	
diffusion height	DH	meters	2	
area of contamination	A	cm2	2.03E+07	
pi	π		3.14	
exposure interval	Т	sec	7.90E+08	

TABLE 2 - D

HSRA TYPE 3 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c) May 2009

Toxicology Data

Constituent	Chronic Refe <u>(EPA Reg. II</u> Oral mg/k	erence Doses <u>I RBC Table)</u> Inhalation g-day	Cancer Slo (EPA Reg. II Oral mg/kg	ppe Factors <u>I RBC Table)</u> Inhalation -day-1	RFC	IURF
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene	1.00E-02	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	2.00E-02	1.71E-02	NA	NA	0.06	NA
Tetrachloroethylene	1.00E-02	7.71E-02	5.40E-01	2.07E-02	0.27	5.9E-06
Trichloroethylene	NA	NA	1.30E-02	7.00E-03	NA	2.0E-06
Vinyl Chloride	7.20E-01	2.86E-02	3.00E-03	1.54E-02	1.0E-01	4.4E-06

Health Criteria (Non-carcinogenic Reference Doses and Cancer Slope Factors) are obtained from the US EPA Regional screening table revised April 2009. Cancer Weight of Evidence from US EPA IRIS Database as of May, 2007 NA = Not applicable or no value available NR = Not reported or not evaluated

TABLE 2 - E

HSRA TYPE 3 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR SOIL FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA *Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c)* May 2009

Equations and Calculations - Based on Trichloroethylene

TABLE 2 - B

Calculation of Risk-Based Type 3 Criteria for Soil per RAGS B Equations 6 and 7

	$1 \times 70 \times 25 \times 365$
Eq. B1 - Calculate Non-Carcinogenic RBC RAGS 7 - Non-Cancer Based	$\frac{1}{25 \times 250 \times \left[\left((3333) \times 0.000001 \times 50 \right) + \left((100) \times 20 \times \left((0.000267) + \frac{1}{4.63 \times 10^9} \right) \right) \right]}{1000}$
Eq. B2 - Calculate Carcinogenic RBC RAGS 6 - Cancer Based	$C = (trichloroethylene) \frac{0.00001 \times 70 \times 70 \times 365}{250 \times 25 \times (0.4 \times 0.000001 \times 50) + (0.4 \times 20 \times \left[0.000267 + \left(\frac{1}{4.63 \times 10^9}\right)\right])}$
TABLE 2 C	
Calculation of Soil-to-Air Volatilization Factor - (VF)	- RAGS B Eq. 8
Eq. C1 - Calculate D(ei)	$D_{(ei)} = D_{(i)} \times E^{(0.33)} = (trichloroethylene) 0.079 \times 0.35^{0.33} = 0.05587$
Eq. C2 - Calculate K(d)	$K_{(d)} = K_{(oc)} \times F_{(oc)} = (trichloroethylene) \ 166 \times 0.02 = 3.32$
Eq. C3 - Calculate K(as)	$K_{(as)} = \frac{H}{K_{(d)}} = (trichloroethylene) \frac{0422}{3.32} = 0.127$
Eq. C4 - Calculate α	$\alpha = \frac{(D_{(ei)} \ x \ E)}{E + (p_{(s)})(1 - E) / K_{(as)}} = (trichloroethylene \ \frac{0.05587 \ x \ 0.35}{0.35 + (2.65 \ x \ (1 - 0.35) / 0.127)} = 0.00141$
Eq. C5 - Mixing Geometry Constant	$\frac{Mixing\ Cons\tan t}{A} = \frac{LS\ x\ V\ x\ DH}{A} = (Cons\tan t)\frac{45\ m\ x\ 2.25\ m\ /\ s\ x2\ m}{20,250,000cm^2} = 9.976E - 6\ m^3\ /\ kg$
Eq. C6 - Calculate VF	$VF = Mix.GeomConstant x \frac{(\pi x \alpha x T)^{1/2}}{(2 x D_{(ei)} x E x K_{(as)} x 10^{-3} kg/g)} = (trichloroethylene) 9.976E - 6 x \frac{(3.14 x 0.00141 x 7.9 x 10^{8})^{1/2}}{2 x 0.05587 x 0.35 x 0.127 x 0.001} = 3748$

SUMMARY OF SOIL EXCEEDANCES AND RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FORMER PROFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA *Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c)* May 2009

Results Reported in mg/Kg - Dry Weight

0	040.1	Frequency	Maximum	GA HSRA	Number o	f Detections	Calcu Risk Reductio	lated on Standards		Highest RRS (Type 3 or 4)	
Constituent	CAS NO.	of Detection (See N	lote 1)	(GA 391-3-19-Appendix)	Above Table 1	Above Table 1 2 Times Greater Than Table 1		Type 4 (See Table 3-A) all	Type 4 (See Table 3-A) surface	(mg/Kg)	
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene	156-59-2	2	0.006	0.53	0	0	5.30E-01	3.43E+02	3.43E+02	3.43E+02	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	156-60-5	0	DL	0.53	0	0	1.00E+01	5.42E+01	5.42E+01	5.42E+01	
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	37	7.7	0.18	13	11	5.00E-01	1.68E+00	1.68E+00	1.68E+00	
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	2	0.232	0.13	1	0	5.00E-01	1.16E+01	1.16E+01	1.16E+01	
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	0	DL	0.04	0	0	2.00E-01	6.12E+00	4.96E+00	4.96E+00 (surface)	

Source: GA Rules 391-3-19-.01 to 391-3-19-Appendix. Version Downloaded 29 August 2006 Georgia Department of Natural Resources.

Note: Number of times constituent was detected in total of 22 sample analyses NC = Not Calculated or Not Calculable

Date Printed 11-Jun-09

Table 1

TABLE 4 - A

HSRA TYPE 4 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR GROUNDWATER FORMER PROFFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA *Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c)* May 2009

		Site Con	stituent Data									
	CAS No.	Water		Type 4 GW Criteria - Calculated Criteria (9)(c)1 and 2			Type 4	GW Criteria -	Type 4 Groundwater Criteria			
Constituent		Detection Frequency	Max. Reported	(mg/l)				(mg/l	(Calculated or Look-up only if no			
			Conc.	NonCancer-Based	Cancer-Based	Lowest Value				Highest	calculated values)	
			(mg/l)	(Eq. 2 RAGS B)	(Eq. 1 RAGS B)	(Eq. 1 & 2 - RAGS B)	Table 1-III-HSRA	Background	PQL	of Non-Calc.	(mg/l)	Basis
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene	156-59-2	02/05	6.9E-02	1.02E+00	NC	1.02E+00	0.005	0	0.005	0.005	1.02E+00	Risk-based
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	156-60-5	0/5	DL	1.61E-01	NC	1.61E-01	0.005	0	0.005	0.005	1.61E-01	Risk-based
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	05/05	5.6E+00	4.45E-01	3.83E-03	3.83E-03	0.005	0	0.005	0.005	3.83E-03	Risk-based
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	02/05	3.2E-01	NC	3.45E-02	3.45E-02	0.005	0	0.005	0.005	3.45E-02	Risk-based
Vinyl Chloride	75-1-4	0/5	DL	2.91E-01	1.82E-02	1.82E-02	0.002	0	0.01	0.01	1.82E-02	Risk-based

Notes:

Calculations are detailed in Tables 4-B through 4-D.

NA = Not available (none in available databases) or not appropriate (I.e., most metals are not volatile at environmental temperatures)

NC = Not calculated (either not appropriate, or there were missing values, I.e., no molecular dffusivity values for most metals).

NS = Not sampled

NL = Not listed

ND = Not determined

BDL = Below detection Limit

Shaded Cells - Not referenced

PQLs assume Test Method 8240

Type 4 Groundwater Criteria:

Boxed Column of Cells = Identified Criteria.

Date Printed 11-Jun-09

TABLE 4 - B

HSRA TYPE 4 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR GROUNDWATER FORMER PROFFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA *Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c)* May 2009

Calculation of Risk-Based Type 4 Criteria for Groundwater per RAGS B Equations 1 and 2

		Calc	Calculation of Non-Cancer Based Type 4 RRS - (9)(c)(1)					Calculation of Cancer Based Type 4 RRS - (9)(c)(2)					
Constituent	CAS No.	Chronic Ref. Doses Table 4-C		Calculated Terms for RAGS B Equation 2		Non-Cancer Based	Cancer Weight-of-Evidence		Cancer Slope Factors Table 4-C		Calculated Terms for RAGS B Equation 1		Cancer Based
		RfDo	RfDi	1/RfDo	1/RfDi	EPA RAGS B		Target	SFo	SFi	SFo	SFi	EPA RAGS B
		Oral	Inhalation	1/(mg/	kg-day)	Equation 2		Haz Risk	Oral	Inhalation	Oral	Inhalation	Equation 1
		(mg/k	g-day)			(mg/kg)			(mg/kg	g-day-1)	(mg/kg	g-day-1)	(mg/kg)
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene	156-59-2	1.00E-02	NA	100.000	0.000	1.022E+00	NA	1.0E-05	NA	NA	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	NC
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	156-60-5	2.00E-02	1.71E-02	50.000	58.333	1.614E-01	NA	1.0E-05	NA	NA	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	NC
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1.00E-02	7.71E-02	100.000	12.963	4.451E-01	NA	1.0E-05	5.40E-01	2.07E-02	5.40E-01	2.07E-02	3.83E-03
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	NA	NA	0.000	0.000	NC	Not on IRIS	1.0E-05	1.30E-02	7.00E-03	1.30E-02	7.00E-03	3.45E-02
Vinyl Chloride	75-1-4	7.20E-01	2.86E-02	1.389	35.000	2.908E-01	А	1.0E-05	3.00E-03	1.54E-02	3.00E-03	1.54E-02	1.82E-02

Non-cancer Based Soil Concentrations Calculated per Equation 2 of RAGS B. See Equation B1 in Table 4 - D Cancer Based Soil Concentrations Calculated per Equation 1 of RAGS B. See Equation B2 in Table 4 - D

Terms and Units (HSRA Table 3)

Chemical Concentration	С	mg/l	***
Target Hazard Index	THI	unitless	1
Target Risk	TR	unitless	1.00E-05
Oral Reference Dose	RfDo	mg/kg-Day	chem. spec.
Inhalation Reference Dose	RfDi	mg/kg-Day	chem. spec.
Oral Slope Factor	SFo	mg/kg-day-1	chem. spec.
Inhalation Slope Factor	SFi	mg/kg-day-1	chem. spec.
Adult Body Weight	BW	kg	70
Averaging Time (nc)	ATnc	yr	25
Averaging Time (c)	ATc	yr	70
Exposure Freg.	EF	Days/yr	250
Exposure Duration	ED	years	25
Intake Rate - Air	IRa	m3/Day	20
Intake Rate - Water	IRw	l/Day	1
Volatilization Factor	К	l/m3	0.5

Notes:

Type 4 RRS does not specify carcinogenic risk of 1E-4 for Class "C" Carcinogens.

NA = Not available (none in available databases) or not appropriate (I.e., constituent may not be a carcinogen or may not have systemic toxicity)

NC = Not calculated (either not appropriate, or there were missing values)

ND = Not determined

Shaded Cells - Not referenced

TABLE 4 - C

HSRA TYPE 4 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR GROUNDWATER FORMER PROFFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA *Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c)* May 2009

Toxicology Data

Constituent	Chronic Refe <u>(EPA Reg. II</u> Oral mg/k	erence Doses <u>I RBC Table)</u> Inhalation g-day	Cancer Slo (EPA Reg. II Oral mg/kg	ope Factors <u>I RBC Table)</u> Inhalation I-day-1	Cancer Weight-of Evidence	RFC	IURF
cis-1,2 Dichloroethylene	1.00E-02	NA	NA	NA	D	NA	NA
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	2.00E-02	1.71E-02	NA	NA	NR	0.06	NA
Tetrachloroethylene	1.00E-02	7.71E-02	5.40E-01	2.07E-02	NA	0.27	5.9E-06
Trichloroethylene	NA	NA	1.30E-02	7.00E-03	Not on IRIS	NA	2.0E-06
Vinyl Chloride	7.20E-01	2.86E-02	3.00E-03	1.54E-02	A	1.0E-01	4.4E-06

Health Criteria (Non-carcinogenic Reference Doses and Cancer Slope Factors) are obtained from the US EPA Regional screening table revised April 2009.

Cancer Weight of Evidence from US EPA IRIS Database as ofMay, 2007

NA = Not applicable or no value available

NR = Not reported or not evaluated

TABLE 4 - D

HSRA TYPE 4 RISK REDUCTION STANDARDS FOR GROUNDWATER FORMER PROFFESSIONAL CLEANERS STONE MOUNTAIN, GEORGIA *Per GA HSRA 391-3-19-.07(9)(c)* May 2009

Equations and Calculations - Based on Trichloroethylene

TABLE 3 - B

Calculation of Risk-Based Type 4 Criteria for Water per RAGS B Equations 1 and 2

Eq. B1 - Calculate Non-Carcinogenic RBC RAGS 2	$NC = (trichloroethylene) \frac{1 \times 70 \times 25 \times 365}{250 \times 25 \times \left[(100 \times 0.5 \times 20) + (3333 \times 1) \right]}$
Eq. B2 - Calculate Carcinogenic RBC RAGS 1	$C = (trichloroethylene) \frac{0.00001 \times 70 \times 70 \times 365}{25 \times 250 \times \left[\left(0.4 \times 0.5 \times 20 \right) + \left(0.4 \times 1 \right) \right]}$

APPENDIX VI

WARRENTY DEED FOR QUALIFYING PROPERY

RECORD AND RETURN TO: L. Lee Dailey Lipscomb, Johnson, Sleister, Dailey & Smith, LLP 112 North Main Street Cumming, Georgia 30040 Deed Book 15495 Pg 32 Filed and Recorded Oct-23-2003 12:30pm 2003-0209516 Real Estate Transfer Tax \$3,815.00

Linda Carter Clerk of Superior Court Dekalb Cty. Ga.

STATE OF GEORGIA COUNTY OF FORSYTH File No. 03-531

LIMITED WARRANTY DEED

THIS INDENTURE made this 20th day of October, in the year of our Lord Two Thousand Three, between **REDAN PROPERTIES**, **LLC**, a Georgia limited liability company, hereinafter called Grantor, and **LACHMAN G. VASWANI** and **MANJU L. VASWANI**, of the State of Georgia and County of Gwinnett, hereinafter collectively called Grantee, the words "Grantor" and "Grantee" to include their respective heirs, successors and assigns where the context requires or permits.

WIINESSEIH:

That Grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of TEN DOLLARS (\$10.00) and other good and valuable consideration, in hand paid at and before the sealing and delivery of these presents, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold, aliened, conveyed and confirmed, and by these presents does grant, bargain, sell, alien, convey and confirm unto the said Grantee, the real property described in Exhibit A attached hereto and forming a part hereof.

This conveyance and the warranty of title contained herein are made subject to



the following:

Rights of tenants, as tenants only, under unrecorded leases.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said tract or parcel of land, with all and singular the rights, members and appurtenances thereto, to the same being, belonging, or in anywise appertaining, to the only proper use, benefit and behoof of the said Grantee forever in FEE SIMPLE.

AND THE SAID Grantor will warrant and forever defend the right and title to the above described property unto the said Grantee against the claims of all persons whomsoever claiming by, through or under Grantor, except as set forth above.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Grantor has signed and sealed this deed, the day and year above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of:

REDAN PROPERTIES, LLC

its Manager

By: Chandrakant I. Patel

Onanorakant I. I

Unofficial Witness

Parle



EXHIBIT A

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND lying and being in Land Lot 224 of the 15th District, DeKalb County, Georgia, and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at an iron pin computed at the point of intersection of Land Lot 2 of the 16th District and Land Lots 224 and 225 of the 15th District of DeKalb County, Georgia and running southerly along the land lot line dividing Land Lot 2 of the 16th District and Land Lot 224 of the 15th District south 00° 34' 40" west a distance of 675.73 feet to an iron pin placed; thence leaving the land lot line and running south 89° 43' 23" west a distance of 180.82 feet to a rebar found; running thence south 31° 25' 50" west a distance of 149.93 feet to a rebar found (bent) on the northeasterly right of way line of Redan Road (having a variable right of way); running thence northwesterly along the northeasterly right of way line of Redan Road the following six (6) courses and distances: (1) north 58° 23' 49" west a distance of 10.85 feet to a right of way monument; (2) north 31° 28' 29" east a distance of 17.00 feet to a point; (3) north 58° 23' 49" west a distance of 13.90 feet to a point; (4) south 31° 28' 29" west a distance of 17.00 feet to a point; (5) north 58° 23' 49" west a distance of 26.23 feet to a rebar found; and (6) along the arc of a curve to the left (said arc having a radius of 1,117.45 feet and being subtended by a chord bearing north 59° 33' 01" west a distance of 30.88 feet) an arc distance of 30.88 feet to a rebar found; thence leaving the northeasterly right of way line of Redan Road and running north 28° 59' 30" east a distance of 33.60 feet to a nail set; running thence south 58° 34' 58" east a distance of 32.30 feet to an X found; running thence north 31° 27' 58" east a distance of 113.38 feet to an iron pin placed; running thence north 52° 33' 33" west a distance of 132.27 feet to a nail found; running thence north 52° 35' 25" west a distance of 93.97 feet to a rebar found; running thence north 72° 47' 23" west a distance of 90.06 feet to an iron pin placed; running thence north 07° 36' 50" east a distance of 15.65 feet to a pipe found (bent); running thence north 04° 37' 54" east a distance of 156.84 feet to a rebar found; running thence north 85° 21' 01" west a distance of 199.05 feet to an iron pin placed on the existing easterly right of way of South Hairston Road; running thence northeasterly along the easterly right of way of South Hairston Road the following two (2) courses and distances: (1) north 03° 23' 41" east a distance of 15.57 feet to a point, and (2) north 06° 59' 15" east a distance of 70.67 feet to an iron pin placed; thence leaving the existing easterly right of way line of South Hairston Road and running south 87° 03' 26" east a distance of 159.66 feet to an iron pin placed; running thence north 01° 01' 49" east a distance of 230.11 feet to a rebar found on the north line of Land Lot 224 (being the south land lot line of Land Lot 225); running thence along said land lot line south 89° 13' 58" east a distance of 509.08 feet to an iron pin placed at the intersection of Land Lots 224 and 225 of the 15th District

and Land Lot 2 of the 16th District at the POINT OF BEGINNING; said tract shown as containing 7.58 acres on that certain survey entitled "ALTA/ACSM Land Title Above Ground Survey Prepared for: Redan Properties, LLC, a Georgia limited liability company; Column Financial, Inc., its successors and/or assigns, Lawyers Title Insurance Corporation," prepared by Solar Land Surveying Company, bearing the seal and certification of John W., Stanzilis, Jr., Georgia Registered Land Surveyor No. 2109, dated June 13, 2001.

TOGETHER with the easements and obligations appurtenant to the abovedescribed land created and established under the following instruments:

- (a) Reciprocal Easement Agreement by and between Eugene M. Howerdd, Jr. & Timothy J. Connolly and Howerdd and Connolly, Inc. & LNC Land Sales, Inc., d/b/a Howcon Land Company, a Joint Venture, dated October 17, 1973, filed October 22, 1973, and recorded in Deed Book 3087, Page 364, Records of DeKalb County, Georgia;
- (b) Reciprocal Declaration of Easements by and between First Union National Bank of Georgia and Southern Federal Savings & Loan Association dated December 27, 1989, filed December 29, 1989, and recorded in Deed Book 6606, Page 246, aforesaid records; and
- (c) Reciprocal Easement Agreement by and between Southern Federal Savings & Loan Association of Georgia and HRA Ltd., dated December 27, 1989, filed March 11, 1991, and recorded in Deed Book 6906, Page 519, aforesaid records; as modified by that certain Joinder Agreement executed by VF Redan Associates, L.P., dated May 21, 1990, and recorded in Deed Book 6961, Page 2, aforesaid records.

Deed Book 15495 Pg 35

Linda Carter Clerk of Superior Court Dekalb Cty. Ga.