## APPENDIX H

## MPE/Injection Pilot Test Memorandum

# Geosyntec ${ }^{\triangleright}$ <br> consultants 

# Technical Memorandum 

Date: January 28, 2021
To: Tim Hassett; Hercules LLC
From: Ali Ciblak, P.E., Ph.D., Rich Murray, P.E., Shanna Thompson, P.E., Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.

Subject: Shallow Groundwater Pilot Test Results
Hercules/Pinova, Glynn County, Brunswick, GA

Geosyntec Consultants, Inc. (Geosyntec) performed field studies on behalf of Hercules, LLC between December 2019 and November 2020 to evaluate the feasibility of remedial technologies and to support selection of an interim corrective measure (ICM) to address volatile organic compounds (VOCs) or/and possible non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) in shallow groundwater near the location of temporary shallow groundwater (SGW) sample location, SGW-23, and monitoring wells MW-21, MW-22, MW-23, and MW-24 (i.e. the SGW-23 Area, also known as the Stillhouse Control Room area) at the Hercules/Pinova facility in Brunswick, Georgia (Site) as shown in Figure 1. A desktop study evaluated the feasibility of remedial technologies including excavation, air sparging/soil vapor extraction (AS/SVE), in-situ stabilization (ISS), multi-phase extraction (MPE), in-situ chemical oxidation (ISCO), Ozone/SVE, in-situ thermal treatment, and enhanced in-situ bioremediation (EISB). Based on the desktop study, EISB, ISCO and MPE were selected for further evaluation with field and laboratory studies. The following studies were performed to evaluate the feasibility of selected technologies:

- A pilot test to evaluate the feasibility of MPE; and,
- An injection test to evaluate the injection rate and pressure that could be achieved if implementing an EISB or ISCO remedy.

This technical memorandum summarizes the methodology, results and conclusions of the MPE pilot test and the injection rate test.
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## BACKGROUND

The SGW-23 Area is located north of the Stillhouse Control Room within the greater Southern Production Area, which is an identified source area at the site according to the Refined Conceptual Site Model Hercules/Pinova Brunswick Facility, Brunswick, Georgia (Integral, 2019). The dominant direction of groundwater flow in this area is vertical with a dominant downward hydraulic gradient, and a less significant horizontal gradient which simultaneously transports groundwater eastward (Integral, 2019). Elevated concentrations of VOCs, specifically benzene, pisopropyltoluene (paracymene), and toluene, have been detected in the monitoring wells which define the area: MW-21, MW-22, MW-23, and MW-24 as shown on Figure 2. The recent benzene, paracymene, and toluene results for each of the four monitoring wells are shown in the table below.

| Monitoring <br> Well | Sampling <br> Date | Results ( $\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{L})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 33,000 | 8,300 | 5,600 |
| MW-22 | $6 / 14 / 2018$ | 36,000 | 9,600 | 9,300 |
| MW-23 | $12 / 13 / 2019$ | 5,600 | 8,800 | 910 |
| MW-24 | $12 / 15 / 2016$ | 9,400 | 770 | 140 |

## MPE PILOT TEST

This section explains the pilot test well layout, methods and results of the MPE pilot test.

## Multi-Phase Extraction (MPE) Technology

MPE is a remedial technology that extracts both subsurface liquids and soil vapors from extraction well(s) by applying varying levels of vacuum to extraction wells. For a typical MPE application, a drop tube, also known as a "stinger", is installed in the extraction well (s) to control the volume of liquid extracted. Vacuum is applied directly to the stinger, making it possible to extract free product (if available) or water directly from or just below the groundwater table. The depth of the drop tube can be adjusted to varying depths, depending on testing conditions, to depress the liquid levels in the extraction well. Vacuum is also applied to the well head assembly, causing VOCs laden coil vapor to be recovered through well head riser pipe. The combined air and liquid stream extracted from the MPE well is conveyed through a flexible vacuum hose to the MPE system where the VOCs in the extracted vapors are treated using a thermal oxidizer or vapor-phase granular activated carbon unit (s) before vapor discharge to the atmosphere, through a stack. The extracted LNAPL/water stream can be collected and disposed of offsite or a can be treated before discharge to a permitted discharge location.
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## Pilot Test Well Layout

A test well network consisting of one MPE test well, two observation wells, and three soil vapor probes was installed to implement the MPE pilot test and injection rate test. A private utility locator was contracted to mark locations of underground utilities in the pilot test area prior to drilling, and all locations were hand cleared to a minimum of 5 feet below ground consistent with site underground utility clearance protocols. Betts Environmental Recovery from Adel, Georgia was contracted to install the MPE test well (MPE-01), observation well (MPE-OW-01 and MPE-OW02 ), and vapor probes (VP-01, VP-02, and VP-03). The MPE well and observation well were installed using hollow-stem auger drilling techniques, and the vapor points were installed via hand auger. As shown in Figure 2, the location of MPE-01 and the observation wells were selected so that existing well MW-24 was utilized as a third observation well. MPE-01 was placed 20 -feet from MW-24, and observation wells MPE-OW-01 and MPE-OW-02 were installed approximately 5 -feet and 10 -feet, respectively, from MPE-01. Existing well MW-23 was also utilized as an observation well to a lesser extent. It is approximately 44 -feet from MPE-01. Soil vapor probes, VP-01, VP-02, and VP-03, were installed approximately 10 feet, 12 feet and 15 feet away from MPE-01, respectively. The vapor probes were used to monitor vacuum influence during the MPE test. Boring logs for newly installed wells are provided in Attachment A.

The table below provides survey and well construction information for the wells utilized during the MPE pilot and injection rate tests:

| Well ID | Northing $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Easting $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Top of Casing <br> (ft. NAVD88) | Screen <br> Interval <br> (ft. bgs.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPE-01 | 424368.64 | 870457.13 | 9.56 | $2-10$ |
| MPE-OW-01 | 424368.92 | 870461.58 | 9.51 | $2-17$ |
| MPE-OW-02 | 424359.36 | 870460.70 | 9.50 | $2-15$ |
| VP-01 | 424364.22 | 870465.05 | 9.42 | $2-5$ |
| VP-02 | 424356.24 | 870456.94 | 9.66 | $2-5$ |
| VP-03 | 424380.89 | 870465.77 | 9.67 | $2-5$ |
| MW-23 | 424375.02 | 870503.65 | 9.91 | $4-14$ |
| MW-24 | 424374.63 | 870437.85 | 10.04 | $4.8-14.8$ |

${ }^{1}$ Horizontal Datum is NAD1983 Georgia State Plane East
ft. bgs. - feet below ground surface
ft. NAVD88 - feet North American Vertical Datum 1988
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## MPE Test Methods and Results

The MPE test equipment includes well head assembly, a scrubber/knockout tank assembly, a blower, and a thermal oxidizer to treat vapor phase VOCs. The details of the test equipment are included in Attachment B. A 20,000 gallon frac tank was used for collection and temporary storage of water extracted during the MPE test.

Geosyntec and Fruits mobilized to the Site on April $27^{\text {th }}, 2020$ and the test was completed in two days. On April 27 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2020$ (Day 1), a plastic sheeting/tarp was installed on the ground surface in test area to minimize the potential for short circuiting of air during the MPE testing. Baseline data including depth to water (DTW), depth to product (DTP), VOC screening using a photo-ionization detector (PID), and baseline vacuum was collected at the MPE test well (MPE-01) and the observation locations including MPE-OW-01, MPE-OW2, MW-24, VP-01, VP-02 and VP-03. The baseline readings are summarized in Attachment C. Based on the baseline measurements on Day 1, headspace PID readings ranged between 11 parts per million ( ppm ) and 57.9 ppm , with the highest reading in MPE-01. There was no measurable LNAPL in the test wells. The DTW was generally around 1.5 to 1.8 ft bgs .

Operational parameters: After completion of the baseline measurements, a well head assembly was placed in MPE-01 at a depth of 7 ft bgs. The test was performed for a period of 6.5 hours on April $27^{\text {th }}$. The applied vacuum was increased slowly from 1 inch of mercury (in Hg ) up to 10.5 in Hg during the test duration. The monitoring data is included in Attachment B and Attachment C. The following observations were noted during the test on April $26^{\text {th }}$ :

- Maximum air flow rate from the subsurface was 38 actual cubic feet per minute (ACFM) observed at a well head vacuum of 11.5 in . Hg.
- Maximum extracted liquid flow rate was around 2.2 gallons per minute (gpm) within the range of applied vacuum.
- A vacuum influence of 0.13 inches of water was noted in VP-03, which was approximately 15 feet from the test well. No significant vacuum influence was observed in VP-01 and VP-02, which were approximately 10 and 12 feet away from the test well. It is possible that the applied vacuum was surfacing through a preferential pathway prior to reaching to VP-01 and VP-02.
- No LNAPL was observed in the extracted water and in the observation wells, and maximum FID from hydrocarbons (not including methane) was 640 ppm .
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- Maximum water table drawdown in observation wells MPE-OW-01, MPE-0W-02 and MW-24 were $2.27 \mathrm{ft}, 1.60 \mathrm{ft}$ and 0.76 ft , respectively.

The test was continued on April $28^{\text {th }}$ (Day 2) after collection of another round of baseline water levels. Applied wellhead vacuum ranged from 10 to $17 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{Hg}$. The stinger depth was slowly lowered in the well to 9.8 ft bgs on Day 2. Pressure transducers were installed in MPE-OW-01, MPE-OW-02 and MW-24. The pressure transducers collected DTW readings once per minute. Four groundwater and four vapor samples were collected on Day 2 to estimate aqueous phase and vapor phase mass removal rates and evaluate treatment options. The following observations were noted from Day 2 activities:

- Maximum air flow rate from the subsurface was approximately 120 ACFM observed at a well head vacuum of $17 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{Hg}$.
- Extracted flow rate ranged between 2 gpm and 3.5 gpm within the range of applied vacuum (10 to 17 " Hg ).
- A vacuum influence of 0.3 inches of water was noted in VP-03, which was approximately 15 feet from the test well with an applied vacuum of $15 \mathrm{in} . \mathrm{Hg}$.
- No LNAPL was observed in the extracted water, and maximum VOCs in the vapor phase from hydrocarbons (not including methane) was 580 ppm .
- Figure 3 shows DTW changes in the observation wells. Maximum drawdown in MPE-OW-01, MPE-OW-02 and MW-24 were $9.54 \mathrm{ft}, 2.1 \mathrm{ft}$ and 1.29 ft , respectively.

Analytical results: On Day 2, four groundwater samples were collected. Two duplicate groundwater samples (i.e., MPE-GW-042820-1, MPE-GW-042820-2) and third sample (i.e., MPE-GW-042820-3) were collected from the extracted liquid at different times of the test. A fourth groundwater sample (i.e., MPE-GW-042820-4) was collected as a grab sample directly from MPE-01 at the end of the testing. In addition, two duplicate vapor samples were collected the extracted.

Groundwater samples were sent to Test America in Savannah, Georgia (GA) for the analysis of site-specific VOCs, total iron and manganese, hardness, alkalinity, and total suspended solid (TSS). Vapor samples were sent to Test America in Knoxville, Tennessee (TN) for VOC analysis using TO-15 method. The laboratory reports are included in Attachment D.
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A summary of the laboratory analytical data is presented in Tables $\mathbf{1}$ and 2. The results are summarized and discussed below.

## Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs):

- The detected VOCs in the aqueous phase include 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK), benzene, ethylbenzene, paracymene, methyl ethyl ketone (MEK), toluene and xylenes.
- The concentration of total VOCs in the aqueous grab sample collected directly from MPE01 was around 17,805 micrograms per liter ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ). Assuming an extraction rate of 3.5 gpm and continuous operation, the mass removal rate in the aqueous phase would be 0.75 lbs . per day per well.
- The concentrations of total VOCs in the aqueous samples collected after MPE equipment ranged between $1,246 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and $1,561 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.
- The concentration of total VOCs in the vapor phase was approximately $64,100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Assuming a vapor extraction rate of 120 ACFM per well and continuous operation, the mass removal rate in the vapor phase would be 0.69 lbs . per day per well. The following VOCs were detected in vapor samples, as shown in order from highest to lowest average concentration:
- benzene with an average concentration of 42,750 micrograms per cubic meter $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$;
- paracymene with an average concentration of $13,334 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
- toluene with an average concentration of $6,800 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
- MEK with an average concentration of $1,295 \mathrm{~J} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
- xylenes (Total) with an average concentration of $645 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.
- m,p-xylene with an average concentration of $435 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
- o-xylene with an average concentration of $210 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$; and
- ethylbenzene with an average concentration of $153 \mathrm{~J} \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.
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## Metals:

The groundwater samples exhibited iron concentrations ranging between $1,100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and 4,700 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ and manganese concentrations ranging between $67 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and $230 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.

## Hardness, Alkalinity, and Solids

The groundwater samples had an average hardness of 175 milligrams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) as calcium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}\right)$, mostly attributable to calcium and magnesium hardness. Groundwater samples had an average alkalinity of $112 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ and an average total suspended solids (TSS) concentration of $221 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. Typically, waters with hardness above $150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ are classified as very "hard" waters.

## Conclusions Related to MPE Feasibility and Design Parameters

Based on the pilot test results, MPE technology would be feasible, especially addressing treatment zones with residual or mobile LNAPL. However, if the extracted water is not hauled offsite to a waste water treatment facility, then the MPE system requires a system capable of addressing water with high hardness and relatively high iron/manganese concentrations prior to a permitted discharge location. Even though LNAPL was not detected in the pilot test area, the key design parameters for fluid recovery were obtained during the test. It is observed that an air flow rate of 38 ACFM was possible at an applied vacuum of up to 11.5 in Hg , when the stinger was placed approximately 5 feet below water level ( 5 feet of exposed screen for vapor recovery). A remedial design basis of 2,000 to 4,000 pore volume exchange per year is recommended for the treatment of soil vapor in a reasonable timeframe. Assuming an effective porosity of $20 \%$, an exposed screen length of 5 feet, and a design air flow rate of 38 ACFM and a radius of influence (ROI) of 20 feet would result in approximately 16,000 pore volume exchange per year and provide an effective treatment. The following design parameters are recommended for the full scale design.

- Radius of influence: 20 feet;
- Design air flow rate: 38 ACFM per well (the treatment area may require capping to prevent short circuiting of vacuum propagation);
- Well spacing: 40 feet on center;
- Design well head vacuum: up to 12 in Hg ; and
- Water extraction rate: up to 3.5 gpm per well.
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## LIQUID INJECTION FEASABILITY TEST

The injection rate tests were conducted with the purpose of informing a potential EISB or ISCO remedy. However, data gained from the tests can inform the design of any potential liquid injection systems.

## Injection Preparation

The injection rate tests for MPE-OW-01 and MPE-OW-02 were conducted on April 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ and April $30^{\text {th }}, 2020$, respectively. The injection equipment consisted of an injection trailer with a $1,100-$ gallon polyethene tank, generator, and electrical centrifugal injection pump. Injectate consisted of potable water from a fire hydrant onsite, and, in the case of MPE-OW-01, a tracer solution consisting of concentrated sodium bromide was added to the batch to create an injected concentration of $210 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ sodium bromide. A pilot injection notification was provided to the Underground Injection Control department at the Georgia Environmental Protection Division. Injectate was fed through a totalizing flowmeter and into an injection wellhead affixed with a pressure gauge to monitor injection pressure.

Baseline samples for bromide analysis were collected from MPE-OW-02, MPE-01, MW-23, and MW-24 on March $27^{\text {th }}, 2020$. Background bromide concentrations are generally low, the highest observed concentration was $0.93 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ at MPE-OW-02, followed by $0.80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ at MPE-01 and MW-23, and a non-detect result from MW-24. Background samples were also collected for fluorescein dye which were non-detect at all locations. Fluorescein was retained as a potential back-up tracer in the event background bromide levels were too high. Bromide was used over fluorescein because it is a conservative tracer, readily available, and inexpensive. A concentrated $250 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ sodium bromide solution was prepared by SiREM lab in Knoxville, TN and shipped to the site.

Approximately 1 -liter of $250 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ sodium bromide solution was added to the 500 -gal batch for MPE-OW-01, and a sample collected from the batch tank showed a concentration of $210 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in the tank. The injection rate for both wells followed generally the same procedure with three primary goals:

- evaluate sustainable injection rates at pressures which do not result in surfacing of injectate (daylighting);
- evaluate the effect of screen length on observed injection rate; and
- evaluate radius of influence (ROI) for potential full scale design.
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## Injection Activity

At MPE-OW-01, injection initially commenced under gravity flow conditions. Totalizer readings were recorded on 10 to 20 -minute intervals and water level measurements were collected from surrounding monitoring wells on 30 minute intervals. When the water level in the injection area stabilized from gravity flow (about 6 hours), the injection pump was utilized to increase injection pressure to $0.5 \mathrm{psi}, 1.0 \mathrm{psi}$, and finally 2.0 psi . Water levels continued to be monitored in surrounding wells during this time and injection ceased when daylighting was observed while injecting at 2 psi. The totalizer indicated a total of 497.4 gallons was injected into MPE-OW-01.

At MPE-OW-02, injection briefly commenced under gravity flow conditions, then injection pressure was increased to 0.5 psi for two hours, and then increased again to 1.0 psi for one-and-ahalf hours until daylighting was observed. At that point the injection pump was shut down, and the remainder of the batch was injected via gravity flow. Table 3 provides water level measurements collected throughout the event, and Table 4 provides the wellhead pressure and totalizer measurements.

## Injection Monitoring

Field parameters ( pH , conductivity, ORP, DO, and temperature) were recorded from the five wells in the pilot test area at the start and end of each injection day. Field parameter readings are tabulated in Table 5. Samples for bromide analysis were collected from MPE-01, MPE-OW-02, MW-23, and MW-24 immediately after wrapping up injection on April 29, 2020 and again in the morning of April 30, 2020, before starting the injection test that day. Bromide results at surrounding wells following the injection rate test into MPE-OW-01 are presented in the table below:

| Location | Approximate <br> Distance from <br> Injection Well | Background <br> $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathrm{L})$ | 4/29 <br> End-of-day <br> Concentration <br> $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathrm{L})$ | 4/30 <br> Beginning-of-Day <br> Concentration <br> $(\mathbf{m g} / \mathrm{L})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPE-01 | 5 | 0.8 | $<0.50$ | 0.97 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 12 | 0.93 | 2.6 | $<0.50$ |
| MW-24 | 22 | $<0.50$ | 0.52 | 1.2 |
| MW-23 | 40 | 0.8 | 1.1 | $<0.50$ |

Bromide observations at wells placed at varied distances from the injection point were used to estimate the injection radius of influence. Bromide results from the $29^{\text {th }}$ indicate transport from MPE-OW-01 to MPE-OW-02, 12 ft away, and potentially MW-24, 22 ft away. The concentration of bromide at MPE-OW-01 increased from $0.93 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ to $2.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and at MW-24 bromide
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increased from non-detect to $0.52 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. A rainstorm was recorded in the Brunswick, GA area in the early morning of April 30, 2020, resulting in 0.26 in of rain on the pilot test area prior to collecting the bromide samples on the $30^{\text {th }}$ (www.weatherunderground.com). Infiltration from precipitation likely influenced the transport and dilution of bromide in the subsurface due to the shallow water table and well-draining sands present. Overnight transport of bromide was not able to be determined from the data due to this precipitation event. A good indicator of rainwater dilution are the results from MPE-OW-02 where bromide was $2.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ on the afternoon of the $29^{\text {th }}$, but non-detect the following morning. Similar dilution is exhibited at MW-23, from $1.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ on the $29^{\text {th }}$ to non-detect on the $30^{\text {th }}$. Bromide results from the $29^{\text {th }}$ indicate transport from MPE-OW-01 radially outward to MPE-OW-02, 12 ft away, and potentially MW-24, 22 ft away. The concentration of bromide at MPE-OW-01 increased from $0.93 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ to $2.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$, and at MW-24 bromide increased from non-detect to $0.52 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$.

Water level changes in response to the injection were used to evaluate the degree to which the injectate would mound in the area around the injection well versus spreading out into the aquifer. Baseline groundwater elevations within the pilot test area were less than $2-\mathrm{ft}$ bgs. at the start of the injection test into MPE-OW-01. Water level monitoring during gravity flow conditions show an increase of 0.37 to $0.62-\mathrm{ft}$ throughout the plot. The table below shows observed mounding under gravity flow conditions for each observation well and its distance from MPE-OW-01.

| Observation Well | MPE-01 | MPE-OW-02 | MW-24 | MW-23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance from Injection Well (ft) | 5 | 10 | 22 | 40 |
| Maximum Observed Change in <br> Groundwater Elevation (ft) | 0.62 | 0.37 | 0.5 | 0.33 |

The following day, injection commenced at MPE-OW-02 under 0.5 psi which was increased to 1 psi after two hours and held at 1-psi for two hours.

The table below displays the average observed flow rates at each injection pressure for both MPE-OW-01 and MPE-OW-02:

|  |  | Injection Pressure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Injection Well | Date of <br> Injection Test | $\mathbf{0} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ | $\mathbf{1 - 2} \mathbf{~ p s i}$ |
| MPE-OW-01 | $4 / 29 / 2020$ | 0.87 gpm | 1.47 gpm | $4.75^{*} \mathrm{gpm}$ |
| MPE-OW-02 | $4 / 30 / 2020$ | 0.63 gpm | 1.50 gpm | $1.95^{* *} \mathrm{gpm}$ |

* daylighting observed and injection ceased after approximately 20-minutes at 2-psi.
** daylighting observed and injection ceased after approximately 1.5 hours at 1-psi.
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Observed flow rates under gravity flow and pressure and generally similar between the two locations. The $4.75-\mathrm{gpm}$ observed at ME-OW-01 under 1-psi was the result of injection fluid surfacing and is not considered a sustainable injection pressure. The addition of an additional $2-\mathrm{ft}$ of injection well screen at MPE-OW-02 did not impart a noticeable increase in the specific capacity of the injection well. Furthermore, gravity flow conditions at MPE-OW-02 were tested after approximately 400 -gallons of injectate, unlike the injection test in MPE-OW-01, so the decreased gravity flow rate at MPE-OW-02 compared to MPE-OW-01 may be due to the pressurized injection that occurred immediately prior. Gravity flow at MPE-OW-02 had to overcome dissipating mounding pressure in addition to natural pore water entry pressure.

## Conclusions Related to Liquid Injection Feasibility and Design Parameters

Based on the pilot test results, liquid injection of amendments would be feasible under gravity feed conditions or very low pressure (e.g. 0.5 psi ) conditions. Injection under gravity flow conditions resulted in relatively low groundwater mounding ( $0.33-0.67 \mathrm{ft}$ ) throughout the pilot, and no daylighting was observed. Under pressurized injection conditions, daylighting of injectate was observed when pressures were increased to 1 psi in one of the test wells and 2 psi in the other test well. In addition to monitoring injection pressure and mounding, ROI was evaluated by injecting bromide as a conservative tracer. The following design parameters are recommended for the full scale design.

- Observed ROI was up to 22 ft .; however, closer well spacing to provide overlapping ROIs can be used to mitigate the potential for daylighting if the design volume per well requires long-term injection.
- Design injection pressure: Gravity feed ( 0 psi ) up to 0.5 psi . Up to 1 psi may be possible based on observations at one of the two wells used during this pilot injection.
- Design injection flowrate: gravity feed at 0.75 gpm (average of MPE-OW-01 and MPE-OW-02 flowrates) or low pressure ( $0.5-1 \mathrm{psi}$ ) at 1.5 gpm . These are initial injection flow rates, and injection flow rates can slow down over the course of an injection event.
- Injection well screens: injection well screens from 2-12 feet below ground surface were used successfully in this injection test. Future remedial designs could also provide varied well screen depths and presume deeper placement of the top of the well screen would result in the ability to apply some degree of additional injection pressure.
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Groundwater level should be monitored closely during full scale injection because the superposition of multiple wells receiving injectate at once could result in unacceptable mounding or surfacing of groundwater. Injections must cease if surfacing is observed to allow the treatment area to recover to pre-injection groundwater levels. Sequencing the distribution of injectate so that adjacent injection wells are not receiving fluid at the same time will reduce mounding potential. Injection wells MPE-OW-01 and MPE-OW-02 were installed via hollow-stem auger and performed well during the test; however, the drilling team reported some difficulty during installation due to the loose, sandy conditions. The low clay and high sand content of the formation may allow for alternative, less intrusive drilling methods - such as direct push technology (DPT) - to be utilized.

Attachments: Table 1 - Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results<br>Table 2 - Summary of Vapor Analytical Results<br>Table 3 - Injection Rate Test - Water Level Measurements<br>Table 4 - Injection Rate Test - Injection Pressure and Totalizer Readings<br>Table 5 - Injection Rate Test - Field Parameters<br>Figure 1 - Site Location - SGW-23 Area<br>Figure 2 - MPE Pilot and Injection Rate Test Well Layout<br>Figure 3 - Depth to Water Changes in the Observation Wells During MPE Test<br>Attachment A: Boring Logs and Well Construction Details<br>Attachment B: MPE Contractor Field Report<br>Attachment C: Summary of MPE Pilot Test Measurements<br>Attachment D: Analytical Laboratory Reports

## TABLES

Table 1
Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results
Hercules LLC/Pinova Inc. Facility, Brunswick, GA

| Well ID | Units | MPE-01 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Date |  | 04/28/20 |  |  |  |
| Lab ID |  | 680-183249-1 | 680-183249-2 | 680-183249-3 | 680-183249-4 |
| Sample Name |  | MPE-GW-042820-1 | MPE-GW-042820-2 | MPE-GW-042820-3 | MPE-GW-042820-4 |
| Volatile Organic Compounds |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.38 U | 0.38 U | 0.38 U | 19 U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.36 U | 0.36 U | 0.36 U | 18 U |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2.5 U | 2.5 U | 2.5 U | 130 U |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.37 U | 0.37 U | 0.37 U | 19 U |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.67 U | 0.67 U | 0.67 U | 34 U |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.46 U | 0.46 U | 0.46 U | 23 U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK) | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 470 | 310 | 340 | 750 |
| Acetone | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 200 | 220 | 170 | 350 U |
| Benzene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 320 | 250 | 260 | 9,300 |
| Carbon Disulfide | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 1.0 U | 50 U |
| Chlorobenzene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.26 U | 0.26 U | 0.26 U | 13 U |
| Chloroform | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 25 U |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.41 U | 0.41 U | 0.41 U | 21 U |
| Ethylbenzene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 4.6 | 20 | 28 | 28 J |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (MEK) | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 12 | 12 | 9.6 J | 170 U |
| Methylene Chloride | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 2.5 U | 2.5 U | 2.5 U | 130 U |
| p-Cymene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 440 | 190 | 170 | 6,000 |
| Tetrachloroethene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.74 U | 0.74 U | 0.74 U | 37 U |
| Toluene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 87 | 94 | 140 | 1,700 |
| Vinyl Chloride | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 0.50 U | 25 U |
| Xylenes (Total) | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 27 | 150 | 210 | 55 |
| Total VOCs | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1560.6 | 1246 | 1318 | 17805 |
| Alkalinity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alkalinity | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 110 | 110 | 130 | 97 |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 110 | 110 | 130 | 97 |
| Bicarbonate ion as $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 140 | 140 | 160 | 120 |
| Carbon Dioxide, Free | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 7.9 | 7.1 | 5.0 U | 120 |
| Carbonate Alkalinity as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5.0 U | 5.0 U | 5.0 U | 5.0 U |
| Hydroxide Alkalinity | mg/L | 5.0 U | 5.0 U | 5.0 U | 5.0 U |
| Phenolphthalein Alkalinity | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 5.0 U | 5.0 U | 5.0 U | 5.0 U |
| Total Metals |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iron | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 3,600 | 4,700 | 2,100 | 1,100 |
| Manganese | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 200 | 230 | 140 | 67 |
| Solids, Total Suspended (TSS) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 390 | 410 | 57 | 28 |
| Total Hardness (as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) by Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hardness as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 190 | 190 | 160 | 160 |
| Calcium Hardness as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 95 | 90 | 75 | 65 |
| Magnesium Hardness as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 91 | 99 | 86 | 99 |

## Notes:

$\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ - micrograms per liter; $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ - milligrams per liter; CaCO 3 - calcium carbonate; $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ - bicarbonate
J - Result is less than the reporting limit but greater than or equal to the method detection limit and the concentration is an approximate value U - Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

Table 2
Summary of Soil Vapor Analytical Results
Hercules LLC/Pinova Inc. Facility, Brunswick, GA

| Well ID | Units | MPE-01 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Date |  | 04/28/20 |  |  |  |
| Lab ID |  | 140-19001-1 | 140-19001-2 | 140-19001-3 | 140-19001-4 |
| Sample Name |  | MPE VP01 042820 | MPE VP02 042820 | MPE VP03 042820 | MPE VP04 042820 |
| Volatile Organic Compounds |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 320 U | 240 U | 350 U | 250 U |
| Acetone | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 2,400 U | 1,800 U | 2,600 U | 1,900 U |
| Benzene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 37,000 | 40,000 | 43,000 | 51,000 |
| Chlorobenzene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 52 U | 39 U | 58 U | 41 U |
| Chloroform | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 55 U | 41 U | 61 U | 43 U |
| Ethylbenzene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 100 U | 150 J | 160 J | 200 J |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone (MEK) | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 880 J | 1,300 | 1,500 J | 1,500 |
| Naphthalene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 700 U | 520 U | 780 U | 550 U |
| p-Cymene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 7,400 | 12,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 |
| Toluene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 5,500 | 6,300 | 7,200 | 8,200 |
| Vinyl Chloride | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 120 U | 88 U | 130 U | 93 U |
| m,p-Xylene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 320 J | 370 J | 460 J | 590 J |
| o-Xylene | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 160 J | 180 J | 240 J | 260 J |
| Xylene (Total) | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 480 J | 550 J | 700 J | 850 J |

Notes:
$\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ - micrograms per cubic meter
J - Result is less than the reporting limit but greater than or equal to the method detection limit and the concentration is an approximate value. U - Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected

Table 3
Injection Rate Test - Water Level Measurements
Hercules LLC/Pinova Inc. Facility, Brunswick, GA

| Location | TOC Elevation (ft. AMSL) | Date | Time | Depth to Water (ft. bTOC) | GW Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 10:14 | 1.40 | 8.16 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 10:24 | 1.27 | 8.2946 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 10:34 | 1.20 | 8.3646 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 11:04 | 1.12 | 8.4446 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 11:34 | 1.10 | 8.4646 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 12:04 | 0.78 | 8.7846 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 12:34 | 0.78 | 8.7846 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 13:04 | 0.78 | 8.7846 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 13:34 | 0.78 | 8.7846 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 14:04 | 0.79 | 8.7746 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 14:34 | 0.79 | 8.7746 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 15:04 | 0.79 | 8.7746 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 15:34 | 0.80 | 8.7646 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/29/2020 | 16:04 | 0.89 | 8.6746 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/30/2020 | 9:00 | 1.45 | 8.1146 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/30/2020 | 10:10 | 1.08 | 8.4846 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/30/2020 | 10:20 | 0.88 | 8.6846 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/30/2020 | 10:30 | 0.78 | 8.7846 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/30/2020 | 11:00 | 0.68 | 8.8846 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/30/2020 | 11:30 | 0.60 | 8.9646 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/30/2020 | 12:00 | 0.55 | 9.0146 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/30/2020 | 12:30 | 0.55 | 9.0146 |
| MPE-01 | 9.5646 | 4/30/2020 | 13:00 | 0.55 | 9.0146 |
| MPE-OW-01 | 9.5125 | 4/30/2020 | 9:00 | 1.38 | 8.1325 |
| MPE-OW-01 | 9.5125 | 4/30/2020 | 10:10 | 0.70 | 8.8125 |
| MPE-OW-01 | 9.5125 | 4/30/2020 | 10:20 | 0.50 | 9.0125 |
| MPE-OW-01 | 9.5125 | 4/30/2020 | 10:30 | 0.50 | 9.0125 |
| MPE-OW-01 | 9.5125 | 4/30/2020 | 11:00 | 0.50 | 9.0125 |
| MPE-OW-01 | 9.5125 | 4/30/2020 | 11:30 | 0.45 | 9.0625 |
| MPE-OW-01 | 9.5125 | 4/30/2020 | 12:00 | 0.40 | 9.1125 |
| MPE-OW-01 | 9.5125 | 4/30/2020 | 12:30 | 0.40 | 9.1125 |
| MPE-OW-01 | 9.5125 | 4/30/2020 | 13:00 | 0.40 | 9.1125 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 10:14 | 1.15 | 8.3541 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 10:24 | 1.09 | 8.4141 |

Table 3
Injection Rate Test - Water Level Measurements
Hercules LLC/Pinova Inc. Facility, Brunswick, GA

| Location | TOC Elevation (ft. AMSL) | Date | Time | Depth to Water (ft. bTOC) | GW Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 10:34 | 1.06 | 8.4441 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 11:04 | 1.03 | 8.4741 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 11:34 | 0.88 | 8.6241 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 12:04 | 0.78 | 8.7241 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 12:34 | 0.78 | 8.7241 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 13:04 | 0.78 | 8.7241 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 13:34 | 0.79 | 8.7141 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 14:04 | 0.80 | 8.7041 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 14:34 | 0.81 | 8.6941 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 15:04 | 0.81 | 8.6941 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 15:34 | 0.86 | 8.6441 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/29/2020 | 16:04 | 0.94 | 8.5641 |
| MPE-OW-02 | 9.5041 | 4/30/2020 | 9:00 | 1.35 | 8.1541 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 10:14 | 1.67 | 8.24 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 10:24 | 1.55 | 8.36 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 10:34 | 1.72 | 8.19 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 11:04 | 1.69 | 8.22 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 11:34 | 1.66 | 8.25 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 12:04 | 1.61 | 8.3 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 12:34 | 1.61 | 8.3 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 13:04 | 1.59 | 8.32 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 13:34 | 1.60 | 8.31 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 14:04 | 1.58 | 8.33 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 14:34 | 1.56 | 8.35 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 15:04 | 1.34 | 8.57 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 15:34 | 1.52 | 8.39 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/29/2020 | 16:04 | 1.54 | 8.37 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 9:00 | 1.65 | 8.26 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 10:10 | 1.55 | 8.36 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 10:20 | 1.52 | 8.39 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 10:30 | 1.50 | 8.41 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 11:00 | 1.44 | 8.47 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 11:30 | 1.42 | 8.49 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 12:00 | 1.38 | 8.53 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 12:30 | 1.37 | 8.54 |

Table 3
Injection Rate Test - Water Level Measurements
Hercules LLC/Pinova Inc. Facility, Brunswick, GA

| Location | TOC Elevation <br> (ft. AMSL) | Date | Time | Depth to Water <br> (ft. bTOC) | GW Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 13:00 | 1.35 | 8.56 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 13:30 | 1.28 | 8.63 |
| MW-23 | 9.91 | 4/30/2020 | 14:00 | 1.22 | 8.69 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 10:14 | 1.80 | 8.24 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 10:24 | 1.73 | 8.31 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 10:34 | 1.53 | 8.51 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 11:04 | 1.47 | 8.57 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 11:34 | 1.47 | 8.57 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 12:04 | 1.30 | 8.74 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 12:34 | 1.38 | 8.66 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 13:04 | 1.37 | 8.67 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 13:34 | 1.38 | 8.66 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 14:04 | 1.37 | 8.67 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 14:34 | 1.37 | 8.67 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 15:04 | 1.54 | 8.5 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 15:34 | 1.34 | 8.7 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/29/2020 | 16:04 | 1.63 | 8.41 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 9:00 | 1.62 | 8.42 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 10:10 | 1.49 | 8.55 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 10:20 | 1.41 | 8.63 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 10:30 | 1.36 | 8.68 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 11:00 | 1.27 | 8.77 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 11:30 | 1.23 | 8.81 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 12:00 | 1.20 | 8.84 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 12:30 | 1.20 | 8.84 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 13:00 | 1.16 | 8.88 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 13:30 | 1.00 | 9.04 |
| MW-24 | 10.04 | 4/30/2020 | 14:00 | 0.92 | 9.12 |

Notes:
ft . AMSL - feet above mean sea level
ft. bTOC - feet below top of casing

Table 4
Injection Rate Test - Injection Pressure and Totalizer Readings
Hercules LLC/Pinova Inc. Facility, Brunswick, GA

| Injection Well | Date | Time | Wellhead Pressure (psi) | Totalizer (gallons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPE-OW-01 | 4/29/2020 | 10:03 | 0.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 10:14 | 0.0 | 12.1 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 10:24 | 0.0 | 20.9 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 10:34 | 0.0 | 29.5 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 10:44 | 0.0 | 38.3 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 10:54 | 0.0 | 46.1 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 11:04 | 0.0 | 54.0 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 11:24 | 0.0 | 79.2 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 11:44 | 0.0 | 88.5 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 12:04 | 0.0 | 113.5 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 12:24 | 0.0 | 136.8 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 12:44 | 0.0 | 159.0 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 13:04 | 0.0 | 179.8 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 13:24 | 0.0 | 199.2 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 13:44 | 0.0 | 217.8 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 14:04 | 0.0 | 235.5 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 14:24 | 0.0 | 252.2 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 14:44 | 0.0 | 268.1 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 15:04 | 0.0 | 282.3 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 15:24 | 0.0 | 296.2 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 15:44 | 0.0 | 309.2 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 16:04 | 0.0 | 320.4 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 16:15 | 0.5 | 332.3 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 16:25 | 0.5 | 347.6 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 16:47 | 0.5 | 384.0 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 17:00 | 0.5 | 403.3 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 17:10 | 0.5 | 420.0 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 17:20 | 0.5 | 433.4 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 17:31 | 0.5 | 450.0 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 17:36 | 1.0 | 460.0 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 17:38 | 1.0 | 475.0 |
|  | 4/29/2020 | 17:40 | 2.0 | 497.4 |

Table 4
Injection Rate Test - Injection Pressure and Totalizer Readings
Hercules LLC/Pinova Inc. Facility, Brunswick, GA

| Injection Well | Date | Time | Wellhead Pressure (psi) | Totalizer (gallons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPE-OW-02 | 4/30/2020 | 10:00 | 0.0 | 497.4 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 10:10 | 0.0 | 513.2 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 10:20 | 0.5 | 530.2 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 10:30 | 0.5 | 547.1 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 10:50 | 0.5 | 580.0 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 11:10 | 0.5 | 611.0 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 11:30 | 0.5 | 641.1 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 11:50 | 0.5 | 670.0 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 12:12 | 0.5 | 700.0 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 12:30 | 0.5 | 723.5 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 12:50 | 0.5 | 749.0 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 13:10 | -- | 767.2 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 13:20 | 1.0 | 790.2 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 13:30 | 1.0 | 811.8 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 13:37 | 1.0 | -- |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 13:40 | 1.0 | 834.0 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 13:50 | 1.0 | 855.0 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 14:00 | 1.0 | 876.1 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 14:10 | 1.0 | 897.5 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 14:16 | 1.0 | -- |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 14:19 | 0.0 | 915.0 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 14:30 | 0.0 | 921.8 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 14:40 | 0.0 | 928.9 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 15:00 | 0.0 | 942.0 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 15:33 | 0.0 | 963.5 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 15:50 | 0.0 | 974.1 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 16:10 | 0.0 | 986.5 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 16:30 | 0.0 | 998.2 |
|  | 4/30/2020 | 16:52 | 0.0 | 1010.2 |

Notes:
psi - pounds per square inch

Table 5
Injection Rate Test - Field Parameters
Hercules LLC/Pinova Inc. Facility, Brunswick, GA

| Location | Date | Time | pH | DO | ORP | Conductivity | Temperature | Comments <br> (e.g., color, odor, precipitates, etc.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | s.u. | (mg/L) | (mV) | ( $\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) | $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  |
| MPE-01 | 4/29/20 | 9:03 | 5.65 | 0.34 | -284 | 1,021 | 22.3 | Pre-injection; salinity $=0.51 \mathrm{ppt} ; 8^{\prime}$ btoc |
| MPE-OW-01 | 4/29/20 | 8:47 | 5.49 | 0.42 | -343 | 1,265 | 22.3 | Pre-injection; salinity $=0.63 \mathrm{ppt} ; 10^{\prime} \mathrm{btoc}$ |
| MPE-OW-02 | 4/29/20 | 8:55 | 5.42 | 0.43 | -293 | 1,127 | 22.2 | Pre-injection; salinity $=0.56 \mathrm{ppt} ; 10^{\prime} \mathrm{btoc}$ |
| MW-23 | 4/29/20 | 9:20 | 5.34 | 0.41 | -271 | 1,120 | 22.7 | Pre-injection |
| MW-24 | 4/29/20 | 8:40 | 6.19 | 0.46 | -337 | 880 | 23.3 | Pre-injection |
| MW-24 | 4/29/20 | 18:13 | 6.05 | 0.56 | -236.2 | 1,061 | 23.4 | Post-injection |
| MPE-01 | 4/29/20 | 18:24 | 5.73 | 0.42 | -235.2 | 1,106 | 22.4 | Post-injection |
| MPE-OW-02 | 4/29/20 | 18:35 | 5.54 | 0.41 | -249.9 | 1,154 | 22.4 | Post-injection; salinity $=0.57 \mathrm{ppt}$ |
| MW-23 | 4/29/20 | 18:45 | 5.32 | 0.42 | -236.9 | 1,111 | 22.8 | Post-injection |
| MPE-01 | 4/30/20 | 9:45 | 5.57 | 0.44 | -242.3 | 1,046 | 22.3 | Pre-injection; salinity $=0.52 \mathrm{ppt}$ |
| MPE-OW-01 | 4/30/20 | 9:50 | 6.90 | 0.40 | -169.2 | 2,222 | 25.8 | Pre-injection; salinity $=1.13 \mathrm{ppt}$ |
| MPE-OW-02 | 4/30/20 | 9:40 | 5.41 | 0.46 | -248.8 | 1,138 | 22.3 | Pre-injection; salinity $=0.57 \mathrm{ppt}$ |
| MW-23 | 4/30/20 | 9:55 | 5.36 | 0.44 | -252.7 | 1,114 | 22.8 | Pre-injection; salinity $=0.55 \mathrm{ppt}$ |
| MW-24 | 4/30/20 | 9:35 | 5.91 | 0.51 | -265.2 | 1,129 | 23.3 | Pre-injection; salinity $=0.56 \mathrm{ppt}$ |
| MW-24 | 4/30/20 | 17:00 | 6.10 | 1.19 | -248.7 | 1,095 | 23.50 | Post-injection |
| MPE-01 | 4/30/20 | 17:05 | 5.71 | 0.72 | -235.8 | 1,143 | 22.40 | Post-injection; salinity $=0.57 \mathrm{ppt}$ |
| MPE-OW-01 | 4/30/20 | 17:10 | 6.78 | 0.82 | -117.8 | 2,180 | 24.70 | Post-injection; salinity $=1.11 \mathrm{ppt}$ |
| MW-23 | 4/30/20 | 17:15 | 5.32 | 0.49 | -231.9 | 1,113 | 22.90 | Post-injection; salinity $=0.55 \mathrm{ppt}$ |

Notes:
** - sensor reading is out of range
DO - dissolved oxygen
ORP - oxidation-reduction potential
mV -millivolt $\quad \mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm}-$ microsiemens per centimeter
s.u. - standard unit
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - degrees Celsius

## FIGURES





## APPENDIX A

## 

| Drilling Start Date: | 2/18/2020 | Boring Depth (ft): | 10.3 | Well Depth (ft): | 10.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drilling End Date: | 2/18/2020 | Boring Diameter (in): | 8.00 | Well Diameter (in): | 4.0 |
| Drilling Company: | Betts Environmental | Sampling Method(s): | Direct Push | Screen Slot (in): | 0.020 |
| Drilling Method: | DPT and Hollow Stem Auger | DTW During Drilling (ft): | NM | Riser Material: | Sch 40 PVC |
| Drilling Equipment: | Geoprobe 7822DT | DTW After Drilling (ft BT | 1.58 | Screen Material: | Sch 40 PVC Slotted |
| Driller: | Chris Golden | Top of Casing Elev. (ft): | 9.56 | Seal Material(s): | Bentonite Pellets |
| Logged By: | Nardos Tilahun | Location (X,Y): | 870457.13, 424368.64 | Filter Pack: | 20/40 Silica Sand |


| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \\ & \underline{I} \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \mathrm{U} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 广 } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 우 } \\ & \underline{\Xi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | COLLECT |  |  |  |  | SOIL/ROCK VISUAL DESCRIPTION | REMARKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\underset{\mid}{®}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |



NOTES: Hole precleared using hand auger. 4.5 bags of sand used. 0.167 cubic feet of bentonite pellets used.

## 

| Drilling Start Date: | 2/18/2020 | Boring Depth (ft): | 17.3 | Well Depth (ft): | 17.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drilling End Date: | 2/18/2020 | Boring Diameter (in): | 6.00 | Well Diameter (in): | 2.0 |
| Drilling Company: | Betts Environmental | Sampling Method(s): | Direct Push | Screen Slot (in): | 0.010 |
| Drilling Method: | DPT and Hollow Stem Auger | DTW During Drilling (ft): | NM | Riser Material: | Sch 40 PVC |
| Drilling Equipment: | Geoprobe 7822DT | DTW After Drilling (ft BTOC): | 1.51 | Screen Material: | Sch 40 PVC Slotted |
| Driller: | Chris Golden | Top of Casing Elev. (ft): | 9.51 | Seal Material(s): | Bentonite Pellets |
| Logged By: | Nardos Tilahun | Location ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ): | 870461.58, 424368.92 | Filter Pack: | 20/40 Silica Sand |


|  |  |  |  | COLLECT |  |  |  |  | SOIL/ROCK VISUAL DESCRIPTION | REMARKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\underline{=}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |



NOTES: 4.5 bags of sand used, 0.33 cubic feet of bentonite pellets used

## 

| Drilling Start Date: | 2/18/2020 | Boring Depth (ft): | 17.3 | Well Depth (ft): | 17.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drilling End Date: | 2/18/2020 | Boring Diameter (in): | 6.00 | Well Diameter (in): | 2.0 |
| Drilling Company: | Betts Environmental | Sampling Method(s): | Direct Push | Screen Slot (in): | 0.010 |
| Drilling Method: | DPT Hollow Stem Auger | DTW During Drilling (ft): | NM | Riser Material: | Sch 40 PVC |
| Drilling Equipment: | Geoprobe 7822DT | DTW After Drilling (ft BT | 1.49 | Screen Material: | Sch 40 PVC Slotted |
| Driller: | Chris Golden | Top of Casing Elev. (ft): | 9.50 | Seal Material(s): | Bentonite Pellets |
| Logged By: | Nardos Tilahun | Location (X,Y): | 870460.70, 424359.36 | Filter Pack: | 20/40 Silica Sand |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 广 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { 무 } \\ & \text { 돌 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | COLLECT |  |  |  |  | SOIL/ROCK VISUAL DESCRIPTION | REMARKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\underline{E}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |



NOTES: Four bags of sand used. 0.03 cubic feet of bentonite pellets

## 

| Drilling Start Date: | 2/19/2020 | Boring Depth (ft): | 5.3 | Well Depth (ft): | 5.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drilling End Date: | 2/19/2020 | Boring Diameter (in): | 3.00 | Well Diameter (in): | 1.0 |
| Drilling Company: | Betts Environmental | Sampling Method(s): | N/A | Screen Slot (in): | 0.010 |
| Drilling Method: | Auger | DTW During Drilling (ft BTOC) |  | Riser Material: | Sch 40 PVC |
| Drilling Equipment: | Hand Auger | DTW After Drilling (ft BTOC): | 1.45 | Screen Material: | Sch 40 PVC Slotted |
| Driller: | Chris Golden | Top of Casing Elev. (ft): | 9.42 | Seal Material(s): | Bentonite Pellets |
| Logged By: | Nardos Tilahun | Location (X,Y): | 870465.05, 424364.22 | Filter Pack: | 20/40 Silica Sand |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { 울 } \\ & \text { 폴 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | COLLECT |  |  |  |  | SOIL/ROCK VISUAL DESCRIPTION | REMARKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\underline{E}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |



NOTES: $1 / 3$ bag of sand, $1 / 8$ bag of bentonite pellets

## 

| Drilling Start Date: | 2/19/2020 | Boring Depth (ft): | 5.3 | Well Depth (ft): | 5.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drilling End Date: | 2/19/2020 | Boring Diameter (in): | 3.00 | Well Diameter (in): | 1.0 |
| Drilling Company: | Betts Environmental | Sampling Method(s): | N/A | Screen Slot (in): | 0.010 |
| Drilling Method: | Auger | DTW During Drilling (ft BTOC): |  | Riser Material: | Sch 40 PVC |
| Drilling Equipment: | Hand Auger | DTW After Drilling (ft BTOC): | 1.65 | Screen Material: | Sch 40 PVC Slotted |
| Driller: | Chris Golden | Top of Casing Elev. (ft): | 9.66 | Seal Material(s): | Bentonite Pellets |
| Logged By: | Nardos Tilahun | Location (X,Y): | 870456.94, 424356.24 | Filter Pack: | 20/40 silica Sand |


|  |  |  |  | COLLECT |  |  |  |  | SOIL/ROCK VISUAL DESCRIPTION | REMARKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\underset{\mid}{\Xi}}$ | 00 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 3 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do } \\ & \frac{3}{0} \circ \\ & \text { no } \\ & \text { z } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |



NOTES: $1 / 3$ bag of sand used. $1 / 8$ bag of bentonite pellets used.

## 

| Drilling Start Date: | 2/19/2020 | Boring Depth (ft): | 5.3 | Well Depth (ft): | 5.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drilling End Date: | 2/19/2020 | Boring Diameter (in): | 3.00 | Well Diameter (in): | 1.0 |
| Drilling Company: | Betts Environmental | Sampling Method(s): | N/A | Screen Slot (in): | 0.010 |
| Drilling Method: | Auger | DTW During Drilling (ft BTOC): | 2.15 | Riser Material: | Sch 40 PVC |
| Drilling Equipment: | Hand Auger | DTW After Drilling (ft BTOC): | 1.71 | Screen Material: | Sch 40 PVC Slotted |
| Driller: | Chris Golden | Top of Casing Elev. (ft): | 9.67 | Seal Material(s): | Bentonite Pellets |
| Logged By: | Nardos Tilahun | Location ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ): | 870465.77, 424380.89 | Filter Pack: | 20/40 Silica Sand |


|  |  |  |  | COLLECT |  |  |  |  | SOIL/ROCK VISUAL DESCRIPTION | REMARKS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\underset{\mid}{\Xi}}$ | 00 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 3 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Do } \\ & \frac{3}{0} \circ \\ & \text { no } \\ & \text { z } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |



NOTES: $1 / 3$ bag of sand used. $1 / 8$ bag of bentonite pellets used.

## APPENDIX B

500 Northpoint Pkwy SE
Acworth, GA 30102
Ali Ciblak
Geosyntec Consultants, Inc
www.fruits-us.com

1255 Roberts Boulevard, Suite 200
(866) 974-6999

Kennesaw, Georgia 30144
Subject:
Two Day High-Vacuum Remediation Pilot Study
Hercules Pinova Facility
2801 Cook Street
Brunswick, Georgia
Fruits Project: GA20-9074

Dear Mr. Ciblak:
Fruits \& Associates, Inc. is pleased to provide this summary of the High-Vacuum Remediation event that was conducted on April $27^{\text {th }}, 2020$ at the above referenced facility. Below is a summary of both the technology as well as the results of the actual event.

## Technology:

High-Vacuum Remediation (HVR) involves the extraction of subsurface vapors and liquids via a monitoring well or recovery well. This is accomplished by applying high levels of vacuum pressure to the extraction point. To eliminate mounding of the water table, a drop tube (commonly known as a stinger) is inserted in the well to the static water level depth. The applied vacuum and airflow extracted from the well is pulled through this drop tube. As the water table attempts to mound due to the application of vacuum, the liquids are "slurped" through this drop tube. This slurping effectively maintains the static conditions of the water table while the elevated vacuum is applied to the well during the event. In order to minimize any change to the current smear zone associated with the site, seasonal water level data is analyzed. Once the extraction process is underway, the inlet of the stinger assembly is slowly lowered to the maximum historical water level observed for each extraction well. This draw down (one to ten feet below the static water level) depresses the water table and creates a cone of influence, which maximizes the efficiency of the high vacuum process.
Occasionally, fresh air ( 5 to 25 CFM) is introduced at the well surface to increase the airflow and enhance the liquid removal rate. In order to accurately record the actual removal rate from the well, an airflow gauge is mounted on the well head to measure the amount of fresh air that is introduced. This extra fresh air is subtracted from the total flow calculated for each extraction well. Additionally, two vacuum gauges are installed; one on the stinger assembly (well head vacuum), and one on the well casing (influence vacuum). If fresh air is introduced at the well head, the influence vacuum reading will be artificially lower than the actual applied vacuum because the inlet for fresh air is adjacent to this vacuum gauge port. The setup and piping configurations are shown in Figure \#1.

During the extraction process, the combined air and liquids are transferred to the mobile treatment system where the liquids are separated with a liquid scrubber / knockout system and discharged into a storage tank for future disposal. The hydrocarbon vapors are transferred to the off-gas treatment system and are incinerated in a forced air Thermal Oxidation (ThOx) unit at 1500 degrees Fahrenheit. After thorough destruction of the contaminants in the air stream, the clean air is discharged into the atmosphere. A complete flow diagram of this process is shown in Figure \#2.

## Calculations:

During the HVR event, two measurements are taken, of both the influent and effluent flow rates, the concentrations of the vapors removed (before off-gas treatment), and the off-gas treatment system concentrations. These measurements are used to calculate the removal rates and the off-gas emission rates. The flow rates were measured using a Dwyer DS-300 Pitot tube attached to a differential pressure gauge. These flow rate measurements are reported in Actual Cubic Feet per Minute (ACFM). Before each event, these flow assemblies are calibrated to insure an accurate flow measurement. A separate flow rate is calculated for each influent well (if more than one well is connected), as well as for any additional fresh air that is introduced into the influent stream. The individual flow rates are combined to achieve the total flow and velocity derived from the extraction points. Because of the extremely high concentrations involved with a High Vacuum event, additional quench air ( 0 to 2,000 SCFM) is added to the vapor stream, just before entering the ThOx unit. An additional Pitot tube assembly is installed at the inlet of the ThOx unit and is used to measure the total flow. Combined with the off-gas concentration readings, this total flow rate is used to calculate the destruction efficiency of the system.
The concentration measurements are taken using a TVA-1000A FID instrument calibrated to methane. For comparison purposes, the removal rates are calculated in total carbon, as well as total hydrocarbons. This FID instrument has a dynamic range of $0-50,000$ PPM as methane, $0-100,000$ PPM as hydrocarbon. Our concentration samples are collected before any additional bleed or quench air is added to the extracted flow rate. These undiluted concentration measurements exceed the dynamic range of any FID instrument. In order to accurately record the high concentrations observed during a HVR event, a calibrated 10:1 dilution valve is used to cut the sample. This dilution valve, along with the FID instrument, is calibrated before the start of each event.

In order to eliminate the naturally occurring methane that is present during a typical HVR event, each concentration sample is measured twice. The first sample is collected directly from the system, and recorded as the total VOC concentration. The second sample is collected using an in-line activated carbon filter, which adsorbs the hydrocarbon compounds leaving only methane present in the sample to be measured. This methane only result is then subtracted from the total VOC concentration measurement (first sample), resulting in a Non Methane Organic Compound (NMOC) concentration. This NMOC concentration is used in the mass removal calculations. However, as with any FID instrument, the NMOC results are recorded as parts per million by volume $\left(\mathrm{PPM}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$ as if the concentrations were methane. A conversion from methane to a hydrocarbon and from a volume to a weight is necessary to calculate an accurate mass removal rate. Using the NMOC concentration results and the TVA-1000's factory certified response ratio for hydrocarbons, the NMOC results are converted to equivalent hydrocarbon mg/Ls. A TVA-1000 FID has an average response ratio of $600 \mathrm{PPM}_{v}$ per $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of unleaded gasoline and $200 \mathrm{PPM}_{v}$ per $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of diesel. Summaries of these calculations are shown in Figure \#3.

## Results:

Phase Separated Hydrocarbon (PSH) was not detected in any monitoring wells prior to performing the event (well locations are shown in Figure \#4). Once static water levels were established, during the course of the event the system was connected to MPE-1. At each of the extraction points a stinger was located at the static fluid levels, and once the ThOx unit's normal operating temperature was reached, the inlet flow valve was opened for this well. Once the PSH was removed from the extraction well (if any), the stinger assembly was lowered into the static fluid level approximately 0 to 6 feet, creating a cone of influence.
During the first HVR event, the average ACFM was calculated at 24.49 for MPE-1, with an additional 5.00 ACFM recorded at the fresh air breather port. The fresh air breather port is used during an event to enhance the volatilization and fluid recovery rates from the monitoring wells. A summary of the recovered flow rates are shown in Figure \# 5. The combined total airflow from the extraction well and breather port averaged 28.81 ACFM.
During the second HVR event, the average ACFM was calculated at 88.92 for MPE-1, with an additional 5.00 ACFM recorded at the fresh air breather port. The fresh air breather port is used during an event to enhance the volatilization and fluid recovery rates from the monitoring wells. A summary of the recovered flow rates are shown in Figure \# 5. The combined total airflow from the extraction well and breather port averaged 93.92 ACFM.

Throughout the event, air concentration measurements were recorded periodically from both the influent and effluent sample ports. The concentration results were entered into the HVR field monitoring log (Attachment A) and during the event, 0.65 pounds of carbon was removed ( 2.19 pounds of hydrocarbon, 0.35 equivalent gallons of gasoline). Additionally, 3.87 pounds of methane was removed and incinerated during the event. A summary of the total equivalent hydrocarbon recovery rate is shown in Figure \#6. The total off-gas discharge (to the atmosphere) was 0.01948 pounds of carbon ( 0.06501 pounds of hydrocarbon), thus yielding a $92.34 \%$ destruction rate for the ThOr unit. Induced vacuum readings (in inches of water column) were recorded in this event (See Attachment A for results).

Once the HVR event was complete, a second round of water level measurements was recorded in which the results are shown in Attachment A. After the event, there were no levels of PSH recorded in any of the associated monitoring wells. During the event, 1,413 gallons of petroleum contacted water (PCW) was removed and collected in a holding tank onsite.

Sincerely,
Fruits \& Associates, Inc.


John M. -ruts




## Calculation of Hydrocarbon Loading Rate

## Formula:

$$
\dot{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{CF}
$$

Where:
$\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{m}}=$ Contaminant Loading Rate (lbs/hr)
$Q=$ Air Flow Rate (CFM)
$\mathrm{C}=$ Contaminant Concentration (mg/m ${ }^{3}$ )
$\mathrm{CF}=$ Conversion Factor $=0.000003743=\frac{1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}}{35.31 \mathrm{ft}^{3}} \mathbf{X} \frac{1 \mathrm{lb}}{454 \times 10^{3} m g} \mathbf{X} \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{1 \mathrm{hr}}$
Since all field measurements are in $\mathrm{PPM}_{\mathrm{V}}$, the following formula is used to convert to $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

$$
\mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{PPM}_{\mathrm{V}}}{\mathrm{R}} \times \frac{1,000 \mathrm{~L}}{1 m^{3}}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}=\text { TVA Response Ratio* } \quad & \text { *According to the manufacture's documentation, The Foxboro Monitor, Volume 3, } \\
& \text { Issue 1A, Page 5, Response Ratio of Fuel Samples, the Foxboro TVA-1000 has a } \\
\text { response ratio of approximately } \frac{600 \mathrm{PPM}_{\mathrm{V}}}{1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}}
\end{array}
$$

## Example:

$Q=$ Air Flow Rate $=200$ CFM
$\mathrm{C}=$ TVA-1000 Reading $=20,400 \mathrm{PPM}_{\mathrm{V}}$
$R=$ Response Ratio for Gasoline $=600$

Results:


Note:
To convert lbs to equivalent gallons, the following formula is used:
Specific Gravity $($ Gasoline $=0.74$, Diesel $=0.84) \times$ Conversion Factor $(8.333)=l b s / g a l$.
$($ Gasoline $=6.16 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{gal} . \quad$ Diesel $=6.99 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{gal}$.

|  | High-Vac Remediation (HVR) <br> Process Diagram |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scale: Not to scale | Title: Concentration Calculations |
| Date: October 6th, 2014 | Checked By: John M. Fruits |
| Drawing By: John M. Fruits | Figure \#: 3 |





| Calibration Gas | Methane |
| :---: | :---: |
| Calibration Gas Concentration (PPMV) | 10,000 |
| Response Factor (TVA-1000) | 600 |
| Number of Cartons | 1 |
| Influent Pipe Dia. | 2 |
| Effluent Stack Dia. | 8 |
| This Event's Totals |  |
| Total Los of Carbon | 0.08 |
| Total Lbs of Melthane | 0.25 |
| Total Lbs of Hydrocaton | 0.27 |
| Equiv. Gal. of Hydrocarbons | 0.043 |
| Total Gallons of Liquid (Groundwater) | 180.00 |
| Total Operating Time (Hours) | 6.5 |
| Cumulative ( To Date ) Totals |  |
| Total Lbs of Carbon | 0.08 |
| Total Lbs of Methane | 0.25 |
| Total Lbs of Hydrocaron | 0.27 |
| Equiv. Gal. of Hydrocatbons | 0.043 |
| Total Gallons of Liquid | 180.00 |


| Well |  | Betore |  |  | Vacum Reading |  |  |  |  |  | Atter |  | Drawdown |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | DTP | DTW | Prod, (tr) | 3.10 PM |  |  | DTP | DTW | Proded | DTP | DTw | Prod, (ti) | Resuls (t) | Commens: |
| MPE-1 | 1.58 | 1.58 |  | $\mathrm{THO}^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 580 | 580 |  | 4.22 | noles |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Perali we do noth have tolog these. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Influent readings and water production is all we have to take. Due to flowmeter malfunctions no hourly readings on Monday. Per Ali remove flowmeter and measure frat tank hourly on Tuesday |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



HVR FIELD MONITORING LOG




HIGH-VAC REMEDIATION (HVR)
RECOVERY FLOW RATES
Title: RECOVERY FLOW RATES


## 

Time


## APPENDIX C

Table 1A - Baseline Data Collection
MPE Pilot Test
Hercules/Pinova Brunswick Plant
Brunswick, GA

Date: $\quad 4 / 27 / 2020$
Baseline for Test

Weather: Sunny, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Geosyntec Crew: AC, DG
Other Crew: Billy Graham (Fruits)

| Time | Well ID | Depth to <br> water | Depth to <br> product | VOCs | Vacuum | Atmospheric <br> Pressure | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hh:mm | - | ft btoc. | ft btoc. | ppm | IWC | in. of Hg |  |
| $9: 33$ | MPE-01 | 1.58 | None | 57.9 |  |  |  |
| $9: 30$ | MPE-OW-01 | 1.51 | None | 34.8 |  |  |  |
| $9: 29$ | MPE-OW-02 | 1.49 | None | 38.1 |  |  |  |
| $9: 37$ | MW-24 | 1.84 | None | 49.9 |  |  |  |
| $9: 25$ | VP-01 | 1.45 | None | 34.8 | -0.001 | 30.127 |  |
| $9: 30$ | VP-02 | 1.65 | None | 11.0 | -0.004 | 30.127 |  |
| $9: 32$ | VP-03 | 1.71 | None | 25.6 | -0.005 | 30.127 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

MPE - Multi Phase Extraction
IWC - Inches of water
VP - vapor probe
ft btoc - ft below top of casing in. of Hg - inches of mercury

## $\begin{array}{lr}\text { Date: } & 4 / 27 / 2020 \\ \text { Weather: } & \text { Sunny } 70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\end{array}$

Test Well ID

| Time | Wellhead Vacuum | Vapor Flow Rate | VOCs, FID | Temp. | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ content | Cumulative <br> Extracted Water or | VP- 01 |  | VP - 02 |  | VP - 03 |  | MPE-OW-01 |  | MPE-OW-02 |  | MW-24 |  | Notes (Dilutions, barometric pressure) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Vacuum | vocs | Vacuum | VOCs | Vacuum | vocs | DTW | DTP | DTW | DTP | DTW | DTP |  |
| hh:mm | " Hg | (scfm) | (ppm) | ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | (\%) | (gal or) | (IWC) | (ppm) | (IWC) | (ppm) | (IWC) | (ppm) | (ft bgs) |  | (ft bgs) |  | (ft bgs) |  |  |
| 11:19 | $4 "$ | See Fruits Report | 475 | 69 | 20.9 | 3.4 gpm | -0.007 | 51.1 | -0.005 | 4.6 | -0.021 | 12.2 |  | -- |  | -- | 1.81 | -- |  |
| 10:40 | $4 "$ |  | 179 | 71 | 20.9 | -- | -0.04 |  | -0.12 |  | -0.016 |  | 1.78 | -- | 1.75 | -- | 1.81 | -- |  |
| Drop tobe 2' below static |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| 12:35 | $4 "$ | See Fruits Report | 313 | 71 | 20.9 |  | -0.001 | 58.1 | 0 | 7.6 | 0.003 | 8.9 | 2.38 | -- | 2.09 | -- | 1.96 | -- |  |
| 13:09 | $4 "$ |  | 350 |  |  | 27.7 gal | -0.001 | 60.2 | 0 | 11.4 | -0.051 | 17.6 | 2.52 | -- | 2.18 | -- | 2.04 | -- |  |
| 13:16 | Drop tube at 5' below static water level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| 13:35 | 4.5-5" | From Fruits Report | 430 | 71 | 20.9 | 54.7 gal | 0 | 61.6 | 0 | 12.9 | 0 | 24 | 2.85 | -- | 2.41 | -- | 2.13 | -- |  |
| 14:00 | $5 "$ |  | 485 | 7 | 20.9 | 92.7 gal | 0 | 53.4 | 0 | 9.3 | 0 | 24.7 | 2.91 | -- | 2.47 | -- | 2.20 | -- |  |
| 14:07 | Increase vacuum to 8" Hg |  |  |  |  | 108.9 gal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| 14:25 | 8" | From Fruits Report | 717 | 71 | 20.9 | 141.3 gal | 0 | 41.4 | 0 | 8.3 | -0.05 | 22.8 | 3.36 | -- | 2.76 | -- | 2.36 | -- |  |
| 14:45 | 7.5" |  | 760 | 71 | 20.9 | 183 gal | 0 | 23.6 | 0 | 7.8 | -0.13 | 24.6 | 3.39 | -- | 2.8 | -- | 2.42 | -- |  |
| 15:05 | 8" |  | 767 | 71 | 20.9 | 223.4 gal | 0 | 27.5 | 0 | 7.9 | -0.13 | 26.5 | 3.4 | -- | 2.81 | -- | 2.47 | -- |  |
| 15:25 | 8" |  | 709 | 71 | 20.9 | 262.6 gal | 0 | 24.9 | 0 | 9.1 | -0.12 | 25.9 | 3.41 | -- | 2.83 | -- | 2.46 | -- |  |
| 15:35 |  |  |  |  |  | 278.6 gal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| 16:00 | 9.5 " |  | 1080 | 71 | 20.9 | 2.2 gpm | -0.01 | 25.1 | 0 | 10 |  | 25.4 | 3.78 | -- | 3.09 | -- | 2.57 | -- |  |
| 16:30 | 8.5" |  |  | 71 | 20.9 | 2.1 gpm | -0.01 | 11.8 | 0 | 8.5 | -0.12 | 25.9 | 3.6 | -- | 3.00 | -- | 2.56 | -- |  |
| 17:15 | 8" |  | 910 | 71 | 20.9 | 2.1 gpm | -0.02 | 9.9 | 0 | 2.7 | -0.15 | 21.1 | 3.58 | -- | 3.00 | -- | 2.60 | -- |  |
| 17:25 | stop the test |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]DTW - Depth to water
DTP - Depth to product
VOCS - volatile organic compounds

Start Time: 11:04

# Table 1B - Baseline Data Collection <br> Hercules/Pinova Brunswick Plant 

Brunswick, GA

Date: 4/28/2020
Baseline for Test

Weather: Sunny, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
Geosyntec Crew: AC, DG
Other Crew: Billy Graham (Fruits)

| Time | Well ID | Depth to <br> water | Depth to <br> product | VOCs | Vacuum | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hh:mm | - | ft btoc. | ft btoc. | ppm | IWC |  |
| $8: 00$ | MPE-01 | -- | None |  |  |  |
| $8: 10$ | MPE-OW-01 | 1.59 | None |  |  |  |
| $8: 09$ | MPE-OW-02 | 4.58 | None |  |  |  |
| $8: 08$ | MW-24 | 1.74 | None |  |  |  |
| $8: 10$ | VP-01 |  |  | 44.9 | 0 |  |
| $8: 11$ | VP-02 |  |  | 9.7 | 0 |  |
| $8: 11$ | VP-03 |  |  | 12.1 | -0.005 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

MPE - Multi Phase Extraction
IWC - Inches of water
VP - vapor probe
ft bgs - ft below ground surface
in. of Hg - inches of mercury


## APPENDIX D

## Environment Testing America

## ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville
5815 Middlebrook Pike
Knoxville, TN 37921
Tel: (865)291-3000
Laboratory Job ID: 140-19001-1
Client Project/Site: MPE Pilot Test/Brunswick, GA
For:
Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
1255 Roberts Blvd, NW
Suite 200
Kennesaw, Georgia 30144
Attn: Laura Kinsman


Authorized for release by: 5/13/2020 5:32:49 PM
Jerry Lanier, Project Manager I (912)250-0281
jerry.lanier@testamericainc.com

## Links

Review your project results through Total Access

Have a Question?

The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC and 2009 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

## Visit us at:

www.eurofinsus.com/Env
Results relate only to the items tested and the samples) as received by the laboratory.
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## Qualifiers

Air - GC/MS VOA

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| J Result is less than the RL but greater than or equal to the MDL and the concentration is an approximate value. <br> U Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected. |  |


| Glossary |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
| a | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| \%R | Percent Recovery |
| CFL | Contains Free Liquid |
| CNF | Contains No Free Liquid |
| DER | Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dil Fac | Dilution Factor |
| DL | Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| DL, RA, RE, IN | Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| DLC | Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| EDL | Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| LOD | Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| MDA | Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| MDC | Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| ML | Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| MQL | Method Quantitation Limit |
| NC | Not Calculated |
| ND | Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RER | Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| RL | Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| TEF | Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| TEQ | Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) |

Job ID: 140-19001-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville

## Narrative

## CASE NARRATIVE

## Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.

## Project: MPE Pilot Test/Brunswick, GA

## Report Number: 140-19001-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In the event of interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples may be diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

## Receipt

The samples were received on 5/4/2020 2:00 PM; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and, where required, on ice.

## VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS IN AMBIENT AIR

Samples MPE_VP01_042820 (140-19001-1), MPE_VP02_042820 (140-19001-2), MPE_VP03_042820 (140-19001-3) and MPE_VP04_042820 (140-19001-4) were analyzed for Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air in accordance with EPA Method TO-15. The samples were analyzed on 05/06/2020.

TO-15: EPA methods TO-14A and TO-15 specify the use of humidified "zero air" as the blank reagent for canister cleaning, instrument calibration and sample analysis. Ultra-high purity humidified nitrogen from a cryogenic reservoir is used in place of "zero air" by TestAmerica Knoxville.

The continuing calibration verification (CCV) associated with batch 140-39410 exhibited \% difference of > 30\% for the following analyte(s) Acetone, Chloromethane and Isopropyl alcohol ; however, the results were within the LCS acceptance limits. The EPA method requires that all target analytes in the continuing calibration verification standard be within $30 \%$ difference from the initial calibration. According to the laboratory standard operating procedure, the continuing calibration is acceptable if it meets the laboratory control sample acceptance criteria.

Samples MPE_VP01_042820 (140-19001-1)[38.79X], MPE_VP02_042820 (140-19001-2)[39.22X], MPE_VP03_042820 (140-19001-3) [39.05X] and MPE_VP04_042820 (140-19001-4)[41.35X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Matrix | Collected | Received | Asset ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140-19001-1 | MPE_VP01_042820 | Air | 04/28/20 15:20 | 05/04/20 14:00 | Air Canister (1-Liter) \#8325 |
| 140-19001-2 | MPE_VP02_042820 | Air | 04/28/20 15:25 | 05/04/20 14:00 | Air Canister (1-Liter) \#5880 |
| 140-19001-3 | MPE_VP03_042820 | Air | 04/28/20 17:10 | 05/04/20 14:00 | Air Canister (1-Liter) \#34001383 |
| 140-19001-4 | MPE_VP04_042820 | Air | 04/28/20 17:10 | 05/04/20 14:00 | Air Canister (1-Liter) \#4857 |

## Method Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.

| Method | Method Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| TO-15 | Polatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air |
| Protocol References: |  |
| $\quad$ EPA $=$ US Environmental Protection Agency |  |

Laboratory References:
TAL KNX = Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville, 5815 Middlebrook Pike, Knoxville, TN 37921, TEL (865)291-3000
Client Sample ID: MPE_VP01_042820 Lab Sample ID: 140-19001-1

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 37000 |  | 450 | 43 | ug/m3 | 38.79 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 880 | J | 1400 | 390 | ug/m3 | 38.79 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| m,p-Xylene | 320 | J | 2500 | 220 | ug/m3 | 38.79 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| p-Cymene | 7400 |  | 770 | 220 | ug/m3 | 38.79 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Toluene | 5500 |  | 530 | 520 | ug/m3 | 38.79 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Xylene, o- | 160 | $J$ | 610 | 120 | ug/m3 | 38.79 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Xylene (total) | 480 | J | 1200 | 190 | ug/m3 | 38.79 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP02_042820 Lab Sample ID: 140-19001-2

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 40000 |  | 330 | 32 | ug/m3 | 39.22 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Ethylbenzene | 150 | J | 450 | 75 | ug/m3 | 39.22 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 1300 |  | 1100 | 290 | ug/m3 | 39.22 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| m,p-Xylene | 370 | J | 1800 | 170 | ug/m3 | 39.22 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| p-Cymene | 12000 |  | 570 | 160 | ug/m3 | 39.22 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Toluene | 6300 |  | 390 | 390 | ug/m3 | 39.22 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Xylene, o- | 180 | J | 450 | 86 | ug/m3 | 39.22 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Xylene (total) | 550 | J | 910 | 140 | ug/m3 | 39.22 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP03_042820 Lab Sample ID: 140-19001-3

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 43000 |  | 500 | 47 | ug/m3 | 39.05 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Ethylbenzene | 160 | J | 680 | 110 | ug/m3 | 39.05 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 1500 | J | 1600 | 430 | ug/m3 | 39.05 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| m,p-Xylene | 460 | J | 2700 | 250 | ug/m3 | 39.05 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| p-Cymene | 14000 |  | 860 | 240 | ug/m3 | 39.05 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Toluene | 7200 |  | 590 | 580 | ug/m3 | 39.05 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Xylene, o- | 240 | J | 680 | 130 | ug/m3 | 39.05 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Xylene (total) | 700 | J | 1400 | 210 | ug/m3 | 39.05 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP04_042820 Lab Sample ID: 140-19001-4

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 51000 |  | 350 | 33 | ug/m3 | 41.35 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Ethylbenzene | 200 | J | 480 | 79 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m} 3$ | 41.35 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 1500 |  | 1100 | 300 | ug/m3 | 41.35 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| m,p-Xylene | 590 | J | 1900 | 170 | ug/m3 | 41.35 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| p-Cymene | 14000 |  | 610 | 170 | ug/m3 | 41.35 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Toluene | 8200 |  | 420 | 410 | ug/m3 | 41.35 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Xylene, o- | 260 | $J$ | 480 | 91 | ug/m3 | 41.35 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |
| Xylene (total) | 850 | J | 960 | 150 | ug/m3 | 41.35 |  | TO-15 | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP01_042820
Date Collected: 04/28/20 15:20
Date Received: 05/04/20 14:00
Sample Container: Summa Canister 1L
Method: TO-15 - Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 2400 | U | 8400 | 2400 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| Benzene | 37000 |  | 450 | 43 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| Chlorobenzene | 52 | U | 650 | 52 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| Chloroform | 55 | U | 690 | 55 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| Ethylbenzene | 100 | U | 610 | 100 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 880 | J | 1400 | 390 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| m,p-Xylene | 320 | J | 2500 | 220 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| Naphthalene | 700 | U | 1800 | 700 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| p-Cymene | 7400 |  | 770 | 220 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| Toluene | 5500 |  | 530 | 520 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | 320 | U | 2100 | 320 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| Vinyl chloride | 120 | U | 360 | 120 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| Xylene, o- | 160 | J | 610 | 120 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |
| Xylene (total) | 480 | J | 1200 | 190 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 19:54 | 38.79 |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP02_042820
Lab Sample ID: 140-19001-2
Matrix: Air
Date Collected: 04/28/20 15:25
Date Received: 05/04/20 14:00
Sample Container: Summa Canister 1L

| Method: TO-15 - Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Acetone | 1800 | U | 6200 | 1800 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| Benzene | 40000 |  | 330 | 32 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| Chlorobenzene | 39 | U | 480 | 39 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| Chloroform | 41 | U | 510 | 41 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| Ethylbenzene | 150 | J | 450 | 75 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 1300 |  | 1100 | 290 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| m,p-Xylene | 370 | J | 1800 | 170 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| Naphthalene | 520 | U | 1400 | 520 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| p-Cymene | 12000 |  | 570 | 160 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| Toluene | 6300 |  | 390 | 390 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | 240 | U | 1600 | 240 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| Vinyl chloride | 88 | U | 270 | 88 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| Xylene, o- | 180 | J | 450 | 86 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |
| Xylene (total) | 550 | J | 910 | 140 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 20:42 | 39.22 |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP03_042820
Date Collected: 04/28/20 17:10
Lab Sample ID: 140-19001-3
Matrix: Air
Date Received: 05/04/20 14:00

## Sample Container: Summa Canister 1L

Method: TO-15 - Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 2600 | U | 9300 | 2600 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| Benzene | 43000 |  | 500 | 47 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| Chlorobenzene | 58 | U | 720 | 58 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| Chloroform | 61 | U | 760 | 61 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| Ethylbenzene | 160 | J | 680 |  | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP03_042820
Date Collected: 04/28/20 17:10
Date Received: 05/04/20 14:00
Sample Container: Summa Canister 1L
Method: TO-15 - Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air (Continued)

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 1500 | J | 1600 | 430 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| m,p-Xylene | 460 | J | 2700 | 250 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| Naphthalene | 780 | U | 2000 | 780 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| p-Cymene | 14000 |  | 860 | 240 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| Toluene | 7200 |  | 590 | 580 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | 350 | U | 2400 | 350 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| Vinyl chloride | 130 | U | 400 | 130 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| Xylene, o- | 240 | J | 680 | 130 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |
| Xylene (total) | 700 | J | 1400 | 210 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 21:28 | 39.05 |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP04_042820
Lab Sample ID: 140-19001-4
Date Collected: 04/28/20 17:10
Matrix: Air
Date Received: 05/04/20 14:00

## Sample Container: Summa Canister 1L

Method: TO-15 - Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 1900 | U | 6500 | 1900 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| Benzene | 51000 |  | 350 | 33 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m} 3$ |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| Chlorobenzene | 41 | U | 510 | 41 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| Chloroform | 43 | U | 540 | 43 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| Ethylbenzene | 200 | J | 480 | 79 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 1500 |  | 1100 | 300 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| m,p-Xylene | 590 | J | 1900 | 170 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| Naphthalene | 550 | U | 1400 | 550 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| p-Cymene | 14000 |  | 610 | 170 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| Toluene | 8200 |  | 420 | 410 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | 250 | U | 1700 | 250 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| Vinyl chloride | 93 | U | 280 | 93 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| Xylene, 0- | 260 | J | 480 | 91 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |
| Xylene (total) | 850 | J | 960 | 150 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 22:16 | 41.35 |

## Method: TO-15 - Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air

| Analyte | RL | MDL | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | 3.0 | 0.45 | ug/m3 |
| Acetone | 12 | 3.4 | ug/m3 |
| Benzene | 0.64 | 0.061 | ug/m3 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.92 | 0.074 | ug/m3 |
| Chloroform | 0.98 | 0.078 | ug/m3 |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.87 | 0.14 | ug/m3 |
| $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{p}$-Xylene | 3.5 | 0.32 | ug/m3 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 2.0 | 0.55 | ug/m3 |
| Naphthalene | 2.6 | 1.0 | ug/m3 |
| p-Cymene | 1.1 | 0.31 | ug/m3 |
| Toluene | 0.75 | 0.74 | ug/m3 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.51 | 0.17 | ug/m3 |
| Xylene (total) | 1.7 | 0.26 | ug/m3 |
| Xylene, o- | 0.87 | 0.17 | ug/m3 |

## Method: TO-15 - Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air

Lab Sample ID: MB 140-39410/5
Matrix: Air
Analysis Batch: 39410

| Analyte | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MB } \\ \text { Result } \end{array}$ | MB <br> Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 3.4 | U | 12 | 3.4 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| Benzene | 0.061 | U | 0.64 | 0.061 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.074 | U | 0.92 | 0.074 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| Chloroform | 0.078 | U | 0.98 | 0.078 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.14 | U | 0.87 | 0.14 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 0.55 | U | 2.0 | 0.55 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| m,p-Xylene | 0.32 | U | 3.5 | 0.32 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| Naphthalene | 1.0 | U | 2.6 | 1.0 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m} 3$ |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| p-Cymene | 0.31 | U | 1.1 | 0.31 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| Toluene | 0.74 | $\cup$ | 0.75 | 0.74 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | 0.45 | U | 3.0 | 0.45 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.17 | U | 0.51 | 0.17 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| Xylene, o- | 0.17 | U | 0.87 | 0.17 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |
| Xylene (total) | 0.26 | U | 1.7 | 0.26 | ug/m3 |  |  | 05/06/20 11:20 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-39410/1002
Matrix: Air
Analysis Batch: 39410

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LCS } \\ \text { Result } \end{array}$ | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 7.13 | 4.70 | J | ug/m3 |  | 66 | 60-140 |
| Benzene | 3.19 | 3.03 |  | ug/m3 |  | 95 | 70-130 |
| Chlorobenzene | 4.60 | 4.35 |  | ug/m3 |  | 95 | 70-130 |
| Chloroform | 4.88 | 5.13 |  | ug/m3 |  | 105 | 70-130 |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.34 | 4.21 |  | ug/m3 |  | 97 | 70-130 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 4.10 | 3.25 |  | ug/m3 |  | 79 | 60-140 |
| m,p-Xylene | 8.68 | 8.10 |  | ug/m3 |  | 93 | 70-130 |
| Naphthalene | 5.24 | 5.98 |  | ug/m3 |  | 114 | 60-140 |
| p-Cymene | 5.49 | 5.83 |  | ug/m3 |  | 106 | 70-130 |
| Toluene | 3.77 | 3.55 |  | ug/m3 |  | 94 | 70-130 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | 6.03 | 5.82 |  | ug/m3 |  | 97 | 60-140 |
| Vinyl chloride | 2.56 | 1.90 |  | ug/m3 |  | 74 | 70-130 |
| Xylene, o- | 4.34 | 4.16 |  | ug/m3 |  | 96 | 70-130 |

## Air - GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 39410

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140-19001-1 | MPE_VP01_042820 | Total/NA | Air | TO-15 |  |
| 140-19001-2 | MPE_VP02_042820 | Total/NA | Air | TO-15 |  |
| 140-19001-3 | MPE_VP03_042820 | Total/NA | Air | TO-15 |  |
| 140-19001-4 | MPE_VP04_042820 | Total/NA | Air | TO-15 |  |
| MB 140-39410/5 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Air | TO-15 |  |
| LCS 140-39410/1002 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Air | TO-15 |  |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP01_042820
Date Collected: 04/28/20 15:20
Date Received: 05/04/20 14:00

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dil } \\ \text { Factor } \end{array}$ | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis | TO-15 |  | 38.79 | 11 mL | 500 mL | 39410 | 05/06/20 19:54 | S1K | TAL KNX |
|  | Instrument ID: MR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP02_042820
Date Collected: 04/28/20 15:25
Lab Sample ID: 140-19001-2
Date Received: 05/04/20 14:00

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis | TO-15 |  | 39.22 | 15 mL | 500 mL | 39410 | 05/06/20 20:42 | S1K | TAL KNX |
|  | Instrument ID: MR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP03_042820
Date Collected: 04/28/20 17:10
Lab Sample ID: 140-19001-3
Date Received: 05/04/20 14:00

| Prep Type | Batch <br> Type | Batch Method | Run | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dil } \\ \text { Factor } \end{array}$ | Initial <br> Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis | TO-15 |  | 39.05 | 10 mL | 500 mL | 39410 | 05/06/20 21:28 | S1K | TAL KNX |
|  | Instrument ID: MR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Client Sample ID: MPE_VP04_042820
Date Collected: 04/28/20 17:10
Lab Sample ID: 140-19001-4
Date Received: 05/04/20 14:00
$\left[\begin{array}{llll}\text { Batch } \\ \text { Prep Type } \\ \text { Total/NA } \\ \text { Type }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Batch } \\ \text { Method } \\ \text { Analysis } \\ \text { Instrument ID: MR }\end{array}\right.$

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Lab Sample ID: MB 140-39410/5
Date Collected: N/A
Date Received: N/A


## Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

Date Collected: N/A
Lab Sample ID: LCS 140-39410/1002
Date Received: N/A


## Laboratory References:

TAL KNX = Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville, 5815 Middlebrook Pike, Knoxville, TN 37921, TEL (865)291-3000

Accreditation/Certification Summary
Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Job ID: 140-19001-1 Project/Site: MPE Pilot Test/Brunswick, GA

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Knoxville
All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AFCEE | N/A |  |
| ANAB | Dept. of Defense ELAP | L2311 | 02-13-22 |
| ANAB | Dept. of Energy | L2311.01 | 02-13-22 |
| ANAB | ISO/IEC 17025 | L2311 | 02-13-22 |
| ANAB | ISO/IEC 17025 | L2311 | 02-14-22 |
| Arkansas DEQ | State | 88-0688 | 06-16-20 |
| California | State | 2423 | 06-30-20 |
| Colorado | State | TN00009 | 02-28-21 |
| Connecticut | State | PH-0223 | 09-30-21 |
| Florida | NELAP | E87177 | 06-30-20 |
| Georgia (DW) | State | 906 | 12-11-22 |
| Hawaii | State | NA | 12-11-21 |
| Kansas | NELAP | E-10349 | 11-01-20 |
| Kentucky (DW) | State | 90101 | 01-01-21 |
| Louisiana | NELAP | 83979 | 07-02-20 |
| Louisiana (DW) | State | LA019 | 12-31-20 |
| Maryland | State | 277 | 03-31-21 |
| Michigan | State | 9933 | 12-11-22 |
| Nevada | State | TN00009 | 07-31-20 |
| New Hampshire | NELAP | 299919 | 01-17-21 |
| New Jersey | NELAP | TN001 | 06-30-20 |
| New York | NELAP | 10781 | 03-31-21 |
| North Carolina (DW) | State | 21705 | 07-31-20 |
| North Carolina (WW/SW) | State | 64 | 12-31-20 |
| Ohio VAP | State | CL0059 | 08-28-20 |
| Oklahoma | State | 9415 | 09-01-20 |
| Oregon | NELAP | TNI0189 | 01-02-21 |
| Pennsylvania | NELAP | 68-00576 | 12-31-20 |
| Tennessee | State | 02014 | 12-11-22 |
| Texas | NELAP | T104704380-18-12 | 08-31-20 |
| US Fish \& Wildlife | US Federal Programs | 058448 | 07-31-20 |
| USDA | US Federal Programs | P330-19-00236 | 08-20-22 |
| Utah | NELAP | TN00009 | 07-31-20 |
| Virginia | NELAP | 460176 | 09-15-20 |
| Washington | State | C593 | 01-19-21 |
| West Virginia (DW) | State | 9955C | 01-01-21 |
| West Virginia DEP | State | 345 | 05-01-21 |
| Wisconsin | State | 998044300 | 08-31-20 |

## Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah

The accreditations/certifications listed below are applicable to this report.
$\left[\begin{array}{llll}\text { Authority } & \text { Program } & \text { Identification Number } & \text { Expiration Date } \\ \text { Florida } & \text { NELAP } & \text { E87052 } & 06-30-20 \\ \text { Georgia } & \text { State } & \text { E87052 } & 06-30-20\end{array}\right.$
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| Canister |
| :---: |
| ID |
|  |

8325
5880
34001383
4400138
等

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Canister } \\ \text { Vacuum in } \\ \text { field, " } \mathrm{Hg} \\ \text { (Stop) }\end{array}$ |
| :--- |

$-8$

| -29.8 | -8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| -29.4 | -8 |

$-30.4$
C||
-

Sample Time | Sample |
| :--- | :--- |

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Start Date }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Time } \\ \text { Start }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { End Date }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Address: 1255 Roberts Blvd, Suite ZoA | Email: GRousho oeosystec. Con |
| :--- | :--- |
| City/State/Zip Kennesean, GA, 30144 |  | City/State/Zip Kennescaw, GA, 30íl4

Knoxville, TN 37921-5947
phone 865.291 .3000 fax 865.584 .4315
Client Contact Information


 | Phone: $857-241-7216$ | Site Contact: |
| :--- | :--- |
| FAX: | Tel/Fax |

Project Name: Bar MPE Pilot Test
Site/Location: Brunswick, GA
PO\#
Sample Identification
MPE -VPOI-042820
$M P E-V P O Z-042820$
$M P E-V P O 3-042820$
$M P E-V P S 4-042820$
-
.
42380

(



| s, Inc. d/b/a Eurofins TestAmerica |
| :--- |
| COC No: |
| TALS Project \#: |
| For Lab Use Only: <br> Walk-in Client: <br> Lab Sampling:$\quad \square$ |
| Job / SDG No.: |

(40! !

Log In Number.
Comments/Actions Taken

EUROFINS/TESTAMERICA KNOXVILLE SAMPLE RECELPT/CONDITION UPON RECEIPT ANOMALY CHECKLIST

| Yes | No | na | If No, what was the problem? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 |  |  | $\square$ Containers, Broken |
|  |  | 7 | $\square$ Checked in lab |
| $/$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Y Yes } \\ & \square \mathrm{NA} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $/$ | Cooler Out of Temp, Client Contacted, Proceed/Cancel $\square$ Cooler Out of Temp, Same Day Receipt |
| 7 |  |  | $\square$ Containers, Broken |
| $1$ |  |  | $\square$ Containers, Improper; Client Contacted; Proceed/Cancel |
| 1 |  |  | $\square$ COC \& Samples Do Not Match <br> $\square$ COC Incorrect/Xncomplete <br> $\square$ COC Not Received |
| $/$ |  |  | $\square$ Sample Received, Not on COC $\square$ Sample on COC, Not Received |
| $1$ |  |  | $\square$ COC; No Date/Time; Client Contacted |
| 7 |  |  | $\square$ Sampler Not Listed on COC |
| 7 |  |  | $\square$ COC Incorrect/Incomplete |
| $\zeta$ |  |  | $\square$ COC No tests on COC |
| 7 |  |  | $\square$ COC Incorrect/Incomplete |
|  |  |  | $\checkmark$ COC Incorrect/Incomplete |
| 1 |  |  | $\square$ Holding Time - Receipt |
|  |  | $/$ | $\square \mathbf{p H}$ Adjusted, $\mathbf{p H}$ Included (See box 16A) <br> $\square$ Incorrect Preservative |
|  |  | 1 | $\square$ Headspace (VOA only) |
|  |  | 7 | $\square$ Residual Chlorine |
|  |  | 1 | $\square$ If no, notify lab to adjust |
|  |  | 1 | $\square$ Project missing info |

## TestAmerica Knoxville - Air Canister Initial Pressure Check

| Gauge ID: | G5 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Date: | $5 / 5 / 2020$ |


| Analyst | Sample ID | Asset \# | Cleaning Job | Cert | Size <br> (L) | Pressure @ <br> Receipt <br> (-in Hg or +psig ) | Time | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRS | 140-19001-a-1 | 8325 | 3592-40677 | B | 1 | -7.5 | 9:55 |  |
| BRS | 140-19001-a-2 | 5880 | 3592-40677 | B | 1 | -6.6 | 9:56 |  |
| BRS | 140-19001-a-3 | 34001383 | 5855-40687 | B | 1 | -6.9 | 9:57 |  |
| BRS | 140-19001-a-4 | 4857 | 34001028-40427 | B | 1 | -7.8 | 9:58 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ Receiving -Air Can -Calve Open (NCM \# $\qquad$ <br> $\square$ Air - Can P - 24 to - 25 " - Flow Contr. Works (NCM\# $\qquad$ <br> $\square$ Air - Can P - 24 to - 25 " - Flow Contr. Faulty (NCM\# $\qquad$ <br> $\square$ Air - Can P Out -26" - Flow Contr. Works (NCM\# $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\|$$\square$ Air - Can P Out -26" - Flow Contr. Faulty (NCM\# <br> $\square$ Air - Can P Low -24 to - 25 " - Grab Sample (NCM\#___ <br> $\square$ Air - Can P Low -26 "- Grab Sample (NCM\# |  |  |

Pre-Shipment Clean Canister Certification Report

Pre-Shipment Clean Canister Certification Report

this value is used as the initial pressure for all canisters in the batch.
$\qquad$
PM Authorization


|  |  | $X X X X X X X$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

200-53209-A-3
Pre-Shipment Clean Canister Certification Report


- and
Difference $=$ Final Pressure - Initial Pressure. Acceptance Criteria: (1) The difference must be less than or equal to +0.25 psi. (2) Pressure readings must be at least 24 hours apart. If time frame was not met, the PM must authorize shipment of canister
Clean Canister
Clean Canister Certification Analysis \& Authorization of Release to inventory
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## Job ID: 680-183249-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah

## Narrative

## CASE NARRATIVE

## Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.

## Project: Hercules/Pinova Brunswick Facility

## Report Number: 680-183249-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In the event of interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples may be diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

## RECEIPT

The samples were received on 04/30/2020; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the coolers at receipt was 2.8 C .

## VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GC-MS)

Samples MPE-GW-042820-1 (680-183249-1), MPE-GW-042820-2 (680-183249-2), MPE-GW-042820-3 (680-183249-3) and MPE-GW-042820-4 (680-183249-4) were analyzed for Volatile Organic Compounds (GC-MS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 8260B. The samples were analyzed on 05/03/2020, 05/04/2020 and 05/05/2020.

Surrogate recovery for the following sample was outside control limits: MPE-GW-042820-1 (680-183249-1). Evidence of matrix interference due to high target analytes is present; therefore, re-extraction and/or re-analysis was not performed

Surrogate recovery for the following sample was outside control limits: MPE-GW-042820-2 (680-183249-2). Evidence of matrix interference due to high target analytes is present; therefore, re-extraction and/or re-analysis was not performed

1,2-Dichlorobenzene and 1,4-Dichlorobenzene were detected in method blank MB 680-617331/8 at levels that were above the method detection limit but below the reporting limit. The values should be considered estimates, and have been flagged. If the associated sample reported a result above the MDL and/or RL, the result has been flagged.

Methylene Chloride was detected in method blank MB 680-617375/10 at a level that was above the method detection limit but below the reporting limit. The value should be considered an estimate, and has been flagged. If the associated sample reported a result above the MDL and/or RL, the result has been flagged.

The method blank for analytical batch 680-617527 contained Methylene chloride above the method detection limit (MDL). Associated samples were not re-analyzed because results were less than the reporting limit (RL

Samples MPE-GW-042820-1 (680-183249-1)[5X], MPE-GW-042820-2 (680-183249-2)[2X], MPE-GW-042820-3 (680-183249-3)[5X] and MPE-GW-042820-4 (680-183249-4)[50X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

Insufficient sample volume was available to perform a matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) associated with analytical batches 680-617331,680-617337, 680-617375, and 680-617527.

No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

## METALS (ICPMS)

Samples MPE-GW-042820-1 (680-183249-1), MPE-GW-042820-2 (680-183249-2), MPE-GW-042820-3 (680-183249-3) and MPE-GW-042820-4 (680-183249-4) were analyzed for metals (ICPMS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 6020A. The samples were

## Case Narrative

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.

## Job ID: 680-183249-1 (Continued)

## Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah (Continued)

prepared on 05/04/2020 and analyzed on 05/05/2020.
No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

## ALKALINITY

Samples MPE-GW-042820-1 (680-183249-1), MPE-GW-042820-2 (680-183249-2), MPE-GW-042820-3 (680-183249-3) and MPE-GW-042820-4 (680-183249-4) were analyzed for alkalinity in accordance with SM 2320B. The samples were analyzed on 05/04/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

## TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS

Samples MPE-GW-042820-1 (680-183249-1), MPE-GW-042820-2 (680-183249-2), MPE-GW-042820-3 (680-183249-3) and MPE-GW-042820-4 (680-183249-4) were analyzed for total suspended solids in accordance with SM 2540D. The samples were analyzed on 05/01/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.
PH
Samples MPE-GW-042820-1 (680-183249-1), MPE-GW-042820-2 (680-183249-2), MPE-GW-042820-3 (680-183249-3) and MPE-GW-042820-4 (680-183249-4) were analyzed for pH in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method 9040C. The samples were analyzed on 05/01/2020.

This analysis is considered a field test and is to be performed within 15 minutes of collection. This sample(s) was performed in the laboratory outside the 15 minute timeframe.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

## TOTAL HARDNESS (AS CACO3) BY CALCULATION

Samples MPE-GW-042820-1 (680-183249-1), MPE-GW-042820-2 (680-183249-2), MPE-GW-042820-3 (680-183249-3) and MPE-GW-042820-4 (680-183249-4) were analyzed for total hardness (as CaCO3) by calculation in accordance with SM 2340B. The samples were analyzed on 05/06/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

## Sample Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc. Job ID: 680-183249-1
Project/Site: Hercules/Pinova Brunswick Facility

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID |  | Matrix |  | Collected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8260B | Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) | SW846 | TAL SAV |
| 6020A | Metals (ICP/MS) | SW846 | TAL SAV |
| SM 2340B | Total Hardness (as CaCO 3 ) by calculation | SM | TAL SAV |
| 2320B-2011 | Alkalinity, Total | SM | TAL SAV |
| 2540 D-2011 | Total Suspended Solids (Dried at $103-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | SM | TAL SAV |
| 9040C | pH | SW846 | TAL SAV |
| 3005A | Preparation, Total Recoverable or Dissolved Metals | SW846 | TAL SAV |
| 5030B | Purge and Trap | SW846 | TAL SAV |

## Protocol References:

SM = "Standard Methods For The Examination Of Water And Wastewater"
SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

## Laboratory References:

TAL SAV = Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah, 5102 LaRoche Avenue, Savannah, GA 31404, TEL (912)354-7858

## Project/Site: Hercules/Pinova Brunswick Facility

## Qualifiers

GC/MS VOA
Qualifier

Qualifier Description
J
U

Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.
X Surrogate recovery exceeds control limits

## Metals

Qualifier Qualifier Description
$\bar{U} \quad$ Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

## General Chemistry

| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| HF | Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected. |

## Glossary

| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report. |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| \%R | Percent Recovery |
| CFL | Contains Free Liquid |
| CNF | Contains No Free Liquid |
| DER | Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dil Fac | Dilution Factor |
| DL | Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| DL, RA, RE, IN | Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| DLC | Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| EDL | Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| LOD | Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| MDA | Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| MDC | Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| ML | Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| MQL | Method Quantitation Limit |
| NC | Not Calculated |
| ND | Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RER | Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| RL | Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| TEF | Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| TEQ | Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) |

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-1
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-1

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 200 |  | 10 | 7.0 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.6 |  | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 12 |  | 10 | 3.4 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 470 |  | 10 | 2.1 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Toluene | 87 |  | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Xylenes, Total | 27 |  | 1.0 | 0.23 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Benzene - DL | 320 |  | 5.0 | 2.2 | ug/L | 5 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| p-Cymene - DL | 440 |  | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/L | 5 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Iron | 3600 |  | 100 | 25 | ug/L | 1 |  | 6020A | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recoverable |
| Manganese | 200 |  | 5.0 | 1.8 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | 6020A | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recoverable |
| Hardness as calcium carbonate | 190 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | SM 2340B | Total/NA |
| Calcium hardness as calcium carbonate | 95 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | mg/L | 1 |  | SM 2340B | Total/NA |
| Magnesium hardness as calcium carbonate | 91 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | SM 2340B | Total/NA |
| Alkalinity | 110 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 110 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Carbon Dioxide, Free | 7.9 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Bicarbonate ion as HCO 3 | 140 |  | 6.1 | 6.1 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Total Suspended Solids | 390 |  | 17 | 17 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2540 D-2011 | Total/NA |
| pH | 7.5 | HF |  |  | SU | 1 |  | 9040C | Total/NA |
| Temperature | 23.5 | HF |  |  | Degrees C | 1 |  | 9040C | Total/NA |

## Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-2

Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-2

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 220 |  | 10 | 7.0 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Ethylbenzene | 20 |  | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 12 |  | 10 | 3.4 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 310 |  | 10 | 2.1 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| p-Cymene | 190 |  | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Toluene | 94 |  | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Xylenes, Total | 150 |  | 1.0 | 0.23 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Benzene - DL | 250 |  | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/L | 2 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Iron | 4700 |  | 100 | 25 | ug/L | 1 |  | 6020A | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recoverable |
| Manganese | 230 |  | 5.0 | 1.8 | ug/L | 1 |  | 6020A | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recoverable |
| Hardness as calcium carbonate | 190 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | SM 2340B | Total/NA |
| Calcium hardness as calcium carbonate | 90 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | SM 2340B | Total/NA |
| Magnesium hardness as calcium carbonate | 99 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | SM 2340 B | Total/NA |
| Alkalinity | 110 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 110 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Carbon Dioxide, Free | 7.1 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Bicarbonate ion as HCO 3 | 140 |  | 6.1 | 6.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Total Suspended Solids | 410 |  | 24 | 24 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2540 D-2011 | Total/NA |
| pH | 7.5 | HF |  |  | SU | 1 |  | 9040C | Total/NA |
| Temperature | 23.5 | HF |  |  | Degrees C | 1 |  | 9040C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-3
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-3

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 170 |  | 10 | 7.0 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Ethylbenzene | 28 |  | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 9.6 | J | 10 | 3.4 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 340 |  | 10 | 2.1 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Toluene | 140 |  | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Xylenes, Total | 210 |  | 1.0 | 0.23 | ug/L | 1 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Benzene - DL | 260 |  | 5.0 | 2.2 | ug/L | 5 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| p-Cymene - DL | 170 |  | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/L | 5 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Iron | 2100 |  | 100 | 25 | ug/L | 1 |  | 6020A | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recoverable |
| Manganese | 140 |  | 5.0 | 1.8 | ug/L | 1 |  | 6020A | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recoverable |
| Hardness as calcium carbonate | 160 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | SM 2340B | Total/NA |
| Calcium hardness as calcium carbonate | 75 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | mg/L | 1 |  | SM 2340B | Total/NA |
| Magnesium hardness as calcium carbonate | 86 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | SM 2340 B | Total/NA |
| Alkalinity | 130 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 130 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Bicarbonate ion as HCO 3 | 160 |  | 6.1 | 6.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Total Suspended Solids | 57 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2540 D-2011 | Total/NA |
| pH | 7.9 | HF |  |  | SU | 1 |  | 9040C | Total/NA |
| Temperature | 23.4 | HF |  |  | Degrees C | 1 |  | 9040C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-4
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-4

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 9300 |  | 50 | 22 | ug/L | 50 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Ethylbenzene | 28 | J | 50 | 17 | ug/L | 50 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 750 |  | 500 | 110 | ug/L | 50 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| p-Cymene | 6000 |  | 50 | 24 | ug/L | 50 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Toluene | 1700 |  | 50 | 24 | ug/L | 50 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Xylenes, Total | 55 |  | 50 | 12 | ug/L | 50 |  | 8260B | Total/NA |
| Iron | 1100 |  | 100 | 25 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | 6020A | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recoverable |
| Manganese | 67 |  | 5.0 | 1.8 | ug/L | 1 |  | 6020A | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Recoverable |
| Hardness as calcium carbonate | 160 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | mg/L | 1 |  | SM 2340B | Total/NA |
| Calcium hardness as calcium carbonate | 65 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 | mg/L | 1 |  | SM 2340B | Total/NA |
| Magnesium hardness as calcium carbonate | 99 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 | mg/L | 1 |  | SM 2340B | Total/NA |
| Alkalinity | 97 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 97 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Carbon Dioxide, Free | 120 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Bicarbonate ion as HCO 3 | 120 |  | 6.1 | 6.1 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2320B-2011 | Total/NA |
| Total Suspended Solids | 28 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | mg/L | 1 |  | 2540 D-2011 | Total/NA |
| pH | 6.4 | HF |  |  | SU | 1 |  | 9040C | Total/NA |
| Temperature | 23.4 | HF |  |  | Degrees C | 1 |  | 9040C | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-1
Date Collected: 04/28/20 15:45
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-1

Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Acetone | 200 |  | 10 | 7.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Carbon disulfide | 1.0 | U | 2.0 | 1.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.26 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Chloroform | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.41 | U | 1.0 | 0.41 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.37 | U | 1.0 | 0.37 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.46 | U | 1.0 | 0.46 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.38 | U | 1.0 | 0.38 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.36 | U | 1.0 | 0.36 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.67 | $\cup$ | 1.0 | 0.67 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.6 |  | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Methylene Chloride | 2.5 | U | 5.0 | 2.5 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 12 |  | 10 | 3.4 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 470 |  | 10 | 2.1 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.74 | U | 1.0 | 0.74 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Toluene | 87 |  | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 2.5 | U | 5.0 |  | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Xylenes, Total | 27 |  | 1.0 | 0.23 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |  |  |  | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 146 | $X$ | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 98 |  | 80-122 |  |  |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 87 |  | 73-131 |  |  |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 108 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/03/20 18:52 | 1 |

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) - DL

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 320 |  | 5.0 | 2.2 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:38 | 5 |
| p-Cymene | 440 |  | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:38 | 5 |
| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |  |  |  | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 105 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 16:38 | 5 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 96 |  | 80-122 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 16:38 | 5 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 90 |  | 73-131 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 16:38 | 5 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 106 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 16:38 | 5 |


| Method: 6020A - Metals (ICP/MS) - Total Recoverable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Iron | 3600 |  | 100 | 25 | ug/L |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 05/05/20 17:46 | 1 |
| Manganese | 200 |  | 5.0 |  | ug/L |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 05/05/20 17:46 | 1 |


| Method: SM 2340B - Total Hardness (as CaCO3) by calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | RL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Hardness as calcium carbonate | 190 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |
| Calcium hardness as calcium carbonate | 95 |  | 1.2 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |
| Magnesium hardness as calcium carbonate | 91 |  | 2.1 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-1
Date Collected: 04/28/20 15:45
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-1

Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| General Chemistry <br> Analyte | Result | Qualifier | NONE | NONE | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pH | 7.5 | HF |  |  | SU |  |  | 05/01/20 16:23 | 1 |
| Temperature | 23.5 | HF |  |  | Degrees C |  |  | 05/01/20 16:23 | 1 |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Alkalinity | 110 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:27 | 1 |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 110 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:27 | 1 |
| Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:27 | 1 |
| Hydroxide Alkalinity | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/04/20 21:27 | 1 |
| Carbon Dioxide, Free | 7.9 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:27 | 1 |
| Phenolphthalein Alkalinity | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:27 | 1 |
| Bicarbonate ion as HCO3 | 140 |  | 6.1 | 6.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/04/20 21:27 | 1 |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | RL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Total Suspended Solids | 390 |  | 17 | 17 | mg/L |  |  | 05/01/20 07:53 | 1 |

Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Acetone | 220 |  | 10 | 7.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Carbon disulfide | 1.0 | U | 2.0 | 1.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.26 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Chloroform | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.41 | $\cup$ | 1.0 | 0.41 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.37 | U | 1.0 | 0.37 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.46 | U | 1.0 | 0.46 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.38 | U | 1.0 | 0.38 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.36 | U | 1.0 | 0.36 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.67 | U | 1.0 | 0.67 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Ethylbenzene | 20 |  | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Methylene Chloride | 2.5 | U | 5.0 | 2.5 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 12 |  | 10 | 3.4 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 310 |  | 10 | 2.1 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| p-Cymene | 190 |  | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.74 | U | 1.0 | 0.74 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Toluene | 94 |  | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 2.5 | U | 5.0 | 2.5 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Xylenes, Total | 150 |  | 1.0 | 0.23 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |  |  |  | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 126 | $\bar{\chi}$ | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 96 |  | 80-122 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 89 |  | 73-131 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 104 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 16:15 | 1 |

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) - DL

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 250 |  | 2.0 | 0.86 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 16:46 | 2 |
| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |  |  |  | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 122 | $\bar{\chi}$ | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/05/20 16:46 | 2 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 97 |  | 80-122 |  |  |  |  | 05/05/20 16:46 | 2 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 86 |  | 73-131 |  |  |  |  | 05/05/20 16:46 | 2 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 106 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/05/20 16:46 | 2 |


| Method: 6020A - Metals (ICP/MS) - Total Recoverable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Iron | 4700 |  | 100 | 25 | ug/L |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 05/05/20 17:49 | 1 |
| Manganese | 230 |  | 5.0 |  | ug/L |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 05/05/20 17:49 | 1 |


| Method: SM 2340B - Total Hardness (as CaCO3) by calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | RL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Hardness as calcium carbonate | 190 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |
| Calcium hardness as calcium carbonate | 90 |  | 1.2 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |
| Magnesium hardness as calcium carbonate | 99 |  | 2.1 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-2
Date Collected: 04/28/20 15:45
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-2

Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| General Chemistry <br> Analyte | Result | Qualifier | NONE | NONE | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pH | 7.5 | HF |  |  | SU |  |  | 05/01/20 16:27 | 1 |
| Temperature | 23.5 | HF |  |  | Degrees C |  |  | 05/01/20 16:27 | 1 |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Alkalinity | 110 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:34 | 1 |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 110 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:34 | 1 |
| Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:34 | 1 |
| Hydroxide Alkalinity | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/04/20 21:34 | 1 |
| Carbon Dioxide, Free | 7.1 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:34 | 1 |
| Phenolphthalein Alkalinity | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:34 | 1 |
| Bicarbonate ion as HCO 3 | 140 |  | 6.1 | 6.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/04/20 21:34 | 1 |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | RL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Total Suspended Solids | 410 |  | 24 | 24 | mg/L |  |  | 05/01/20 07:53 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-3
Date Collected: 04/28/20 17:30
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-3

Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Acetone | 170 |  | 10 | 7.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Carbon disulfide | 1.0 | U | 2.0 | 1.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.26 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Chloroform | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.41 | U | 1.0 | 0.41 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.37 | U | 1.0 | 0.37 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.46 | U | 1.0 | 0.46 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.38 | U | 1.0 | 0.38 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.36 | U | 1.0 | 0.36 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.67 | U | 1.0 | 0.67 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Ethylbenzene | 28 |  | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Methylene Chloride | 2.5 | U | 5.0 | 2.5 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 9.6 | J | 10 | 3.4 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 340 |  | 10 |  | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.74 | U | 1.0 | 0.74 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Toluene | 140 |  | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 2.5 | U | 5.0 | 2.5 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Xylenes, Total | 210 |  | 1.0 | 0.23 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |  |  |  | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 95 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 101 |  | 80-122 |  |  |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 102 |  | 73-131 |  |  |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 101 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/03/20 17:55 | 1 |

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) - DL

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 260 |  | 5.0 | 2.2 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 5 |
| p-Cymene | 170 |  | 5.0 | 2.4 | ug/L |  |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 5 |
| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |  |  |  | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 103 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 5 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 95 |  | 80-122 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 5 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 85 |  | 73-131 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 5 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 108 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 5 |



| Method: SM 2340B - Total Hardness (as CaCO3) by calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | RL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Hardness as calcium carbonate | 160 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |
| Calcium hardness as calcium carbonate | 75 |  | 1.2 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |
| Magnesium hardness as calcium carbonate | 86 |  | 2.1 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-3
Date Collected: 04/28/20 17:30
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-3

Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| General Chemistry <br> Analyte | Result | Qualifier | NONE | NONE | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pH | 7.9 | HF |  |  | SU |  |  | 05/01/20 16:31 | 1 |
| Temperature | 23.4 | HF |  |  | Degrees C |  |  | 05/01/20 16:31 | 1 |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Alkalinity | 130 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:42 | 1 |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 130 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:42 | 1 |
| Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:42 | 1 |
| Hydroxide Alkalinity | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/04/20 21:42 | 1 |
| Carbon Dioxide, Free | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:42 | 1 |
| Phenolphthalein Alkalinity | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:42 | 1 |
| Bicarbonate ion as HCO3 | 160 |  | 6.1 | 6.1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/04/20 21:42 | 1 |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | RL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Total Suspended Solids | 57 |  | 7.4 | 7.4 | mg/L |  |  | 05/01/20 07:53 | 1 |

Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Acetone | 350 | U | 500 | 350 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Benzene | 9300 |  | 50 | 22 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Carbon disulfide | 50 | U | 100 | 50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Chlorobenzene | 13 | U | 50 | 13 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Vinyl chloride | 25 | U | 50 | 25 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Chloroform | 25 | U | 50 | 25 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 21 | U | 50 | 21 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 19 | U | 50 | 19 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 23 | U | 50 | 23 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 19 | U | 50 | 19 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 18 | U | 50 | 18 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 34 | U | 50 | 34 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Ethylbenzene | 28 | J | 50 | 17 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Methylene Chloride | 130 | U | 250 | 130 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 170 | U | 500 | 170 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 750 |  | 500 | 110 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| p-Cymene | 6000 |  | 50 | 24 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 37 | $\cup$ | 50 | 37 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Toluene | 1700 |  | 50 | 24 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 130 | U | 250 | 130 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Xylenes, Total | 55 |  | 50 | 12 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |  |  |  | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 102 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 98 |  | 80-122 |  |  |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 90 |  | 73-131 |  |  |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 105 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/05/20 17:33 | 50 |


| Method: 6020A - Metals (ICP/MS) - Total Recoverable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Iron | 1100 |  | 100 | 25 | ug/L |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 05/05/20 17:56 | 1 |
| Manganese | 67 |  | 5.0 |  | ug/L |  | 05/04/20 17:24 | 05/05/20 17:56 | 1 |


| Method: SM 2340B - Total Hardness (as CaCO3) by calculation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | RL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Hardness as calcium carbonate | 160 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |
| Calcium hardness as calcium carbonate | 65 |  | 1.2 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |
| Magnesium hardness as calcium carbonate | 99 |  | 2.1 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:47 | 1 |


| General Chemistry <br> Analyte | Result | Qualifier | NONE | NONE | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pH | 6.4 | HF |  |  | SU |  |  | 05/01/20 16:35 | 1 |
| Temperature | 23.4 | HF |  |  | Degrees C |  |  | 05/01/20 16:35 | 1 |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Alkalinity | 97 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:48 | 1 |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 97 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:48 | 1 |
| Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:48 | 1 |
| Hydroxide Alkalinity | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/04/20 21:48 | 1 |
| Carbon Dioxide, Free | 120 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:48 | 1 |

Date Collected: 04/28/20 18:20
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-4

Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| General Chemistry (Continued) <br> Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phenolphthalein Alkalinity | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | 5.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/04/20 21:48 | 1 |
| Bicarbonate ion as HCO 3 | 120 |  | 6.1 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/04/20 21:48 | 1 |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | RL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Total Suspended Solids | 28 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | mg/L |  |  | 05/01/20 07:53 | 1 |

Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)
Matrix: Water
Prep Type: Total/NA


## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

| Lab Sample ID: MB 680-617331/8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Client Sample ID: Method Blank |  | Blank tal/NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MB | MB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Acetone | 7.0 | U | 10 | 7.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Benzene | 0.43 | U | 1.0 | 0.43 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Carbon disulfide | 1.0 | U | 2.0 | 1.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.26 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Chloroform | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.41 | U | 1.0 | 0.41 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.387 | J | 1.0 | 0.37 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.545 | J | 1.0 | 0.46 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.38 | U | 1.0 | 0.38 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.36 | U | 1.0 | 0.36 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.67 | U | 1.0 | 0.67 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.33 | U | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Methylene Chloride | 2.5 | U | 5.0 | 2.5 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 3.4 | U | 10 |  | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 2.1 | U | 10 | 2.1 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| p-Cymene | 0.48 | U | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.74 | U | 1.0 | 0.74 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Toluene | 0.48 | U | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 2.5 | U | 5.0 |  | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |
| Xylenes, Total | 0.23 | U | 1.0 | 0.23 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 11:36 | 1 |


| Surrogate | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MB } \\ \text { \%Recovery } \end{array}$ | MB <br> Qualifier | Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 100 |  | 80-120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 98 |  | 80-122 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 88 |  | 73-131 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 108 |  | 80-120 |


| Prepared |  | Analyzed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dil Fac |  |
|  | $05 / 03 / 2011: 36$ |  | 1 |
|  | $05 / 03 / 2011: 36$ |  | 1 |
|  | $05 / 03 / 2011: 36$ |  | 1 |
|  | $05 / 03 / 2011: 36$ |  | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617331/4
Matrix: Water
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617331

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCS <br> Result | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 250 | 278 |  | ug/L |  | 111 | 70-135 |
| Benzene | 50.0 | 52.9 |  | ug/L |  | 106 | 80-120 |
| Carbon disulfide | 50.0 | 49.1 |  | ug/L |  | 98 | 80-120 |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.0 | 50.5 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 80-120 |
| Vinyl chloride | 50.0 | 50.3 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 71-128 |
| Chloroform | 50.0 | 51.1 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-120 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 53.1 |  | ug/L |  | 106 | 80-120 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 49.4 |  | ug/L |  | 99 | 80-120 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 47.9 |  | ug/L |  | 96 | 80-120 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 80-120 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 48.9 |  | ug/L |  | 98 | 76-120 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 50.0 | 53.7 |  | ug/L |  | 107 | 80-120 |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.0 | 50.6 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 80-120 |
| Methylene Chloride | 50.0 | 51.0 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-120 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 250 | 260 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-131 |

## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617331/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617331

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCS Result | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 250 | 251 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 76-124 |
| p-Cymene | 50.0 | 47.0 |  | ug/L |  | 94 | 80-120 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 50.0 | 48.2 |  | ug/L |  | 96 | 80-121 |
| Toluene | 50.0 | 50.9 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-113 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 52.0 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 68-128 |
| Xylenes, Total | 100 | 101 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 80-120 |

LCS LCS

| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 96 |  | 80-120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 107 |  | 80-122 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 101 |  | 73-131 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 106 |  | 80-120 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617331/5
Matrix: Water
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617331

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCSD <br> Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 250 | 270 |  | ug/L |  | 108 | 70-135 | 3 | 30 |
| Benzene | 50.0 | 53.9 |  | ug/L |  | 108 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |
| Carbon disulfide | 50.0 | 50.6 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.0 | 51.4 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |
| Vinyl chloride | 50.0 | 52.5 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 71-128 | 4 | 20 |
| Chloroform | 50.0 | 52.4 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 55.2 |  | ug/L |  | 110 | 80-120 | 4 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 51.5 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-120 | 4 | 20 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 48.6 |  | ug/L |  | 97 | 80-120 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 50.0 | 51.8 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-120 | 4 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 50.4 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 76-120 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 50.0 | 55.1 |  | ug/L |  | 110 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.0 | 50.9 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Methylene Chloride | 50.0 | 50.8 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-120 | 0 | 20 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 250 | 254 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-131 | 2 | 20 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 250 | 253 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 76-124 | 1 | 20 |
| p-Cymene | 50.0 | 49.5 |  | ug/L |  | 99 | 80-120 | 5 | 20 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 50.0 | 49.2 |  | ug/L |  | 98 | 80-121 | 2 | 20 |
| Toluene | 50.0 | 52.3 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-113 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 53.8 |  | ug/L |  | 108 | 68-128 | 4 | 20 |
| Xylenes, Total | 100 | 103 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |

LCSD LCSD

| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 100 |  | 80-120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 110 |  | 80-122 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 103 |  | 73-131 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 107 |  | 80-120 |

## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

| Lab Sample ID: MB 680-617337/9 | Client Sample ID: Method Blank |
| :--- | ---: |
| Matrix: Water | Prep Type: Total/NA |

Analysis Batch: 617337 MB MB

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 7.0 | U | 10 | 7.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Benzene | 0.43 | U | 1.0 | 0.43 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Carbon disulfide | 1.0 | U | 2.0 | 1.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.26 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Chloroform | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.41 | U | 1.0 | 0.41 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.37 | $\cup$ | 1.0 | 0.37 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.46 | U | 1.0 | 0.46 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.38 | U | 1.0 | 0.38 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.36 | $\cup$ | 1.0 | 0.36 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.67 | U | 1.0 | 0.67 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.33 | U | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Methylene Chloride | 2.5 | U | 5.0 | 2.5 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 3.4 | U | 10 | 3.4 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 2.1 | U | 10 | 2.1 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| p-Cymene | 0.48 | U | 1.0 | 0.48 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.74 | $\cup$ | 1.0 | 0.74 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Toluene | 0.48 | U | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 2.5 | U | 5.0 | 2.5 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |
| Xylenes, Total | 0.23 | U | 1.0 | 0.23 | ug/L |  |  | 05/03/20 12:04 | 1 |


| Surrogate | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MB } \\ \text { \%Recovery } \end{array}$ | MB <br> Qualifier | Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 92 |  | 80-120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 104 |  | 80-122 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 105 |  | 73-131 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 99 |  | 80-120 |


| Prepared |  | Analyzed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dil Fac |  |
| $05 / 03 / 20 ~ 12: 04$ |  | 1 |  |
|  | $05 / 03 / 2012: 04$ |  | 1 |
|  | $05 / 03 / 2012: 04$ |  | 1 |
|  | $05 / 03 / 2012: 04$ |  | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617337/4
Matrix: Water
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617337

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCS Result | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 250 | 227 |  | ug/L |  | 91 | 70-135 |
| Benzene | 50.0 | 54.2 |  | ug/L |  | 108 | 80-120 |
| Carbon disulfide | 50.0 | 52.1 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-120 |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.0 | 54.2 |  | ug/L |  | 108 | 80-120 |
| Vinyl chloride | 50.0 | 48.1 |  | ug/L |  | 96 | 71-128 |
| Chloroform | 50.0 | 54.2 |  | ug/L |  | 108 | 80-120 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 54.9 |  | ug/L |  | 110 | 80-120 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 51.1 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-120 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 49.8 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 80-120 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 50.0 | 54.2 |  | ug/L |  | 108 | 80-120 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 51.2 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 76-120 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 50.0 | 55.2 |  | ug/L |  | 110 | 80-120 |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.0 | 53.5 |  | ug/L |  | 107 | 80-120 |
| Methylene Chloride | 50.0 | 54.0 |  | ug/L |  | 108 | 80-120 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 250 | 239 |  | ug/L |  | 96 | 80-131 |

## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617337/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617337

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCS Result | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 250 | 239 |  | ug/L |  | 96 | 76-124 |
| p-Cymene | 50.0 | 51.7 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-120 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 50.0 | 51.5 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-121 |
| Toluene | 50.0 | 53.7 |  | ug/L |  | 107 | 80-113 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 55.1 |  | ug/L |  | 110 | 68-128 |
| Xylenes, Total | 100 | 108 |  | ug/L |  | 108 | 80-120 |

LCS LCS

| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 100 |  | 80-120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 111 |  | 80-122 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 109 |  | 73-131 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 108 |  | 80-120 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617337/5
Matrix: Water
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617337

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCSD <br> Result | LCSD <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 250 | 214 |  | ug/L |  | 86 | 70-135 | 6 | 30 |
| Benzene | 50.0 | 52.4 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Carbon disulfide | 50.0 | 50.5 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.0 | 52.8 |  | ug/L |  | 106 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Vinyl chloride | 50.0 | 46.8 |  | ug/L |  | 94 | 71-128 | 3 | 20 |
| Chloroform | 50.0 | 52.1 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-120 | 4 | 20 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 52.4 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-120 | 5 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 50.5 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 80-120 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 49.0 |  | ug/L |  | 98 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 50.0 | 52.4 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 50.1 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 76-120 | 2 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 50.0 | 52.7 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-120 | 5 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.0 | 52.2 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |
| Methylene Chloride | 50.0 | 52.1 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-120 | 4 | 20 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 250 | 226 |  | ug/L |  | 90 | 80-131 | 6 | 20 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 250 | 223 |  | ug/L |  | 89 | 76-124 | 7 | 20 |
| p-Cymene | 50.0 | 51.5 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-120 | 0 | 20 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 50.0 | 50.1 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 80-121 | 3 | 20 |
| Toluene | 50.0 | 51.7 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-113 | 4 | 20 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 55.4 |  | ug/L |  | 111 | 68-128 | 0 | 20 |
| Xylenes, Total | 100 | 106 |  | ug/L |  | 106 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |

LCSD LCSD

| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 99 |  | 80-120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 107 |  | 80-122 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 104 |  | 73-131 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 104 |  | 80-120 |

## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)



| Surrogate | MB <br> \%Recovery | MB <br> Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 99 |  | 80-120 |  | 05/04/20 13:05 | 1 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 97 |  | 80-122 |  | 05/04/20 13:05 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 87 |  | 73-131 |  | 05/04/20 13:05 | 1 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 110 |  | 80-120 |  | 05/04/20 13:05 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617375/4 Matrix: Water |  |  |  | Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample |  |  |

Analysis Batch: 617375

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCS <br> Result | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 250 | 253 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 70-135 |
| Benzene | 50.0 | 48.8 |  | ug/L |  | 98 | 80-120 |
| Carbon disulfide | 50.0 | 48.5 |  | ug/L |  | 97 | 80-120 |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.0 | 47.1 |  | ug/L |  | 94 | 80-120 |
| Vinyl chloride | 50.0 | 52.0 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 71-128 |
| Chloroform | 50.0 | 47.6 |  | ug/L |  | 95 | 80-120 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 50.7 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 80-120 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 45.7 |  | ug/L |  | 91 | 80-120 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 45.0 |  | ug/L |  | 90 | 80-120 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 50.0 | 46.9 |  | ug/L |  | 94 | 80-120 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 50.6 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 76-120 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 50.0 | 50.8 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-120 |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.0 | 47.7 |  | ug/L |  | 95 | 80-120 |
| Methylene Chloride | 50.0 | 45.9 |  | ug/L |  | 92 | 80-120 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 250 | 252 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 80-131 |

## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617375/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617375

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCS <br> Result | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 250 | 234 |  | ug/L |  | 94 | 76-124 |
| p-Cymene | 50.0 | 47.0 |  | ug/L |  | 94 | 80-120 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 50.0 | 47.5 |  | ug/L |  | 95 | 80-121 |
| Toluene | 50.0 | 48.6 |  | ug/L |  | 97 | 80-113 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 49.5 |  | ug/L |  | 99 | 68-128 |
| Xylenes, Total | 100 | 95.7 |  | ug/L |  | 96 | 80-120 |

LCS LCS

| Surrogate |  | \%Recovery | Qualifier |  | Limits |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) |  | 91 | $80-120$ |  |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) |  | 97 |  | $80-122$ |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) |  | 91 | $73-131$ |  |  |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 97 | $80-120$ |  |  |  |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617375/5 Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617375

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCSD <br> Result | LCSD <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 250 | 252 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 70-135 | 1 | 30 |
| Benzene | 50.0 | 52.7 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-120 | 8 | 20 |
| Carbon disulfide | 50.0 | 54.6 |  | ug/L |  | 109 | 80-120 | 12 | 20 |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.0 | 50.8 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-120 | 7 | 20 |
| Vinyl chloride | 50.0 | 59.3 |  | ug/L |  | 119 | 71-128 | 13 | 20 |
| Chloroform | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 80-120 | 5 | 20 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 54.3 |  | ug/L |  | 109 | 80-120 | 7 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 49.7 |  | ug/L |  | 99 | 80-120 | 9 | 20 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 49.9 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 80-120 | 10 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 50.0 | 50.1 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 80-120 | 7 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 57.7 |  | ug/L |  | 115 | 76-120 | 13 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 50.0 | 53.4 |  | ug/L |  | 107 | 80-120 | 5 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.0 | 52.5 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-120 | 10 | 20 |
| Methylene Chloride | 50.0 | 48.4 |  | ug/L |  | 97 | 80-120 | 5 | 20 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 250 | 247 |  | ug/L |  | 99 | 80-131 | 2 | 20 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 250 | 240 |  | ug/L |  | 96 | 76-124 | 2 | 20 |
| p-Cymene | 50.0 | 53.0 |  | ug/L |  | 106 | 80-120 | 12 | 20 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 50.0 | 52.4 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-121 | 10 | 20 |
| Toluene | 50.0 | 51.7 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-113 | 6 | 20 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 53.2 |  | ug/L |  | 106 | 68-128 | 7 | 20 |
| Xylenes, Total | 100 | 104 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-120 | 8 | 20 |

LCSD LCSD

| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 100 |  | 80-120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 103 |  | 80-122 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 93 |  | 73-131 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 107 |  | 80-120 |

## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Lab Sample ID: MB 680-617527/10 } \\ \text { Matrix: Water }\end{array} \begin{array}{r}\text { Client Sample ID: Method Blank } \\ \text { Prep Type: Total/NA }\end{array}\right.$

Analysis Batch: 617527

| Analyte | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MB } \\ \text { Result } \end{array}$ | MB <br> Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 7.0 | U | 10 | 7.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Benzene | 0.43 | U | 1.0 | 0.43 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Carbon disulfide | 1.0 | U | 2.0 | 1.0 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.26 | U | 1.0 | 0.26 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Chloroform | 0.50 | U | 1.0 | 0.50 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.41 | U | 1.0 | 0.41 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.37 | U | 1.0 | 0.37 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.46 | U | 1.0 | 0.46 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.38 | U | 1.0 | 0.38 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.36 | U | 1.0 | 0.36 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.67 | U | 1.0 | 0.67 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.33 | U | 1.0 | 0.33 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Methylene Chloride | 2.92 | J | 5.0 | 2.5 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 3.4 | U | 10 | 3.4 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 2.1 | U | 10 | 2.1 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| p-Cymene | 0.48 | U | 1.0 | 0.48 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.74 | $U$ | 1.0 | 0.74 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Toluene | 0.48 | U | 1.0 | 0.48 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 2.5 | U | 5.0 | 2.5 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |
| Xylenes, Total | 0.23 | U | 1.0 | 0.23 | ug/L |  |  | 05/05/20 13:17 | 1 |


| Prepared |  | Analyzed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dil Fac |  |
| $05 / 05 / 2013: 17$ |  | 1 |  |
|  | $05 / 05 / 2013: 17$ |  | 1 |
|  | $05 / 05 / 2013: 17$ |  | 1 |
|  | $05 / 05 / 2013: 17$ |  | 1 |

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617527/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617527

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCS <br> Result | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 250 | 250 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 70-135 |
| Benzene | 50.0 | 52.3 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-120 |
| Carbon disulfide | 50.0 | 54.7 |  | ug/L |  | 109 | 80-120 |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.0 | 50.1 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 80-120 |
| Vinyl chloride | 50.0 | 57.6 |  | ug/L |  | 115 | 71-128 |
| Chloroform | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 80-120 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 52.8 |  | ug/L |  | 106 | 80-120 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 48.8 |  | ug/L |  | 98 | 80-120 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 47.7 |  | ug/L |  | 95 | 80-120 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 50.0 | 50.3 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 80-120 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 54.4 |  | ug/L |  | 109 | 76-120 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 50.0 | 53.5 |  | ug/L |  | 107 | 80-120 |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.0 | 51.7 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-120 |
| Methylene Chloride | 50.0 | 51.4 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-120 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 250 | 249 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 80-131 |

## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617527

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCS <br> Result | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 250 | 241 |  | ug/L |  | 97 | 76-124 |
| p-Cymene | 50.0 | 50.8 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-120 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 50.0 | 52.6 |  | ug/L |  | 105 | 80-121 |
| Toluene | 50.0 | 51.9 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-113 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 50.3 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 68-128 |
| Xylenes, Total | 100 | 102 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-120 |

LCS LCS

| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 94 |  | 80-120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 101 |  | 80-122 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 91 |  | 73-131 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 105 |  | 80-120 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617527/5
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617527

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCSD <br> Result | LCSD <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone | 250 | 254 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 70-135 | 2 | 30 |
| Benzene | 50.0 | 51.9 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Carbon disulfide | 50.0 | 54.8 |  | ug/L |  | 110 | 80-120 | 0 | 20 |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.0 | 50.3 |  | ug/L |  | 101 | 80-120 | 0 | 20 |
| Vinyl chloride | 50.0 | 58.0 |  | ug/L |  | 116 | 71-128 | 1 | 20 |
| Chloroform | 50.0 | 49.2 |  | ug/L |  | 98 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 51.2 |  | ug/L |  | 102 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 48.9 |  | ug/L |  | 98 | 80-120 | 0 | 20 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 46.6 |  | ug/L |  | 93 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 50.0 | 49.5 |  | ug/L |  | 99 | 80-120 | 2 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.0 | 54.4 |  | ug/L |  | 109 | 76-120 | 0 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 50.0 | 52.0 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.0 | 52.2 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Methylene Chloride | 50.0 | 49.9 |  | ug/L |  | 100 | 80-120 | 3 | 20 |
| Methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) | 250 | 247 |  | ug/L |  | 99 | 80-131 | 1 | 20 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | 250 | 242 |  | ug/L |  | 97 | 76-124 | 0 | 20 |
| p-Cymene | 50.0 | 51.3 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-120 | 1 | 20 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 50.0 | 51.8 |  | ug/L |  | 104 | 80-121 | 2 | 20 |
| Toluene | 50.0 | 51.5 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-113 | 1 | 20 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 50.0 | 51.4 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 68-128 | 2 | 20 |
| Xylenes, Total | 100 | 103 |  | ug/L |  | 103 | 80-120 | 0 | 20 |

LCSD LCSD

| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 94 |  | 80-120 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 99 |  | 80-122 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 89 |  | 73-131 |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 105 |  | 80-120 |

## Method: 6020A - Metals (ICP/MS)



Method: SM 2340B - Total Hardness (as CaCO3) by calculation


## Method: 2320B-2011 - Alkalinity, Total



Method: 2320B-2011 - Alkalinity, Total (Continued)

|  | Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617538/35 |  |  | Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix: Water |  |  |  | Prep Type: Total/NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Batch: 617538 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spike | LCSD | LCSD |  |  |  | \%Rec. |  | RPD |
| Analyte | Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | Limits | RPD | Limit |
| Alkalinity | 250 | 251 |  | mg/L |  | 100 | 90-112 | 1 | 30 |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617538/62
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Matrix: Water
Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617538
Analyte
Alkalinity

Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-4 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617538

| Analyte | Sample <br> Result | Sample <br> Qualifier | DU <br> Result | DU <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | RPD | RPD <br> Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alkalinity | 97 |  | 96.6 |  | mg/L |  | 0.9 | 30 |
| Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 97 |  | 96.6 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 0.9 | 30 |
| Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO 3 | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | U | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | NC | 30 |
| Hydroxide Alkalinity | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | U | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | NC | 30 |
| Carbon Dioxide, Free | 120 |  | 112 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 6 | 30 |
| Phenolphthalein Alkalinity | 5.0 | U | 5.0 | U | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | NC | 30 |
| Bicarbonate ion as HCO3 | 120 |  | 118 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 0.9 | 30 |

## Method: 2540 D-2011 - Total Suspended Solids (Dried at $103-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

Lab Sample ID: MB 680-617172/1 Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617172


Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617172/2
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample
Matrix: Water
Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617172


Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617172/3
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617172

|  | Spike | LCSD | LCSD |  |  |  | \%Rec. |  | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | Limits | RPD | Limit |
| Total Suspended Solids | 951 | 956 |  | mg/L |  | 101 | 80-120 | 1 | 25 |

Method: 9040C - pH

| Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617402/3 Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 617402 |  |  |  | Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Prep Type: Total/NA |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spike <br> Added |  | LCS | Unit | D | \%Rec. |  |  |  |
| Analyte |  |  | Qualifier |  |  | \%Rec | Limits |  |  |
| pH | 7.01 | 7.2 |  | SU | 102 |  | 63-158 |  |  |
| Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617402/21 |  |  |  | Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matrix: Water |  |  |  | Prep Type: Total/NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Batch: 617402 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spike | LCSD | LCSD | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. |  | RPD |
| Analyte | Added | Result | Qualifier |  |  |  | Limits | RPD | Limit |
| pH | 7.01 | 7.1 |  | SU | 102 |  | 63-158 | 0 | 40 |

## GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 617331

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183249-1 | MPE-GW-042820-1 | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| MB 680-617331/8 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| LCS 680-617331/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| LCSD 680-617331/5 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |

Analysis Batch: 617337
Lab Sample ID
Client Sample ID
MPE-GW-042820-3
Method Blank
Lab Control Sample
Lab Control Sample Dup

| Prep Type | Matrix |  | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total/NA | Water | 8260 B |
| Total/NA | Water | 8260 B |  |
| Total/NA | Water | 8260 B |  |
| Total/NA | Water | 8260 B |  |

Analysis Batch: 617375

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183249-1 - DL | MPE-GW-042820-1 | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| 680-183249-2 | MPE-GW-042820-2 | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| 680-183249-3 - DL | MPE-GW-042820-3 | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| MB 680-617375/10 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| LCS 680-617375/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| LCSD 680-617375/5 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| Analysis Batch: 617527 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| 680-183249-2 - DL | MPE-GW-042820-2 | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| 680-183249-4 | MPE-GW-042820-4 | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| MB 680-617527/10 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| LCS 680-617527/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| LCSD 680-617527/5 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |

## Metals

## Prep Batch: 617517



## Metals

Analysis Batch: 617810


## Analysis Batch: 617172

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183249-1 | MPE-GW-042820-1 | Total/NA | Water | 2540 D-2011 |  |
| 680-183249-2 | MPE-GW-042820-2 | Total/NA | Water | 2540 D-2011 |  |
| 680-183249-3 | MPE-GW-042820-3 | Total/NA | Water | 2540 D-2011 |  |
| 680-183249-4 | MPE-GW-042820-4 | Total/NA | Water | 2540 D-2011 |  |
| MB 680-617172/1 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 2540 D-2011 |  |
| LCS 680-617172/2 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 2540 D-2011 |  |
| LCSD 680-617172/3 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 2540 D-2011 |  |

Analysis Batch: 617402

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183249-1 | MPE-GW-042820-1 | Total/NA | Water | 9040C |  |
| 680-183249-2 | MPE-GW-042820-2 | Total/NA | Water | 9040C |  |
| 680-183249-3 | MPE-GW-042820-3 | Total/NA | Water | 9040C |  |
| 680-183249-4 | MPE-GW-042820-4 | Total/NA | Water | 9040C |  |
| LCS 680-617402/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 9040C |  |
| LCSD 680-617402/21 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 9040C |  |
| Analysis Batch: 617538 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| 680-183249-1 | MPE-GW-042820-1 | Total/NA | Water | 2320B-2011 |  |
| 680-183249-2 | MPE-GW-042820-2 | Total/NA | Water | 2320B-2011 |  |
| 680-183249-3 | MPE-GW-042820-3 | Total/NA | Water | 2320B-2011 |  |
| 680-183249-4 | MPE-GW-042820-4 | Total/NA | Water | 2320B-2011 |  |
| MB 680-617538/36 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 2320B-2011 |  |
| LCS 680-617538/37 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 2320B-2011 |  |
| LCSD 680-617538/35 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 2320B-2011 |  |
| LCSD 680-617538/62 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 2320B-2011 |  |
| 680-183249-4 DU | MPE-GW-042820-4 | Total/NA | Water | 2320B-2011 |  |

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: Hercules/Pinova Brunswick Facility
Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-1
Date Collected: 04/28/20 15:45
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-1

Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30


Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-2
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-2
Date Collected: 04/28/20 15:45
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| Prep Type | Batch <br> Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dil } \\ \text { Factor } \end{array}$ | Initial <br> Amount | Final <br> Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instru | 8260B <br> ID: CMSB |  | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617375 | 05/04/20 16:15 | P1C | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instru | 8260B <br> ID: CMSB | DL | 2 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617527 | 05/05/20 16:46 | P1C | TAL SAV |
| Total Recoverable | Prep | 3005A |  |  | 50 mL | 250 mL | 617517 | 05/04/20 17:24 | BCB | TAL SAV |
| Total Recoverable | Analysis Instru | 6020A <br> ID: ICPMSD |  | 1 |  |  | 617660 | 05/05/20 17:49 | BJB | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instru | SM 2340B <br> ID: NOEQUIP |  | 1 |  |  | 617810 | 05/06/20 17:47 | BCB | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instru | 2320B-2011 <br> ID: MANTECH |  | 1 |  |  | 617538 | 05/04/20 21:34 | DR | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instru | 2540 D-2011 <br> ID: NOEQUIP |  | 1 | 42 mL | 1000 mL | 617172 | 05/01/20 07:53 | PG | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instru | $9040 \mathrm{C}$ <br> ID: MANTECH |  | 1 |  |  | 617402 | 05/01/20 16:27 | ALG | TAL SAV |

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-3
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-3
Date Collected: 04/28/20 17:30
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30


Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc. Project/Site: Hercules/Pinova Brunswick Facility

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-3
Date Collected: 04/28/20 17:30
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-3

Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| Prep Type | Batch <br> Type | Batch Method | Run | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dil } \\ \text { Factor } \end{array}$ | Initial <br> Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis | 8260B |  | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617337 | 05/03/20 17:55 | Y1S | TAL SAV |
| Total Recoverable | Prep | 3005A |  |  | 50 mL | 250 mL | 617517 | 05/04/20 17:24 | BCB | TAL SAV |
| Total Recoverable | Analysis Instrum | $6020 \mathrm{~A}$ <br> ID: ICPMSD |  | 1 |  |  | 617660 | 05/05/20 17:53 | BJB | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | SM 2340B <br> ID: NOEQUIP |  | 1 |  |  | 617810 | 05/06/20 17:47 | BCB | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 2320B-2011 <br> ID: MANTECH |  | 1 |  |  | 617538 | 05/04/20 21:42 | DR | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 2540 D-2011 <br> ID: NOEQUIP |  | 1 | 135 mL | 1000 mL | 617172 | 05/01/20 07:53 | PG | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | $9040 \mathrm{C}$ <br> ID: MANTECH |  | 1 |  |  | 617402 | 05/01/20 16:31 | ALG | TAL SAV |

Client Sample ID: MPE-GW-042820-4
Lab Sample ID: 680-183249-4 Matrix: Water
Date Collected: 04/28/20 18:20
Date Received: 04/30/20 09:30

| Prep Type | Batch <br> Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dil } \\ \text { Factor } \end{array}$ | Initial <br> Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 8260B <br> ID: CMSB |  | 50 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617527 | 05/05/20 17:33 | P1C | TAL SAV |
| Total Recoverable | Prep | 3005A |  |  | 50 mL | 250 mL | 617517 | 05/04/20 17:24 | BCB | TAL SAV |
| Total Recoverable | Analysis Instrum | 6020A <br> ID: ICPMSD |  | 1 |  |  | 617660 | 05/05/20 17:56 | BJB | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | SM 2340B <br> ID: NOEQUIP |  | 1 |  |  | 617810 | 05/06/20 17:47 | ВСВ | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 2320B-2011 <br> ID: MANTECH |  | 1 |  |  | 617538 | 05/04/20 21:48 | DR | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 2540 D-2011 <br> ID: NOEQUIP |  | 1 | 500 mL | 1000 mL | 617172 | 05/01/20 07:53 | PG | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 9040C <br> ID: MANTECH |  | 1 |  |  | 617402 | 05/01/20 16:35 | ALG | TAL SAV |

## Laboratory References:

TAL SAV = Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah, 5102 LaRoche Avenue, Savannah, GA 31404, TEL (912)354-7858
Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah 5102 LaRoche Avenue
Savannah. GA 31404
Phone 912-354-7858 Fax 912-352-0165
Client Information
Client Contact
Ali Ciblak
Company
Geosyntec Consultants. Inc.
1255 Roberts Blvd, NW Suite 200
Kennesaw
GA, 30144
$857-241-7216$
aciblak@geosyntec com
Project Name
Ashland - BrunswickTotal/TCLP Water

| Ashland - BrunswickTotal/TCLP Water | 68022943 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | SSOWH |

Itercules/Pinova Brunswick Facility


 | $\frac{\Phi}{0}$ | $\frac{\Phi}{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $\frac{0}{3}$ |
| 3 |  | $\frac{ \pm}{40}$

3 あ
$\frac{0}{10}$

3 | $\frac{\square}{0}$ |
| :--- |
| $\frac{0}{3}$ |

 Water
Chain of Custody Record
$857-241-7216$
b b b -


$\therefore$ eurofins

## Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Login Number: 183249
List Source: Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah
List Number: 1
Creator: Banda, Christy S

| Question | Answer Comment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is </= background as measured by a survey meter. | N/A |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | True |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | True |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True |
| Samples were received on ice. | True |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True |
| COC is present. | True |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | N/A |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4"). | N/A |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A |

# Accreditation/Certification Summary 

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: Hercules/Pinova Brunswick Facility

## Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah

The accreditations/certifications listed below are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Florida | NELAP | E87052 | $06-30-20$ |
| Georgia | State | E87052 | $06-30-20$ |

## Environment Testing America

## ANALYTICAL REPORT

Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah
5102 LaRoche Avenue
Savannah, GA 31404
Tel: (912)354-7858
Laboratory Job ID: 680-183351-1
Client Project/Site: Ashland - Brunswick Plant Waters
For:
Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
1255 Roberts Blvd, NW
Suite 200
Kennesaw, Georgia 30144
Attn: Adria Reimer


Authorized for release by: 5/18/2020 2:27:29 PM
Willie Hallmon, Project Manager I (813)885-7427
willie.hallmon@testamericainc.com
Designee for
Jerry Lanier, Project Manager I
(912)250-0281
jerry.lanier@testamericainc.com

Review your project results through Total Access

## Have a Question?

The test results in this report meet all 2003 NELAC and 2009 TNI requirements for accredited parameters, exceptions are noted in this report. This report may not be reproduced except in full, and with written approval from the laboratory. For questions please contact the Project Manager at the e-mail address or telephone number listed on this page.

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

## Visit us at:

www.eurofinsus.com/Env
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## Job ID: 680-183351-1

Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah

## Narrative

## CASE NARRATIVE

## Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.

Project: Ashland - Brunswick Plant Waters

## Report Number: 680-183351-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In the event of interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples may be diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

## RECEIPT

The samples were received on 05/01/2020; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the coolers at receipt was 5.1 C .

## TCLP VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (GC-MS)

Sample MPE_WC_043020 (680-183351-10) was analyzed for TCLP volatile organic compounds (GC-MS) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Methods 1311/8260B. The samples were leached on 05/06/2020 and analyzed on 05/06/2020.

Sample MPE_WC_043020 (680-183351-10)[20X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.
No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

## PESTICIDES (TCLP)

Sample MPE_WC_043020 (680-183351-10) was analyzed for Pesticides (TCLP) in accordance with EPA SW-846 Method $1311 / 8081 B \_8082 A$. The samples were leached on 05/06/2020, prepared on 05/07/2020 and analyzed on 05/11/2020.

This method incorporates 2nd column confirmation. Corrective action is not taken for surrogate/spike compounds unless results from both columns are unacceptable. Results outside criteria are qualified.

The laboratory control sample (LCS) and / or laboratory control sample duplicate (LCSD) for preparation batch 680-617699 and 680-617920 and analytical batch 680-618275 recovered outside control limits for the following analyte: Methoxychlor. This analyte was biased high in the LCS and was not detected in the associated samples; therefore, the data has been reported

Refer to the QC report for details.
No additional analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.
Methoxychlor failed the recovery criteria high for LCS 680-617920/11-A. Methoxychlor failed the recovery criteria high for LCSD 680-617920/12-A. Refer to the QC report for details.

## IGNITABILITY

Sample MPE_WC_043020 (680-183351-10) was analyzed for ignitability in accordance with EPA SW846 Method 1010A. The samples were analyzed on 05/18/2020.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

## Job ID: 680-183351-1 (Continued)

## Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah (Continued)

## ANIONS BY ION CHROMATOGRAPHY (28 DAY)

Samples INJ_BT_042920 (680-183351-1), INJ_MW24_042920 (680-183351-2), INJ_MPE01_042920 (680-183351-3), INJ_OW02_042920 (680-183351-4), INJ_MW23_042920 (680-183351-5), INJ_MW24_043020 (680-183351-6), INJ_MPE01_043020 (680-183351-7), INJ_OW02_043020 (680-183351-8) and INJ_MW23_043020 (680-183351-9) were analyzed for Anions by lon Chromatography (28 Day) in accordance with EPA Method 300.0. The samples were analyzed on 05/05/2020, 05/06/2020 and 05/08/2020.

Method 300_ORGFM_28D: The following samples was diluted due to color and appearance: INJ_MPE01_042920 (680-183351-3), INJ_OW02_042920 (680-183351-4), INJ_OW02_043020 (680-183351-8), INJ_MW23_043020 (680-183351-9). Elevated reporting limits (RL) are provide

Samples INJ_BT_042920 (680-183351-1)[10X], INJ_MPE01_042920 (680-183351-3)[5X], INJ_OW02_042920 (680-183351-4)[5X], INJ_OW02_043020 (680-183351-8)[10X] and INJ_MW23_043020 (680-183351-9)[5X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

No analytical or quality issues were noted, other than those described above or in the Definitions/Glossary page.

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Matrix | Collected | Received | Asset ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183351-1 | INJ_BT_042920 | Water | 04/29/20 09:58 | 05/01/20 09:40 |  |
| 680-183351-2 | INJ_MW24_042920 | Water | 04/29/20 18:00 | 05/01/20 09:40 |  |
| 680-183351-3 | INJ_MPE01_042920 | Water | 04/29/20 18:10 | 05/01/20 09:40 |  |
| 680-183351-4 | INJ_OW02_042920 | Water | 04/29/20 18:20 | 05/01/20 09:40 |  |
| 680-183351-5 | INJ_MW23_042920 | Water | 04/29/20 18:30 | 05/01/20 09:40 |  |
| 680-183351-6 | INJ_MW24_043020 | Water | 04/30/20 09:05 | 05/01/20 09:40 |  |
| 680-183351-7 | INJ_MPE01_043020 | Water | 04/30/20 09:10 | 05/01/20 09:40 |  |
| 680-183351-8 | INJ_OW02_043020 | Water | 04/30/20 09:15 | 05/01/20 09:40 |  |
| 680-183351-9 | INJ_MW23_043020 | Water | 04/30/20 09:20 | 05/01/20 09:40 |  |
| 680-183351-10 | MPE_WC_043020 | Water | 04/30/20 12:40 | 05/01/20 09:40 |  |


| Method | Method Description | Protocol | Laboratory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8260B | Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) | SW846 | TAL SAV |
| 8081B/8082A | Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Gas Chromatography | SW846 | TAL SAV |
| 300.0-1993 R2.1 | Anions, Ion Chromatography | MCAWW | TAL SAV |
| 1010A | Ignitability, Pensky-Martens Closed-Cup Method | SW846 | TAL CAN |
| 1311 | TCLP Extraction | SW846 | TAL SAV |
| 3520C | Liquid-Liquid Extraction (Continuous) | SW846 | TAL SAV |
| 5030B | Purge and Trap | SW846 | TAL SAV |

## Protocol References:

MCAWW = "Methods For Chemical Analysis Of Water And Wastes", EPA-600/4-79-020, March 1983 And Subsequent Revisions.
SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

## Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396
TAL SAV = Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah, 5102 LaRoche Avenue, Savannah, GA 31404, TEL (912)354-7858

## Qualifiers



| Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| * | LCS or LCSD is outside acceptance limits. |
| F1 | MS and/or MSD recovery exceeds control limits. |
| p | The \%RPD between the primary and confirmation column/detector is $>40 \%$. The lower value has been reported. |
| U | Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected. |
| HPLC/IC <br> Qualifier | Qualifier Description |
| U | Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected. |

## Glossary

| Abbreviation | These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this re |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis |
| \%R | Percent Recovery |
| CFL | Contains Free Liquid |
| CNF | Contains No Free Liquid |
| DER | Duplicate Error Ratio (normalized absolute difference) |
| Dil Fac | Dilution Factor |
| DL | Detection Limit (DoD/DOE) |
| DL, RA, RE, IN | Indicates a Dilution, Re-analysis, Re-extraction, or additional Initial metals/anion analysis of the sample |
| DLC | Decision Level Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| EDL | Estimated Detection Limit (Dioxin) |
| LOD | Limit of Detection (DoD/DOE) |
| LOQ | Limit of Quantitation (DoD/DOE) |
| MDA | Minimum Detectable Activity (Radiochemistry) |
| MDC | Minimum Detectable Concentration (Radiochemistry) |
| MDL | Method Detection Limit |
| ML | Minimum Level (Dioxin) |
| MQL | Method Quantitation Limit |
| NC | Not Calculated |
| ND | Not Detected at the reporting limit (or MDL or EDL if shown) |
| PQL | Practical Quantitation Limit |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RER | Relative Error Ratio (Radiochemistry) |
| RL | Reporting Limit or Requested Limit (Radiochemistry) |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points |
| TEF | Toxicity Equivalent Factor (Dioxin) |
| TEQ | Toxicity Equivalent Quotient (Dioxin) |

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Job ID: 680-183351-1
Project/Site: Ashland - Brunswick Plant Waters

| Client Sample ID: INJ_BT_042920 |  |  |  |  |  | Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| Bromide | 210 |  | 5.0 |  | mg/L | 10 |  | 300.0-1 | Total/NA |


| Client | 4292 |  |  |  |  | Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| Bromide | 0.52 |  | 0.50 |  | mg/L | 1 |  | 300.0-1 | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MPE01_042920 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-3
[No Detections.

| Client | 4292 |  |  |  | Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| Bromide | 2.6 |  | 2.5 |  | mg/L | 5 |  | 300.0-1993 R2.1 | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MW23_042920 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-5

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromide | 1.1 |  | 0.50 |  | mg/L | 1 |  | 300.0-1993 R2.1 | Total/NA |
| Client Sample ID: INJ_MW24_043020 |  |  |  |  |  | Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-6 |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| Bromide | 1.2 |  | 0.50 |  | mg/L | 1 |  | 300.0-1993 R2.1 | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MPE01_043020 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-7

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac | D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromide | 0.97 |  | 0.50 |  | mg/L | 1 |  | 300.0-1993 R2.1 | Total/NA |

Client Sample ID: INJ_OW02_043020
Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-8
[No Detections.
Client Sample ID: INJ_MW23_043020 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-9

## [ No Detections.

Client Sample ID: MPE_WC_043020
Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-10

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | Dil Fac D | Method | Prep Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 0.12 |  | 0.020 |  | mg/L | 20 | 8260B | TCLP |
| Flashpoint | >200 |  | 1.00 |  | Degrees F | 1 | 1010A | Total/NA |


Client Sample ID: INJ_MW24_042920 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-2

Date Collected: 04/29/20 18:00
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Method: 300.0-1993 R2.1-A | Ch | omatog |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Bromide | 0.52 |  | 0.50 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 03:15 | 1 |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MPE01_042920 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-3

Date Collected: 04/29/20 18:10
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

Client Sample ID: INJ_OW02_042920 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-4

Date Collected: 04/29/20 18:20
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40
Method: 300.0-1993 R2.1 - Anions, Ion Chromatography

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromide | 2.6 |  | 2.5 |  | mg/L |  |  | 5/05/20 20:31 |  |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MW23_042920 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-5

Date Collected: 04/29/20 18:30
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

Client Sample ID: INJ_MW24_043020 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-6

Date Collected: 04/30/20 09:05
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40
Method: 300.0-1993 R2.1 - Anions, Ion Chromatography

| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromide | 1.2 |  | 50 |  | mg/L |  |  | 5/06/20 03:41 |  |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MPE01_043020 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-7

Date Collected: 04/30/20 09:10
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

Client Sample ID: INJ_OW02_043020 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-8

Date Collected: 04/30/20 09:15
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40


| Client Sample ID: INJ_MW23_043020 | Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-9 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Matrix: Water |  |

## Client Sample ID: MPE_WC_043020

 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-10Date Collected: 04/30/20 12:40
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) - TCLP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| Chloroform | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| Benzene | 0.12 |  | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | 0.20 | U | 0.20 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 0.10 | U | 0.10 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |  |  |  | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 97 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 83 |  | 73-131 |  |  |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 96 |  | 80-122 |  |  |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 107 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/06/20 18:55 | 20 |




Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Job ID: 680-183351-1
Project/Site: Ashland - Brunswick Plant Waters
Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)
Matrix: Water


Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)
Matrix: Water
Prep Type: TCLP

|  |  | Percent Surrogate Recovery (Acceptance Limits) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOL } \\ (80-120) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DCA } \\ (73-131) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DBFM } \\ (80-122) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BFB } \\ (80-120) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 680-183351-10 | MPE_WC_043020 | 97 | 83 | 96 | 107 |  |
| LB 680-617697/1-A | Method Blank | 93 | 84 | 103 | 101 |  |
| Surrogate Legend |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOL = Toluene-d8 (Surr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DCA $=1,2$-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BFB $=4$-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Method: 8081B/8082A - Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Gas

 ChromatographyMatrix: Water
Prep Type: Total/NA


Surrogate Legend
TCX = Tetrachloro-m-xylene
DCBP = DCB Decachlorobiphenyl

## Method: 8081B/8082A - Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Gas

## Chromatography

Matrix: Water Prep Type: TCLP


## Surrogate Summary

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: Ashland - Brunswick Plant Waters
DCBP = DCB Decachlorobiphenyl
Method: 8081B/8082A - Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Gas Chromatography
Matrix: Water
Prep Type: TCLP


Method: 8081B/8082A - Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Gas Chromatography
Matrix: Water


## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 680-617669/10
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617669

| Analyte |  | MB <br> Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.0010 | U | 0.0010 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.0010 | U | 0.0010 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.0010 | U | 0.0010 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.0010 | U | 0.0010 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 0.0010 | U | 0.0010 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| Chloroform | 0.0010 | U | 0.0010 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| Benzene | 0.0010 | U | 0.0010 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.0010 | U | 0.0010 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.0010 | U | 0.0010 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | 0.010 | U | 0.010 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.0010 | U | 0.0010 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 0.0050 | U | 0.0050 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 13:31 | 1 |

MB MB

| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 106 |  | 80-120 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 84 |  | 73-131 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 97 |  | 80-122 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 94 |  | 80-120 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617669/4
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617669

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS Result | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.0500 | 0.0469 |  | mg/L |  | 94 | 80-120 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.0500 | 0.0471 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 94 | 72-128 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.0500 | 0.0502 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 100 | 80-120 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.0500 | 0.0469 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 94 | 71-123 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 0.0500 | 0.0476 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 95 | 67-125 |
| Chloroform | 0.0500 | 0.0487 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 97 | 80-120 |
| Benzene | 0.0500 | 0.0517 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 103 | 80-120 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.0500 | 0.0484 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 97 | 80-129 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.0500 | 0.0466 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 93 | 80-120 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | 0.250 | 0.264 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 106 | 79-125 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.0500 | 0.0517 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 103 | 80-120 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 0.0500 | 0.0508 |  | mg/L |  | 102 | 71-131 |

LCS LCS

| Surrogate |  | \%Recovery | Qualifier |  | Limits |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 109 |  | $80-120$ |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) |  | 91 |  | $73-131$ |  |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) |  | 101 | 84 | $80-122$ |  |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) |  | 84 | $80-120$ |  |  |

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

| Prepared |  | Analyzed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dil Fac |  |
|  | $05 / 06 / 2013: 31$ |  | 1 |
|  | $05 / 06 / 2013: 31$ |  | 1 |
|  | $05 / 06 / 2013: 31$ |  | 1 |
|  | $05 / 06 / 2013: 31$ | 1 |  |

Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA
\%Rec.
imits

72-128

71-123
67-125

- 120


## Method: 8260B - Volatile Organic Compounds (GC/MS) (Continued)



Lab Sample ID: LB 680-617697/1-A
Matrix: Water
Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Prep Type: TCLP
Analysis Batch: 617669

| Analyte | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LB } \\ \text { Result } \end{array}$ | LB <br> Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| Chloroform | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| Benzene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | 0.20 | U | 0.20 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.020 | U | 0.020 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 0.10 | U | 0.10 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| Surrogate | \%Recovery | LB <br> Qualifier | Limits |  |  |  | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Toluene-d8 (Surr) | 93 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (Surr) | 84 |  | 73-131 |  |  |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| Dibromofluoromethane (Surr) | 103 |  | 80-122 |  |  |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (Surr) | 101 |  | 80-120 |  |  |  |  | 05/06/20 17:45 | 20 |

## Method: 8081B/8082A - Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Gas

 Chromatography| Lab Sample ID: MB 680Matrix: Water Analysis Batch: 618275 |  |  |  |  |  | Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA Prep Batch: 617920 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MB } \\ \text { Result } \end{array}$ | MB <br> Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.000025 | U | 0.000025 |  | mg/L |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:27 | 1 |
| Chlordane (technical) | 0.00025 | U | 0.00025 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:27 | 1 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.000025 | U | 0.000025 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:27 | 1 |
| Endrin | 0.000025 | U | 0.000025 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:27 | 1 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.000025 | U | 0.000025 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:27 | 1 |
| Heptachlor | 0.000025 | U | 0.000025 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:27 | 1 |
| Toxaphene | 0.0025 | U | 0.0025 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:27 | 1 |


| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 74 |  | 40-130 | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:27 |  |
| DCB Decachlorobiphenyl | 63 |  | 14-130 | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:27 |  |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617920/11-A
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618275

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCS <br> Result | LCS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.0000500 | 0.0000643 |  | mg/L |  | 129 | 52-130 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.0000500 | 0.0000509 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 102 | 52-130 |
| Endrin | 0.0000500 | 0.0000648 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 130 | 59-143 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.0000500 | 0.0000741 | * | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 148 | 52-136 |
| Heptachlor | 0.0000500 | 0.0000530 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 106 | 35-130 |

LCS LCS

| Surrogate |  | \%Recovery | Qualifier |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 74 | Limits |  |
| Tetrachloro-m-xylene |  | $40-130$ |  |  |
| DCB Decachlorobiphenyl | 84 | $14-130$ |  |  |

Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617920/12-A
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup Prep Type: Total/NA Prep Batch: 617920 \%Rec. RPD
Analysis Batch: 618275

| Analyte | Spike <br> Added | LCSD <br> Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Limit } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.0000500 | 0.0000560 |  | mg/L |  | 112 | 52-130 | 14 | 50 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.0000500 | 0.0000410 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 82 | 52-130 | 22 | 50 |
| Endrin | 0.0000500 | 0.0000647 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 129 | 59-143 | 0 | 50 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.0000500 | 0.0000811 | * | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 162 | 52-136 | 9 | 50 |
| Heptachlor | 0.0000500 | 0.0000432 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 86 | 35-130 | 20 | 50 |

Method: 8081B/8082A - Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Gas Chromatography (Continued)

| Lab Sample ID: LB 680-617699/1-C <br> Matrix: Water <br> Analysis Batch: 618275 | LB LB |  |  |  |  | Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: TCLP Prep Batch: 617920 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.0012 | U | 0.0012 |  | mg/L |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:12 | 1 |
| Chlordane (technical) | 0.012 | U | 0.012 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:12 | 1 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.0012 | U | 0.0012 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:12 | 1 |
| Endrin | 0.0012 | U | 0.0012 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:12 | 1 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.0012 | U | 0.0012 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:12 | 1 |
| Heptachlor | 0.0012 | U | 0.0012 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:12 | 1 |
| Toxaphene | 0.12 | U | 0.12 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 05/07/20 16:29 | 05/11/20 19:12 | 1 |



Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-10 MS
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618275

| Analysis Batch: 618275 Analyte | Sample Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike <br> Added | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MS } \\ \text { Result } \end{array}$ | MS <br> Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.0012 | UF1 | 0.00235 | 0.00332 | F1 | mg/L |  | 141 | 52-130 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.0012 | U | 0.00235 | 0.00267 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 114 | 52-130 |
| Endrin | 0.0012 | UF1 | 0.00235 | 0.00231 | p | mg/L |  | 99 | 59-143 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.0012 | UF1* | 0.00235 | 0.00355 | F1 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | 151 | 52-136 |
| Heptachlor | 0.0012 | U | 0.00235 | 0.00293 |  | mg/L |  | 125 | 35-130 |


|  | MS MS |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Surrogate |  |  |  |

Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-10 MSD
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618275

| Analyte | Sample <br> Result | Sample Qualifier | Spike <br> Added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heptachlor epoxide | 0.0012 | UF1 | 0.00246 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 0.0012 | U | 0.00246 |
| Endrin | 0.0012 | UF1 | 0.00246 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.0012 | UF1 * | 0.00246 |
| Heptachlor | 0.0012 | U | 0.00246 |
|  | MSD | MSD |  |
| Surrogate | \%Recovery | Qualifier | Limits |
| Tetrachloro-m-xylene | 69 |  | 40-130 |
| DCB Decachlorobiphenyl | 41 |  | 14-130 |

Client Sample ID: MPE_WC_043020
Prep Type: TCLP Prep Batch: 617920 \%Rec. RPD \%Rec. RPD

| Unit | D \%Rec | Limits | RPD | Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mg/L | 92 | 52 -130 | 38 | 50 |
| mg/L | 104 | 52-130 | 5 | 50 |
| mg/L | 97 | 59-143 | 3 | 50 |
| $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 154 | 52-136 | 7 | 50 |
| mg/L | 111 | 35-130 | 7 | 50 |

## Method: 300.0-1993 R2.1 - Anions, Ion Chromatography

Lab Sample ID: MB 680-617609/2
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617609

|  | MB | MB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Bromide | 0.50 | U | 0.50 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/05/20 14:22 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617609/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617609


Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617609/4
Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Prep Type: Total/NA
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617609

|  | Spike | LCSD | LCSD |  |  |  | \%Rec. |  | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | Limits | RPD | Limit |
| Bromide | 10.0 | 10.4 |  | mg/L |  | 104 | 90-110 | 0 | 15 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 680-617654/33
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617654

|  | MB | MB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | Qualifier | RL | MDL | Unit | D | Prepared | Analyzed | Dil Fac |
| Bromide | 0.50 | U | 0.50 |  | mg/L |  |  | 05/05/20 21:59 | 1 |

Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-617654/34
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 617654


Lab Sample ID: LCSD 680-617654/35 Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Dup
Matrix: Water Prep Type: Total/NA
Analysis Batch: 617654

| Analyte | Spike Added | LCSD Result | LCSD Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | \%Rec. <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bromide | 10.0 | 10.5 |  | mg/L |  | 105 | 90-110 | 0 | 15 |

Lab Sample ID: MB 680-618000/2
Matrix: Water
Client Sample ID: Method Blank
Analysis Batch: 618000
MB MB


Lab Sample ID: LCS 680-618000/3
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 618000


## Method: 300.0-1993 R2.1 - Anions, Ion Chromatography



## Method: 1010A - Ignitability, Pensky-Martens Closed-Cup Method

| Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-434651/1 |  |  |  | Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix: Water |  |  |  | Prep Type: Total/NA |  |  |  |
| Analysis Batch: 434651 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Spike | LCS | LCS |  |  |  | \%Rec. |
| Analyte | Added | Result | Qualifier | Unit | D | \%Rec | Limits |
| Flashpoint | 81.0 | 81.00 |  | Degrees F |  | 100 | 97-103 |

Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-10 DU
Matrix: Water
Analysis Batch: 434651


## GC/MS VOA

Analysis Batch: 617669

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183351-10 | MPE_WC_043020 | TCLP | Water | 8260B | 617697 |
| LB 680-617697/1-A | Method Blank | TCLP | Water | 8260B | 617697 |
| MB 680-617669/10 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| LCS 680-617669/4 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |
| LCSD 680-617669/5 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 8260B |  |

## Leach Batch: 617697

Lab Sample ID

| Client Sample ID | Prep Type |
| :--- | :--- |
| MPE_WC_043020 | TCLP |
| Method Blank | TCLP |


| Matrix |
| :--- |
| Water |
| Water |


| Method |
| :--- |
| 1311 |
| 1311 |

## GC Semi VOA

## Leach Batch: 617699

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183351-10 | MPE_WC_043020 | TCLP | Water | 1311 |  |
| LB 680-617699/1-C | Method Blank | TCLP | Water | 1311 |  |
| 680-183351-10 MS | MPE_WC_043020 | TCLP | Water | 1311 |  |
| 680-183351-10 MSD | MPE_WC_043020 | TCLP | Water | 1311 |  |

Prep Batch: 617920

| $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Lab Sample ID } \\ \hline 680-183351-10 \\ \text { LB 680-617699/1-C } \\ \text { MB 680-617920/10-A } \\ \text { LCS 680-617920/11-A } \\ \text { LCSD 680-617920/12-A } \\ 680-183351-10 \text { MS } \\ 680-183351-10 \text { MSD } \\ \text { Analysis Batch: } \mathbf{6 1 8 2 7 5}\end{array}\right.$ |
| :--- |


| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183351-10 | MPE_WC_043020 | TCLP | Water | 8081B/8082A | 617920 |
| LB 680-617699/1-C | Method Blank | TCLP | Water | 8081B/8082A | 617920 |
| MB 680-617920/10-A | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 8081B/8082A | 617920 |
| LCS 680-617920/11-A | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 8081B/8082A | 617920 |
| LCSD 680-617920/12-A | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 8081B/8082A | 617920 |
| 680-183351-10 MS | MPE_WC_043020 | TCLP | Water | 8081B/8082A | 617920 |
| 680-183351-10 MSD | MPE_WC_043020 | TCLP | Water | 8081B/8082A | 617920 |

## HPLC/IC

## Analysis Batch: 617609

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183351-3 | INJ_MPE01_042920 | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| 680-183351-4 | INJ_OW02_042920 | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| 680-183351-8 | INJ_OW02_043020 | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| 680-183351-9 | INJ_MW23_043020 | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| MB 680-617609/2 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2. 1 |  |
| LCS 680-617609/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| LCSD 680-617609/4 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2. 1 |  |

## HPLC/IC

Analysis Batch: 617654

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183351-2 | INJ_MW24_042920 | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| 680-183351-5 | INJ_MW23_042920 | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| 680-183351-6 | INJ_MW24_043020 | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| 680-183351-7 | INJ_MPE01_043020 | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| MB 680-617654/33 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| LCS 680-617654/34 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| LCSD 680-617654/35 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2. 1 |  |

## Analysis Batch: 618000

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183351-1 | INJ_BT_042920 | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| MB 680-618000/2 | Method Blank | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| LCS 680-618000/3 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |
| LCSD 680-618000/4 | Lab Control Sample Dup | Total/NA | Water | 300.0-1993 R2.1 |  |

## General Chemistry

Analysis Batch: 434651

| Lab Sample ID | Client Sample ID | Prep Type | Matrix | Method | Prep Batch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 680-183351-10 | MPE_WC_043020 | Total/NA | Water | 1010A |  |
| LCS 240-434651/1 | Lab Control Sample | Total/NA | Water | 1010A |  |
| 680-183351-10 DU | MPE_WC_043020 | Total/NA | Water | 1010A |  |

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Job ID: 680-183351-1
Project/Site: Ashland - Brunswick Plant Waters
Client Sample ID: INJ_BT_042920
Date Collected: 04/29/20 09:58
Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-1
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | Dil <br> Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 300.0-1993 R2.1 <br> D: CICH |  | 10 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 618000 | 05/08/20 13:10 | CS | TAL SAV |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MW24_042920
Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-2
Date Collected: 04/29/20 18:00
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial <br> Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 300.0-1993 R2.1 <br> ID: CICK |  | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617654 | 05/06/20 03:15 | UI | TAL SAV |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MPE01_042920 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-3
Date Collected: 04/29/20 18:10
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | Dil <br> Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 300.0-1993 R2.1 <br> D: CICK |  | 5 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617609 | 05/05/20 20:18 | UI | TAL SAV |

Client Sample ID: INJ_OW02_042920
Date Collected: 04/29/20 18:20
Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-4
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | Dil <br> Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 300.0-1993 R2.1 } \\ & \text { ID: CICK } \end{aligned}$ |  | 5 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617609 | 05/05/20 20:31 | UI | TAL SAV |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MW23_042920 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-5
Date Collected: 04/29/20 18:30
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dil } \\ \text { Factor } \end{array}$ | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 300.0-1993 R2.1 } \\ & \text { ID: CICK } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617654 | 05/06/20 03:28 | UI | TAL SAV |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MW24_043020
Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-6
Date Collected: 04/30/20 09:05
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dil } \\ \text { Factor } \end{array}$ | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 300.0-1993 R2.1 <br> ID: CICK |  | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617654 | 05/06/20 03:41 | UI | TAL SAV |

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Project/Site: Ashland - Brunswick Plant Waters
Client Sample ID: INJ_MPE01_043020
Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-7
Date Collected: 04/30/20 09:10
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | Dil Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 300.0-1993 R2.1 <br> D: CICK |  | 1 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617654 | 05/06/20 03:53 | UI | TAL SAV |

Client Sample ID: INJ_OW02_043020
Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-8
Date Collected: 04/30/20 09:15
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | Dil <br> Factor | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 300.0-1993 R2.1 } \\ & \text { ID: CICK } \end{aligned}$ |  | 10 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617609 | 05/05/20 20:56 | UI | TAL SAV |

Client Sample ID: INJ_MW23_043020 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-9
Date Collected: 04/30/20 09:20
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dil } \\ \text { Factor } \end{array}$ | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 300.0-1993 R2.1 } \\ & \text { ID: CICK } \end{aligned}$ |  | 5 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617609 | 05/05/20 20:43 | UI | TAL SAV |

Client Sample ID: MPE_WC_043020 Lab Sample ID: 680-183351-10
Date Collected: 04/30/20 12:40
Matrix: Water
Date Received: 05/01/20 09:40

| Prep Type | Batch Type | Batch <br> Method | Run | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dil } \\ \text { Factor } \end{array}$ | Initial Amount | Final Amount | Batch <br> Number | Prepared or Analyzed | Analyst | Lab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TCLP | Leach | 1311 |  |  | 1.0 g | 100 mL | 617697 | 05/06/20 09:40 | JEB | TAL SAV |
| TCLP | Analysis Instrume | 8260B <br> ID: CMSB |  | 20 | 5 mL | 5 mL | 617669 | 05/06/20 18:55 | Y1S | TAL SAV |
| TCLP | Leach | 1311 |  |  | 1.0 g | 1.0 mL | 617699 | 05/06/20 09:44 | JEB | TAL SAV |
| TCLP | Prep | 3520C |  |  | 20.4 mL | 5 mL | 617920 | 05/07/20 16:29 | EHS | TAL SAV |
| TCLP | Analysis Instrume | 8081B/8082A <br> ID: CSGZ |  | 1 |  |  | 618275 | 05/11/20 20:56 | JCK | TAL SAV |
| Total/NA | Analysis Instrum | 1010A <br> ID: WHITEY |  | 1 |  |  | 434651 | 05/18/20 03:36 | TPH | TAL CAN |

## Laboratory References:

TAL CAN = Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396
TAL SAV = Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah, 5102 LaRoche Avenue, Savannah, GA 31404, TEL (912)354-7858
Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah 5102 LaRoche Avenue
Chain of Custody Record





|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\square$
Analysis Requested
upon
und
to
Eurorafins TestAmerica.

$+$





## PENSACOLA FL 32514

(850) 474-1001

REF: S0680-114997



## Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Job Number: 680-183351-1

Login Number: 183351
List Source: Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah
List Number: 1
Creator: Laughlin, Paul D

| Question | Answer Comment |
| :---: | :---: |
| Radioactivity wasn't checked or is </= background as measured by a survey meter. | N/A |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | True |
| Sample custody seals, if present, are intact. | True |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True |
| Samples were received on ice. | True |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True |
| COC is present. | True |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True |
| There are no discrepancies between the containers received and the COC. | True |
| Samples are received within Holding Time (excluding tests with immediate HTs) | True |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | N/A |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True |
| Containers requiring zero headspace have no headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4"). | N/A |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | True |

# Accreditation/Certification Summary 

Client: Geosyntec Consultants, Inc.
Job ID: 680-183351-1 Project/Site: Ashland - Brunswick Plant Waters

## Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Savannah

The accreditations/certifications listed below are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number <br> Florida$\frac{\text { Expiration Date }}{\text { NELAP }} 067052$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Laboratory: Eurofins TestAmerica, Canton

All accreditations/certifications held by this laboratory are listed. Not all accreditations/certifications are applicable to this report.

| Authority | Program | Identification Number | Expiration Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | State | 2927 | 02-23-21 |
| Connecticut | State | PH-0590 | 12-31-21 |
| Florida | NELAP | E87225 | 06-30-20 |
| Georgia | State | 4062 | 02-23-21 |
| Illinois | NELAP | 004498 | 07-31-20 |
| Iowa | State | 421 | 06-01-21 |
| Kansas | NELAP | E-10336 | 04-30-21 |
| Kentucky (UST) | State | 112225 | 02-23-21 |
| Kentucky (WW) | State | KY98016 | 12-31-20 |
| Minnesota | NELAP | OH00048 | 12-31-20 |
| Minnesota (Petrofund) | State | 3506 | 08-01-21 |
| New Jersey | NELAP | OH001 | 06-30-20 |
| New York | NELAP | 10975 | 03-31-21 |
| Ohio VAP | State | CL0024 | 06-05-21 |
| Oregon | NELAP | 4062 | 02-24-21 |
| Pennsylvania | NELAP | 68-00340 | 08-31-20 |
| Texas | NELAP | T104704517-18-10 | 08-31-20 |
| USDA | US Federal Programs | P330-18-00281 | 09-17-21 |
| Virginia | NELAP | 010101 | 09-14-20 |
| Washington | State | C971 | 01-12-21 |
| West Virginia DEP | State | 210 | 12-31-20 |


[^0]:    IWC - inches of water column
    scfm - standard cubic feet per minute
    ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ - degree fahrenheit
    $\%$-percent
    gal - gallon
    " Hg - inches of mercury

