

**GROUND-WATER QUALITY IN GEORGIA
FOR 2001**

John C. Donahue

**GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION
GEORGIA GEOLOGIC SURVEY**

**ATLANTA
2002**

CIRCULAR 12Q

GROUND-WATER QUALITY IN GEORGIA FOR 2001

John C. Donahue

The preparation of this report was funded in part through a grant from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under the provisions of Section 106 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972, as amended.

**GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
LONICE C. BARRETT, COMMISSIONER**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION
HAROLD F. REHEIS, DIRECTOR**

**GEORGIA GEOLOGIC SURVEY
WILLIAM H. McLEMORE, STATE GEOLOGIST**

**ATLANTA
2002**

CIRCULAR 12Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 1 <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1-1
1.1 Purpose and Scope	1-1
1.2 Factors Affecting Chemical Ground-Water Quality	1-2
1.3 Hydrogeologic Provinces of Georgia	1-2
1.3.1 Coastal Plain Province	1-4
1.3.2 Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province	1-4
1.3.3 Valley and Ridge Province	1-5
1.4 Regional Ground-Water Problems	1-5
CHAPTER 2 <u>GEORGIA GROUND-WATER MONITORING NETWORK</u>	2-1
2.1 Monitoring Stations	2-1
2.2 Uses and Limitations	2-1
2.3 Analyses	2-3
CHAPTER 3 <u>GROUND-WATER QUALITY IN GEORGIA</u>	3-1
3.1 Overview	3-1
3.2 Cretaceous Aquifer System	3-1
3.3 Providence Aquifer System	3-6
3.4 Clayton Aquifer System	3-6
3.5 Claiborne Aquifer System	3-9
3.6 Jacksonian Aquifer System	3-12
3.7 Floridan Aquifer System	3-16
3.8 Miocene Aquifer System	3-18
3.9 Piedmont/Blue Ridge Unconfined Aquifers	3-22
3.10 Valley and Ridge Unconfined Aquifers	3-26
CHAPTER 4 <u>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</u>	4-1
CHAPTER 5 <u>LIST OF REFERENCES</u>	5-1
APPENDIX	
Laboratory Data	
LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1-1 The Hydrogeologic Provinces of Georgia	1-3
Figure 3-1 The Seven Major Aquifer Systems of the Coastal Plain Province	3-2
Figure 3-2 Locations of Stations Monitoring the Cretaceous Aquifer System	3-3
Figure 3-3 Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Cretaceous Aquifer System	3-5

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

	<u>Page</u>	
Figure 3-4	Locations of Stations Monitoring the Providence Aquifer System	3-7
Figure 3-5	Locations of Stations Monitoring the Clayton Aquifer System	3-8
Figure 3-6	Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Clayton Aquifer System	3-10
Figure 3-7	Locations of Stations Monitoring the Claiborne Aquifer System	3-11
Figure 3-8	Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Claiborne Aquifer System	3-13
Figure 3-9	Locations of Stations Monitoring the Jacksonian Aquifer System	3-14
Figure 3-10	Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Jacksonian Aquifer System	3-15
Figure 3-11	Locations of Stations Monitoring the Floridan Aquifer System	3-17
Figure 3-12	Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Floridan Aquifer System	3-19
Figure 3-13	Locations of Stations Monitoring the Miocene Aquifer System	3-20
Figure 3-14	Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Miocene Aquifer System	3-21
Figure 3-15	Locations of Stations Monitoring the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Unconfined Aquifers	3-23
Figure 3-16	Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Unconfined Aquifer System: Piedmont Sector	3-24
Figure 3-17	Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Unconfined Aquifer System: Blue Ridge Sector	3-25
Figure 3-18	Locations of Stations Monitoring the Valley and Ridge Unconfined Aquifers	3-27
Figure 3-19	Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells and Springs in the Valley and Ridge Unconfined Aquifers	3-28

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1.	Georgia Ground-Water Monitoring Network, Calendar Year 2001.	2-2
Table 4-1.	Pollution and Contamination Incidents, Calendar Year 2001.	4-2
Table A-1.	Standard Water Quality Analyses: Anions, Volatile Organic Compounds, and Other Parameters.	A-2
Table A-2.	Optional Water Quality Analyses: Metals and Anions.	A-6
Table A-3.	2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Cretaceous Aquifer System.	A-8
Table A-4.	2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Providence Aquifer System.	A-11

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Table A-5. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Clayton Aquifer System.	A-12
Table A-6. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Claiborne Aquifer System.	A-13
Table A-7. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Jacksonian Aquifer System.	A-14
Table A-8. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Floridan Aquifer System	A-16
Table A-9. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Miocene Aquifer System.	A-25
Table A-10. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Aquifer System.	A-26
Table A-11. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Valley and Ridge Aquifer System.	A-30

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This report, covering the calendar year 2001, is the seventeenth in a series of summaries discussing the chemical quality of ground water in Georgia. These summaries are among the tools used by the Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) to assess trends in the quality of the State's ground-water resources. EPD is the State organization with regulatory responsibility for maintaining and, where possible, improving ground-water quality and availability. EPD has implemented a comprehensive statewide ground-water management policy of anti-degradation (EPD, 1991; 1998). Five components constitute EPD's ground-water quality assessment program:

1. The Georgia Ground-Water Monitoring Network. The Geologic Survey Branch of EPD maintains this program, which is designed to evaluate the ambient ground-water quality of nine aquifer systems throughout the State of Georgia. The data collected from sampling of the Ground-Water Monitoring Network form the basis for this report.
2. Sampling of public drinking water wells as part of the Safe Drinking Water Program (Water Resources Management Branch). This program provides data on the quality of ground water that the residents of Georgia are using.
3. Special studies addressing specific water quality issues. A survey of nitrite/nitrate levels in shallow wells located throughout the State of Georgia (Shellenberger, et al., 1996; Stuart, et al., 1995), operation of a Pesticide Monitoring Network, currently conducted jointly by the Geologic Survey Branch and the Georgia Department of Agriculture (GDA) (Tolford, 1999; Glen, 2001), and the domestic well water testing project (Overacre, 2001; 2002) are examples of these types of studies.
4. Ground-water sampling at environmental facilities such as municipal solid waste landfills, RCRA facilities, and sludge disposal facilities. The primary agencies responsible for monitoring these facilities are EPD's Land Protection, Water Protection, and Hazardous Waste Management Branches.
5. The wellhead protection program (WHP), which is designed to protect the area surrounding a municipal drinking water well from contaminants. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approved Georgia's WHP Plan on September 30, 1992. The WHP Plan became a part of the Georgia Safe Drinking Water Rules, effective July 1, 1993. The protection of public water supply wells from contaminants is

important not only for maintaining ground-water quality, but also for ensuring that public water supplies meet health standards.

Analyses of water samples collected for the Georgia Ground-Water Monitoring Network during calendar year 2001 and from previous years form the database for this summary. The Georgia Ground-Water Monitoring Network is comprised of 128 wells and springs. All stations are generally sampled on an annual basis, however, stations showing recent pollution or contamination may be subject to confirmatory sampling on a basis more frequent than annual. Testing for most stations is restricted to volatile organic compounds and nitrate/nitrite.

During calendar year 2001, EPD personnel collected 122 samples from 114 wells and 7 springs. A review of calendar year 2001 data and comparison of these data with those for samples collected as early as 1984 indicate that ground-water quality at most of the 128 sampling sites generally has changed little and remains excellent.

1.2 FACTORS AFFECTING CHEMICAL GROUND-WATER QUALITY

The chemical quality of ground water is the result of complex physical, chemical, and biological processes. Among the more significant controls are the chemical quality of the water entering the ground-water flow system, the reactions of infiltrating water with the soils and rocks that are encountered, and the effects of the well-and-pump system.

Most water enters the ground-water system in upland recharge areas. Water seeps through interconnected pores and joints in the soils and rocks until discharged to a surface-water body (e.g., stream, river, lake, or ocean). The initial water chemistry, the amount of recharge, and the attenuation capacity of soils have a strong influence on the quality of ground water in recharge areas. Chemical interactions between the water and the aquifer host rocks have an increasing significance with longer underground residence times. As a result, ground water from discharge areas tends to be more highly mineralized than ground water in recharge areas.

The well-and-pump system can also have a strong influence on the quality of the well water. Well casings, through compositional breakdown, can contribute metals (e.g., iron from steel casings) and organic compounds (e.g., tetrahydrofuran from PVC pipe cement) to the water. Pumps often aerate the water being discharged. An improperly constructed well can present a conduit that allows local pollutants to enter the ground-water flow system.

1.3 HYDROGEOLOGIC PROVINCES OF GEORGIA

This report defines three hydrogeologic provinces in Georgia by their general geologic and hydrologic characteristics (Figure 1-1). These provinces consist of:



Figure 1-1 The Hydrogeologic Provinces of Georgia

1. the Coastal Plain Province of south Georgia;
2. the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province, which includes all but the northwest corner of north Georgia; and
3. the Valley and Ridge Province of northwest Georgia.

1.3.1 Coastal Plain Province

Georgia's Coastal Plain Province generally comprises a wedge of loosely consolidated sediments that gently dip and thicken to the south and southeast. Ground water in the Coastal Plain Province flows through interconnected pore space between grains in unconsolidated material and through solution-enlarged voids in rock.

The oldest outcropping sedimentary formations (Cretaceous) are exposed along the Fall Line, which is the northern limit of the Coastal Plain Province. Successively younger formations occur at the surface to the south and southeast.

The Coastal Plain of Georgia contains seven major confined and unconfined aquifers. Confined aquifers are those in which a layer of impermeable material (i.e., clay or shale) overlies the aquifer and may hold the top of the water column below the level to which it would normally rise (an artesian condition). Water enters the aquifers in their updip outcrop areas, where permeable sediments of the aquifer are often exposed. Many Coastal Plain aquifers are unconfined in their updip outcrop areas, but become confined in downdip areas to the southeast, where they are overlain by successively younger rock formations. Ground-water flow through confined Coastal Plain aquifers is generally to the south and southeast, in the direction of the dip of the rocks.

The sediments forming the seven major aquifers in the Coastal Plain range in age from Cretaceous to Miocene. Horizontal and vertical changes in the permeability of the rock units that form these aquifers determine the thickness and extent of the aquifers. Several aquifers may be present in a single geographic area, forming a vertical "stack".

The Cretaceous and Jacksonian aquifer systems (primarily sands) are a common source of drinking water within a 35-mile wide band that lies adjacent to and south of the Fall Line. Southwestern Georgia relies on four vertically stacked aquifers (sands and carbonates) for drinking-water supplies: the Providence, Clayton, Claiborne and Floridan aquifer systems. The Floridan aquifer system (primarily carbonates) serves most of south-central and southeastern Georgia. The Miocene aquifer system (primarily sands) is the principal "shallow" unconfined aquifer system occupying much of the same broad area underlain by the Floridan aquifer system. It becomes confined in the coastal counties and locally in the Grady, Thomas, Brooks and Lowndes County area of south Georgia.

1.3.2 Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province

Crystalline rocks of metamorphic and igneous origin (primarily Precambrian and Paleozoic in age) underlie the Piedmont and Blue Ridge Provinces. These two provinces

differ geologically, but are discussed together here because they share common hydrologic properties. The principal water-bearing features are fractures, compositional layers, and other geologic discontinuities in the rock, as well as intergranular porosity in the overlying soil and saprolite horizons. Thick soils and saprolites are often important as the "reservoir" that supplies water to the water-bearing fracture and joint systems. Ground water typically flows from local highlands toward discharge areas along streams. However, during prolonged dry periods or in areas of heavy pumpage, surface water may flow from the streams into the ground-water systems.

1.3.3 Valley and Ridge Province

Consolidated Paleozoic sedimentary formations characterize the Valley and Ridge Province. The principal permeable features of the Valley and Ridge Province are fractures and solution voids; intergranular porosity also is important in some places. Locally, ground-water and surface-water systems closely interconnect. Dolostones and limestones of the Knox Group are the principal aquifers where they occur in the axes of broad valleys. The greater hydraulic conductivities of the thick carbonate sections in this Province, in part due to solution-enlarged joints, permit development of higher yielding wells than in the Piedmont and Blue Ridge Province.

1.4 REGIONAL GROUND-WATER PROBLEMS

Data from ground-water investigations in Georgia, including those from the Ground-Water Monitoring Network, indicate that virtually all of Georgia has shallow ground water sufficient for domestic supply. Iron, aluminum, and manganese are the only constituents that occur routinely in concentrations exceeding drinking-water standards. These metals are mainly naturally occurring and do not pose a health risk. Iron and manganese can cause reddish to brownish stains on objects.

Only a few occurrences of polluted or contaminated ground waters are known from North Georgia (see Chapter 4). Aquifers in the outcrop areas of Cretaceous sediments south of the Fall Line typically yield acidic water that may require treatment. The acidity occurs naturally and results both from the inability of the sandy aquifer sediments to neutralize acidic rainwater and from biologically influenced acid-producing reactions between infiltrating water and soils and sediments. Nitrite/nitrate concentrations in shallow ground water from the farm belt of southern Georgia are usually within drinking-water standards, but are somewhat higher than levels found in other areas of the State.

Besides the karst plain area (Dougherty Plain) in southwest Georgia, the Floridan aquifer system contains two other areas of naturally-occurring reduced ground-water quality. The first is the area of the Gulf Trough, a narrow, linear geological feature extending from southwestern Decatur County through central Bulloch County. Here, ground water is typically high in total dissolved solids and contains elevated levels

of barium, sulfate, and radionuclides. The second is the coastal area of Georgia, where influx of water with high dissolved solids content presents problems. In the Brunswick area, ground-water withdrawal from the upper Floridan results in up-coning of water with high dissolved solids content from deeper parts of the aquifer. In the Savannah region, a cone of depression caused by pumping in and around Savannah has apparently induced saline water to enter former spring mouths along the bottom of Port Royal Sound in South Carolina and to flow down-gradient toward Savannah (Krause and Clarke, 2001).

CHAPTER 2 GEORGIA GROUND-WATER MONITORING NETWORK

2.1 MONITORING STATIONS

Stations for the calendar year 2001 Ground-Water Monitoring Network are situated in the seven major aquifers and aquifer systems of the Coastal Plain Province, and in the unconfined ground-water systems of the Piedmont, Blue Ridge, and the Valley and Ridge Provinces (Table 2-1). Monitoring stations are located in three critical settings:

1. areas of surface recharge;
2. areas of potential pollution related to regional activities (e.g., agricultural and industrial areas); and
3. areas of significant ground-water use.

Most of the monitoring stations are municipal, industrial, and domestic wells that have reliable well-construction data. The Monitoring Network also includes monitoring wells in specific areas where the State's aquifers are recognized to be especially susceptible to contamination or pollution (e.g., the Dougherty Plain of southwestern Georgia and the State's coastal area).

2.2 USES AND LIMITATIONS

Regular sampling of wells and springs of the Ground-Water Monitoring Network permits analysis of ground-water quality with respect to location (spatial trends) and time of sample collection (temporal trends). Spatial trends are useful for assessing the effects of the geologic framework of the aquifer and regional land-use activities on ground-water quality. Temporal trends permit an assessment of the effects of rainfall and drought periods on ground-water quantity and quality. Both trends are useful for the detection of non-point source pollution. Non-point source pollution arises from broad-scale phenomena such as acid rain deposition and application of agricultural chemicals on crop lands.

It should be noted that the data of the Ground-Water Monitoring Network represent water quality in only limited areas of Georgia. Monitoring water quality at 128 sites located throughout Georgia provides an indication of ground-water quality at the locality sampled and at the horizon corresponding to the screened interval in the well or to the head of the spring at each station in the Monitoring Network. Caution should be exercised in drawing strict conclusions and applying any results reported in this study to ground waters that are not being monitored.

Stations of the Ground-Water Monitoring Network are intentionally located away from known point sources of pollution. The wells provide baseline data on ambient water quality in Georgia. EPD requires other forms of ground-water monitoring for activities

Table 2-1. Georgia Ground-Water Monitoring Network, Calendar Year 2001.

AQUIFER SYSTEM	NUMBER OF MONITORING STATIONS VISITED & SAMPLES TAKEN, YEAR 2001	PRIMARY STRATIGRAPHIC EQUIVALENTS	AGE OF AQUIFER FORMATIONS
Cretaceous	17 stations (17 samples)	Ripley Formation, Cusseta Sand, Blufftown Formation, Eutaw Formation, Tuscaloosa Formation, Steel Creek Formation, Gaillard Formation, Pio Nono Formation	Late Cretaceous
Providence	2 stations (2 samples)	Providence Sand	Late Cretaceous
Clayton	5 stations (5 samples)	Clayton Formation	Paleocene
Claiborne	5 stations (5 samples)	Claiborne Group	Middle Eocene
Jacksonian	8 stations (8 samples)	Barnwell Group	Late Eocene
Floridan	49 stations (50 samples)	Predominantly Suwannee Limestone and Ocala Group	Predominantly Middle Eocene to Oligocene
Miocene	6 stations (6 samples)	Predominantly Altamaha Formation and Hawthorne Group	Miocene-Recent
Piedmont/Blue Ridge	20 stations (20 samples)	Various igneous and metamorphic complexes	Predominately Paleozoic and Precambrian
Valley and Ridge	9 stations (9 samples)	Shady Dolomite, Knox Group, and Chickamauga Group	Paleozoic: Cambrian and Ordovician

that may result in point source pollution (e.g., landfills, hazardous waste facilities and land application sites) through its environmental facilities permit programs.

Ground-water quality changes gradually and predictably in the areally extensive aquifers of the Coastal Plain Province. The Monitoring Network allows for some definition of the chemical processes occurring in large confined aquifers. Unconfined aquifers in northern Georgia and the surface recharge areas of southern Georgia are of comparatively small areal extent and more open to interactions with land-use activities. The wide spacing of monitoring stations does not permit equal characterization of water-quality processes in these settings. The quality of water from monitoring wells completed in unconfined aquifers represents only the general nature of ground water in the vicinity of the monitoring wells. Ground water in the recharge areas of the Coastal Plain aquifers is the future drinking-water resource for down-flow areas. Monitoring wells in these recharge areas, in effect, constitute an early warning system for potential future water quality problems in confined portions of the Coastal Plain aquifers.

2.3 ANALYSES AND DATA RETENTION

Analyses are available for 122 water samples collected during calendar year 2001 from 121 stations (114 wells and 7 springs). In 1984, the first year of the Ground-Water Monitoring Network, hydrogeologists sampled water from 39 wells in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge and Coastal Plain Provinces. Since 1984, the Ground-Water Monitoring Network has been expanded through addition of further wells and springs to cover all three hydrogeologic provinces, with most of the monitoring performed in the Coastal Plain.

Ground water from all monitoring stations is tested for nitrate/nitrite and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) including methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE). Testing for metals and select anions that are subject to Primary Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) continues for stations that have shown past contamination by these substances. For stations used as public water supplies and having histories of trihalomethane contamination, EPD personnel also test for free and total chlorine. Before collecting a sample, EPD personnel also observe and record certain field parameters -- pH, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and temperature -- using field instruments. This Circular includes the pH, conductivity, and chemical analysis results.

The Drinking Water Program of EPD's Water Resources Management Branch has established MCLs for certain parameters included in analyses performed on Ground-Water Monitoring Network samples (EPD, 1997). Primary MCLs pertain to parameters that may have adverse effects on human health when their values are exceeded. Secondary MCLs pertain to parameters that may give drinking water objectionable, though not health-threatening, properties that may cause persons served by public water systems to cease its use. Foul odor and unpleasant taste are examples of such properties. MCLs apply only to treated water offered for public consumption; nevertheless, they

are useful guidelines for evaluating the quality of untreated (raw) water. Tables A-1 and A-2 in the Appendix list the Primary and Secondary MCLs for Ground Water Monitoring Network parameters.

Most of the wells originally on the Monitoring Network had in-place pumps. Using such pumps to purge the wells and collect samples reduces the potential for cross-contamination of wells. For those wells that lacked in-place pumps, EPD personnel used portable pumps for purging and sampling. In recent years, however, all wells that lacked in-place pumps were dropped from the Monitoring Network, except for a flowing well tapping the lower Floridan, GWN-PA9C.

Sampling procedures are adapted from techniques used by USGS and USEPA. Hydrogeologists purge the wells (three to five times the volume of the water column in the well) before collecting a sample to reduce the influence of the well, pump and distribution system on water quality. Municipal, industrial, and domestic wells typically require approximately 30 to 45 minutes of purging before sample collection.

During purging, a manifold captures flow at the pump system discharge point before the water is exposed to the atmosphere and conducts it past the field instrument probes, while EPD personnel observe and record field parameters. With increased purging time, typical trends for field parameters include a lowering of pH, dissolved oxygen content, and conductivity, and a transition toward the mean annual air temperature. The hydraulic flow characteristics of unconfined aquifers, depth of withdrawal, and pump effects may alter these trends.

Samples are collected once field parameters stabilize or otherwise indicate that the effects of the well have been minimized. EPD personnel fill the sample bottles and promptly place them on ice to preserve the water quality. For public wells with a history of low-level trihalomethane contamination, field personnel test for free and total chlorine (these species will be present if treated water leaks back into the well). If the tests are positive, a premeasured amount of ascorbic acid is added to the VOC sample water as a preservative. (Ascorbic acid neutralizes chlorine and other reactive halogen species which attack naturally occurring organic matter, forming trihalomethanes. Adding ascorbic acid thus prevents the formation of spuriously high levels of trihalomethanes.) Personnel transport samples to the laboratories for analysis on or before the Friday of the week in which they were collected, well before holding times for the samples lapse. Field parameters (pH and conductivity) and analytical results are provided in the Appendix.

Files at the Geologic Survey Branch contain records of all field parameter measurements and chemical analyses. Owners of wells or springs receive copies of analysis sheets and are notified in writing if any MCLs are exceeded. EPD's Drinking Water Program receives notification of Primary MCL exceedences or near-exceedences involving public water supplies. Field parameters and analytical data are forwarded to STORET, a national water quality database maintained by USEPA. Pending an upgrade of STORET, the forwarding of data has been temporarily suspended.

CHAPTER 3 GROUND-WATER QUALITY IN GEORGIA

3.1 OVERVIEW

Georgia's nine major aquifers and aquifer systems are grouped into three hydrogeologic provinces for the purposes of this report.

The Coastal Plain Province comprises seven major aquifers or aquifer systems that are restricted to specific regions and depths within the province (Figure 3-1). These major aquifer systems commonly incorporate smaller aquifers that are locally confined. Ground-Water Monitoring Network wells in the Coastal Plain aquifers are generally located in three settings:

1. Recharge (or outcrop) areas that are located in regions that are geologically updip and generally to the north of confined portions of these aquifers.
2. Updip, confined areas that are located in regions that are proximal to the recharge areas, yet are confined by overlying geologic formations. These areas are generally south to southeast of the recharge areas.
3. Downdip, confined areas, located to the south and southeast in the deeper, confined portions of the aquifers distal to the recharge areas.

Small-scale, localized ground-water flow patterns characterize the two hydrogeologic provinces of north Georgia, the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province and the Valley and Ridge Province. Deep regional flow systems are unknown in northern Georgia. Geologic discontinuities (such as fractures) and compositional changes within the aquifer generally control ground-water flow in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province. Local topographic features, such as hills and valleys, influence ground-water flow patterns. Many of the factors controlling ground-water flow in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Province also apply in the Valley and Ridge Province. The Valley and Ridge Province possesses widespread karst features which significantly enhance porosity and permeability in localized areas and exert a strong influence on local ground-water flow patterns.

3.2 CRETACEOUS AQUIFER SYSTEM

The Cretaceous aquifer system is a complexly interconnected group of aquifer subsystems developed in Late Cretaceous sands of the Coastal Plain Province. These sands crop out in an extensive recharge area immediately south of the Fall Line in west and central Georgia (Figure 3-2). Overlying Tertiary sediments restrict Cretaceous outcrops to valley bottoms in parts of the northeastern Coastal Plain. Five distinct subsystems of the Cretaceous aquifer system, including the Providence aquifer system, are recognized west of the Ocmulgee River (Pollard and Vorhis, 1980). These merge into





Figure 3-1 The Seven Major Aquifer Systems of the Coastal Plain Province



- General recharge area (from Davis, et al., 1988)
- Sampling station

Figure 3-2 Locations of Stations Monitoring the Cretaceous Aquifer System


three subsystems to the east (Clarke, et al., 1985; Huddlestun and Summerour, 1996). Aquifer sands thicken south-ward from the Fall Line, from where they pinch out against crystalline Piedmont rocks, to a sequence of sand and clay approximately 2,000 feet thick at the southern limits of the main aquifer-use area (limit of utilization, Figure 3-2). Vertical leakage from overlying members of the aquifer system provides significant recharge in downdip areas.

EPD collected 17 samples from 17 wells in calendar year 2001 to monitor water quality of the Cretaceous aquifer system, exclusive of the Providence aquifer system (Figure 3-2). Table A-3 lists analytical results for samples collected from the Cretaceous aquifer system. Four of the sampled wells, GWN-K8, GWN-K9, GWN-K12, and GWN-K20 are located away from the Cretaceous outcrop and recharge area, while the remainder lie within the general recharge area. The pHs of the sampled waters ranged from 4.37 to 9.37, with the majority (13) being acidic. Conductivity ranged from 13 to 264 microsiemens (uS/cm), with the lowest occurring in waters from recharge area wells.

Water samples from all 17 wells were analyzed for nitrite/nitrate and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including MTBE. Eleven wells yielded samples with detectable nitrate/ nitrite, with the highest concentration, 0.98 ppm as nitrogen, occurring in a sample from well GWN-K5. Figure 3-3 shows trends in levels of nitrate/nitrite (reported as parts per million [ppm] nitrogen) for three selected wells.

Four wells, all located in industrial settings, yielded samples containing VOCs. Wells GWN-K1 and GWN-K5 yielded samples containing trichloroethylene (3.4 ppb and 1.5 ppb, respectively). A sample from well GWN-K8 contained dichloromethane (1.1 ppb), and well GWN-K12 yielded a sample containing the trihalomethanes chloroform (0.91 ppb) and bromodichloromethane (1.8 ppb) and the bromoxylene, 1-bromo-2,3-dimethylbenzene (2 ppb, tentatively identified and estimated). Wells GWN-K1 and GWN-K8 are used for industrial process water, while wells GWN-K5 and GWN-K12 are used as public supply wells.

For well GWN-K1, regular testing for VOCs did not begin until 1999. Before that year, VOC testing had been performed twice, with one occasion finding low-level pollution by trichloroethylene and 1,2-dichloroethylene and the other finding no detectable VOCs. Well GWN-K5 has been tested regularly for VOCs since 1993, but has experienced pollution by VOCs only during 1999, 2000, and 2001. Because of the recent contamination history, no confirmation sampling was considered necessary. The Water Resources Management Branch, however, was notified of the results. Well GWN-K8 has never before experienced VOC contamination. The use of a penetrating lubricant to free a sticky valve preparatory to purging and sampling may have introduced dichloromethane into the sample from that well. Trihalomethanes commonly arise when treated water flows back down a well, and disinfectants present in treated water react with organic matter naturally present in raw water. Such reflux is the likely source of the trihalomethanes in well GWN-K12. One of the chemists at the EPD laboratory suggested that the bromoxylene may have had a similar origin.



Nitrate/nitrite levels below the detection limit are assigned a value of 0.01 ppm. A missing bar indicates that samples were not collected for that year.

Figure 3-3 Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Cretaceous Aquifer System

3.3 PROVIDENCE AQUIFER SYSTEM

Sand and coquinoid limestones of the Late Cretaceous Providence Formation comprise the Providence aquifer system of southwestern Georgia. Outcrops of the aquifer system extend from northern Clay and Quitman Counties through eastern Houston County (Figure 3-4). At its updip extent, the aquifer system thickens both to the east and to the west of a broad area adjacent to the Flint River. The aquifer system also generally thickens downdip, with an area where the thickness exceeds 300 feet existing in Pulaski County and an area of similar thickness indicated in the Baker/Calhoun/Early county region (Clarke, et al., 1983). Figure 3-4 also shows the downdip limit of the area in which the aquifer system is utilized.

The permeable Providence Formation-Clayton Formation interval forms a single aquifer in the updip areas (Long, 1989) and to the east of the Flint River (Clarke, et al., 1983). This same interval is recognized as the Dublin aquifer system to the east of the Ocmulgee River (Clarke, et al., 1985). Outcrop areas and adjacent covered areas to the east of the Flint River, where the aquifer is overlain by permeable sand units, are surface recharge areas. The Chattahoochee River forms the western discharge boundary for this aquifer system in Georgia.

EPD sampled two wells drawing from the Providence aquifer system in calendar year 2001. Well GWN-PD2B, a recharge area well, yielded water with low conductivity and a detectable nitrate/nitrite content. Instrument malfunction due to wet weather prevented pH measurement at this well. Well GWN-PD3, a downdip well, yielded basic water with moderate conductivity and no detectable nitrate/nitrite. No VOCs (including MTBE) were found in the samples from either well. Analytical results are presented in Table A-4.

3.4 CLAYTON AQUIFER SYSTEM

The Clayton aquifer system of southwestern Georgia is developed mainly in the middle limestone unit of the Paleocene Clayton Formation. Limestones and calcareous sands of the Clayton aquifer system crop out in a narrow belt extending from northeastern Clay County to southwestern Schley County (Figure 3-5). Aquifer thickness varies, ranging from 50 feet near outcrop areas to 265 feet in southeastern Mitchell County (Clarke, et al., 1984). Both the Flint River, to the east, and the Chattahoochee River, to the west, are areas of discharge for the aquifer system in its updip extent. Leakage from the underlying Providence aquifer system and from permeable units in the overlying Wilcox confining zone provides significant recharge in downdip areas (Clarke, et al., 1984). The Clayton and Providence Formations merge to form a single aquifer unit in updip areas (Long, 1989) as well as east of the Flint River (Clarke, et al., 1983). West of the Flint River and downdip, the Clayton/Providence confining zone, a silt and clay-bearing interval, confines the aquifer below (McFadden and Perriello, 1983). In the area east of the Ocmulgee River, the combination of these two aquifers is referred to as the





Figure 3-4 Locations of Stations Monitoring the Providence Aquifer System



▨ General recharge area (from Davis, et al., 1988)

● Sampling station

Figure 3-5 Locations of Stations Monitoring the Clayton Aquifer System

Dublin aquifer system (Clarke, et al., 1985). Figure 3-5 also shows the downdip limit of the area in which the aquifer system is used.


During calendar year 2001, EPD collected five water samples from five wells to monitor water quality in the Clayton aquifer system (Figure 3-5). Three wells (GWN-CT5A, GWN-CT7A, GWN-CT8) are located in or near the recharge area, with the latter two wells being less than 100 feet deep. Wells GWN-CT2A and GWN-CT3 were used to sample the downdip portion of the aquifer system.

The pH of waters from the Clayton wells ranged from acidic to slightly basic. The two shallow recharge area wells yielded waters with lower conductivities and acidic pHs. All samples were analyzed for VOCs (including MTBE) and nitrate/nitrite. Nitrate/nitrite levels ranged from undetected to 5.9 ppm as nitrogen. Well GWN-CT7A, a shallow up dip well located near a livestock enclosure, produced the sample with the elevated 5.9 ppm nitrate/nitrite level ("elevated" being greater than the 5 ppm "trigger level" for public water supplies but less than the Primary MCL). The enclosure contained a few cattle, a factor that may have led to a higher nitrate/nitrite level than in the sample taken in 2000. Figure 3-6 shows trends in nitrate/nitrite concentrations for three selected wells in the Clayton aquifer system. No VOCs were detected in any of the samples. Table A-5 lists analyses for water samples from the Clayton wells.

3.5 CLAIBORNE AQUIFER SYSTEM


The Claiborne aquifer system is developed primarily in the sandy units in the middle and lower portions of the Middle Eocene Claiborne Group of southwestern Georgia. Claiborne Group sands crop out in a belt extending from northern Early County through western Dooly County. Recharge to the aquifer system occurs both as direct infiltration of precipitation in the recharge area and as leakage from the overlying Floridan aquifer system (Hicks, et al., 1981; Gorday, et al., 1997). Discharge boundaries of the aquifer system are the Ocmulgee River to the east and the Chattahoochee River to the west. The aquifer is more than 350 feet thick near its downdip limit of utilization (Figure 3-7) (Tuohy, 1984).

The aquifer generally thickens from the outcrop area toward the southeast. The clay-rich upper portion of the Claiborne Group, the Lisbon Formation, acts as a confining layer and separates the aquifer from the overlying Floridan aquifer (McFadden and Perriello, 1983; Long, 1989; Huddlestun and Summerour, 1996). The lower water-bearing parts of the group had been correlated to the Tallahatta Formation (e.g., McFadden and Perriello, 1983; Long, 1989; Clarke et al., 1996) or, more recently, have been divided into two formations, the upper one termed the Still Branch Sand and the lower one correlated to the Congaree Formation (Huddlestun and Summerour, 1996). The permeable lower units are included in the Gordon aquifer system east of the Ocmulgee River (Brooks, et al., 1985).



Nitrate/nitrite levels below the detection limit are assigned a value of 0.01 ppm. A missing bar indicates that samples were not collected for that year.

Figure 3-6 Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Clayton Aquifer System



▨ General recharge area (from Davis, et al., 1988)

● Sampling station

Figure 3-7 Locations of Stations Monitoring the Claiborne Aquifer System

During calendar year 2001, EPD personnel obtained five samples from five wells to monitor the water quality of the Claiborne aquifer system. Wells GWN-CL2, GWN-CL4A, and GWN-CL8 lie within or near the recharge area, and wells GWN-CL6 and GWN-CL9 tap the downdip portion of the aquifer system, near the limit of utilization.


Two of the recharge area wells yielded acidic water, while one recharge area well and the two downdip wells yielded basic water. The lowest conductivity was measured at an updip well (GWN-CL4A), while the highest was measured in a downdip well (GWN-CL6). All samples were analyzed for VOCs (including MTBE) and none were detected. All samples were also analyzed for nitrate/nitrite, which was detected in all three recharge area samples (maximum 4.2 ppm as nitrogen for GWN-CL4A). Figure 3-8 shows trends in nitrate/nitrite concentrations for three selected wells, and Table A-6 provides analytical results for the Claiborne wells.

3.6 JACKSONIAN AQUIFER SYSTEM

The Jacksonian aquifer system of central and east-central Georgia is predominantly comprised of sands of the Eocene Barnwell Group, though isolated limestone bodies are locally important. Barnwell Group outcrops extend from Macon and Crawford Counties (Hetrick, 1990) eastward to Burke and Richmond Counties (Hetrick, 1992). Figure 3-9 shows the most significant Jacksonian recharge areas. Aquifer sands form a northern clastic facies of the Barnwell Group; the sands grade southward into less permeable silts and clays of a transition facies (Vincent, 1982). The water-bearing sands are relatively thin, ranging from ten to fifty feet in thickness. Limestones equivalent to the Barnwell Group form a southern carbonate facies and are included in the Floridan aquifer system. The Savannah River and Ocmulgee River are eastern and western discharge boundaries respectively for the updip flow system of the Jacksonian aquifer system. The Jacksonian aquifer system is equivalent to the Upper Three Runs aquifer as used in Summerour et al. (1994).


EPD monitored the water quality of the Jacksonian aquifer system in calendar year 2001 by taking eight samples from eight wells (Figure 3-9). Six wells are in the clastic facies (one, GWN-J2B, drawing from an isolated limestone body), and two wells (GWN-J3 and GWN-J5) are in the transition facies. The pH of the sampled water ranged from 4.86 to 7.86. Conductivity measurements were lowest for two shallow updip clastic facies wells GWN-J7 and GWN-J8. Table A-7 lists analytical results for all the Jacksonian aquifer wells sampled.

All samples were analyzed for nitrate/nitrite and VOCs (including MTBE). No VOCs were detected. Nitrate/nitrite levels ranged from undetectable to 7.6 ppm as nitrogen and were detectable in samples from five wells. The highest nitrate/nitrite level of 7.6 ppm as nitrogen occurred in the sample from well GWN-J8, a shallow domestic well which is located near a row crop field. The well has a history of yielding high nitrate/nitrite water samples. Figure 3-10 depicts trends in nitrite/nitrate concentrations for four selected wells. The sample from well GWN-J8 was also analyzed for various metals, because of occasional past




Nitrate/nitrite levels below the detection limit are assigned a value of 0.01 ppm. A missing bar indicates that samples were not collected for that year.

Figure 3-8 Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Claiborne Aquifer System



- General recharge area (from Davis, et al., 1988)
- Sampling station

Figure 3-9 Locations of Stations Monitoring the Jacksonian Aquifer System



A missing bar indicates that samples were not collected for that year.

Figure 3-10 Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Jacksonian Aquifer System

findings of excessive beryllium. Beryllium was not detected; however, the test procedure used this year (EPA method 200.7) was not as sensitive for beryllium as that used in the recent past (EPA method 210.2). Aluminum, barium, zinc, sodium, manganese, magnesium and calcium were the metals detected. Manganese exceeded the Secondary MCL (50 ppb).

3.7 FLORIDAN AQUIFER SYSTEM

The Floridan aquifer system consists predominantly of Eocene and Oligocene limestones and dolostones that underlie most of the Coastal Plain Province. The aquifer is a major source of ground water for much of its outcrop area and throughout its down-dip extent to the south and east.

The upper water-bearing units of the Floridan are the Eocene Ocala Group and the Oligocene Suwanee Limestone (Crews and Huddleston, 1984). These limestones crop out in the Dougherty Plain (a karstic area in southwestern Georgia) and in adjacent areas along a strike to the northeast. In Camden and Wayne counties the Oligocene unit is absent, and the upper part of the Floridan is restricted to units of Eocene age (Clarke, et al., 1990). The lower portion of the Floridan consists mainly of dolomitic limestone of middle and early Eocene age and pelletal, vuggy, dolomitic limestone of Paleocene age, but extends into the late Cretaceous in Glynn County. The lower Floridan is deeply buried and not widely used, except in several municipal and industrial wells in the Savannah area (Clarke, et al., 1990). From its up-dip limit, defined in the east by clays of the Barnwell Group, the aquifer thickens to well over 700 feet in coastal Georgia. A dense limestone facies along the trend of the Gulf Trough locally limits ground-water quality and availability (Kellam and Gorday, 1990). The Gulf Trough is a linear depositional feature in the Coastal Plain that extends from southwestern Decatur County through central Bulloch County.

A ground-water divide separates a smaller southwestward flow regime in the Floridan aquifer system in the Dougherty Plain from the larger southeastward flow regime in the remainder of Georgia. Rainfall infiltration in outcrop areas and downward leakage from extensive surficial residuum recharge the Dougherty Plain flow system (Hayes, et al., 1983). The main body of the Floridan aquifer system, to the east, is recharged by leakage from the Jacksonian aquifer system and by rainfall infiltration in outcrop areas and in areas where overlying strata are thin. Significant recharge also occurs in the area of Brooks, Echols and Lowndes counties, where the Withlacoochee River and numerous sinkholes breach upper confining beds (Krause, 1979).

During calendar year 2001, EPD collected 50 samples from 49 wells in the Floridan aquifer system (Figure 3-11). All samples underwent testing for nitrate/nitrite and VOCs (including MTBE). The pH values for all sampled waters were basic. Conductivities ranged from 148 uS/cm to 986 uS/cm. Most of the wells yielding water with higher conductivity are deeper ones located along the coast. Table A-8 lists analytical results for the Floridan wells.




Figure 3-11 Locations of Stations Monitoring the Floridan Aquifer System

Only one well, GWN-PA33A, yielded a sample indicating pollution by VOCs. The detected substance, chloroform, did not exceed the Primary MCL for total trihalomethanes (100 ppb). Chloroform and other trihalomethanes may arise from the reflux of treated water into the well bore, allowing disinfectants in the treated water to react with organic matter naturally present in the raw water.

Detectable nitrate/nitrite concentrations occurred in samples from twenty three stations, with the high concentration being 4.2 ppm as nitrogen. Most of the wells yielding water with the highest nitrate/nitrite contents are located in the Dougherty Plain. Figure 3-12 shows trends in nitrate/nitrite levels for four selected Floridan wells.


3.8 MIOCENE AQUIFER SYSTEM

Much of south-central and southeastern Georgia lies within outcrop areas of the Miocene Altamaha Formation and Hawthorne Group. Discontinuous lens-shaped bodies of sand, 50 to 80 feet thick, are the main permeable units. Miocene clays and sandy clays are thickest, more than 500 feet, in Wayne County (Watson, 1982).

Areas of confinement exist in the coastal counties. Leakage from overlying surface aquifers into the Miocene aquifer system and, in some areas, from the underlying Floridan aquifer system is significant in the coastal counties (Watson, 1982). Here, two principal aquifer units are present (Joiner, et al., 1988). Clarke (et. al., 1990) use the names upper and lower Brunswick aquifers to refer to these two sandy aquifer units.

EPD collected six water samples from six wells to monitor water quality in the Miocene aquifer system (Figure 3-13). The pH of the samples ranged from 4.64 to 8.00, with five stations producing acidic water. Conductivities ranged from 49 uS/cm to 160 uS/cm. Table A-9 lists analytical results for Miocene samples.

Nitrate/nitrite data are available for all six stations. Concentrations ranged from undetected to 14 ppm as nitrogen. One well, GWN-MI15, a domestic well, produced a sample with a concentration in excess of the Primary MCL of 10 ppm as nitrogen. Two other wells, GWN-MI5 and GWN-MI9A, gave samples with nitrate/nitrite concentrations that were elevated (herein set at the 5 ppm "trigger" level for public water supplies). Both wells also are shallow domestic-type wells. Wells GWN-MI9A and GWN-MI15 lie near row crop fields, while well GWN-MI5 is located near an animal enclosure. Figure 3-14 illustrates trends in nitrate/nitrite concentrations for selected wells drawing from the Miocene aquifer system. VOC tests were performed for all six samples and none contained detectable VOCs (including MTBE).



Nitrate/nitrite levels below the detection limit are assigned a value of 0.01 ppm. A missing bar indicates that samples were not available for that year.

Figure 3-12 Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Floridan Aquifer System





Figure 3-13 Locations of Stations Monitoring the Miocene Aquifer System



A missing bar indicates that samples were not collected for that year.

Figure 3-14 Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Miocene Aquifer System

3.9 PIEDMONT/BLUE RIDGE UNCONFINED AQUIFERS

Georgia's Piedmont and Blue Ridge Physiographic Provinces are developed on metamorphic and igneous rocks that are predominantly Precambrian and Paleozoic in age. Soil and saprolite horizons, compositional layers, and openings along fractures and joints in the rocks are the major water-bearing features. Fracture density and interconnection provide the primary controls on the rate of water flow into wells completed in crystalline rocks. The permeability and thickness of soils and saprolite horizons determine the amount of well yield that can be sustained.

EPD collected twenty samples from seventeen wells and three springs to monitor water quality in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge unconfined aquifers. Figure 3-15 shows the locations of the monitoring stations. The pH of the water samples ranged from 5.15 to 7.73, with the majority of the stations yielding slightly acidic water. Conductivities ranged from 13 uS/cm to 407 uS/cm.

All samples were tested for nitrate/nitrite and for VOCs (including MTBE). Because of a history of high fluoride concentrations at station GWN-P12A, the sample from that station also was analyzed for inorganic anions. Abandoned wells in the immediate vicinity of well GWN-P10D have intermittently provided samples with excessive beryllium, thus the sample from that well received an analysis for metals. An analytical summary for the Piedmont/Blue Ridge sampling stations appears in Table A-10.

Nitrate/nitrite concentrations ranged from undetectable to 1.8 ppm as nitrogen (the Primary MCL is 10 ppm as nitrogen). Figures 3-16 and 3-17 show nitrite/ nitrate concentrations in selected stations from the Piedmont and Blue Ridge sectors, respectively.

Samples from four wells and two springs contained VOCs. MTBE occurred in samples from two wells, GWN-P1 and GWN-P15A and one spring, GWN-P18. Chloroform was present in samples from spring GWN-P13A and wells GWN-P7 and GWN-BR2A, all at levels considerably below the Primary MCL (100 ppb total trihalomethanes). Trichloroethylene was present in the sample from well GWN-P1 and exceeded the Primary MCL of 5 ppb. All of the stations producing samples with VOCs are located in or near built-up areas.

The fluoride content of the sample from spring GWN-P12A exceeded the Primary MCL of 4 ppm. The source of the fluoride in spring GWN-P12A is almost certainly natural. No beryllium was detected in the sample from well GWN-P10D. However, a change in the testing method resulted in a higher analytical reporting limit for the metal than in the recent past.






Figure 3-15 Locations of Stations Monitoring the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Unconfined Aquifers



Nitrate/nitrite levels below the detection limit are assigned a value of 0.005 ppm. A missing bar indicates that samples were not collected for that year.

Figure 3-16 Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Unconfined Aquifer System: Piedmont Sector



Nitrate/nitrite levels below the detection limit are assigned a value of 0.005 ppm. A missing bar indicates that samples were not collected for that year.

Figure 3-17 Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells in the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Unconfined Aquifer System: Blue Ridge Sector

3.10 VALLEY AND RIDGE UNCONFINED AQUIFERS

Soil and residuum form low-yield unconfined aquifers across most of the Valley and Ridge Province of northwestern Georgia. Valley bottoms underlain by dolostones and limestones of the Cambro-Ordovician Knox Group are the locations of most higher-yielding wells and springs that are suitable for municipal supplies.

Five wells and four springs were used to monitor the water quality in the Valley and Ridge unconfined aquifers (Figure 3-18). Three of the wells and all four springs produced water from Knox Group carbonates. Of the remaining wells, well GWN-VR4A derives water from the Ordovician Chickamauga Group, while well GWN-VR6 taps the Cambrian Shady Dolomite.

Sample pHs were basic and ranged from 7.08 to 7.92. Conductivities ranged from 154 uS to 382 uS. All samples were tested for nitrate/nitrite and for VOCs (including MTBE).

Nitrate/nitrite ranged from undetected to 2.6 ppm as nitrogen. Figure 3-19 shows nitrite/nitrate levels for three selected sampling stations in the Valley and Ridge aquifers. VOCs were present in samples from three stations. One of these, spring GWN-VR8, located near a commercial area, provided a sample containing a low level of MTBE and a trace of benzene. The spring has intermittently experienced contamination from motor fuel components in the past. Another, well GWN-VR6, gave a sample containing chloroform, 1,1-dichloroethylene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, and tetrachloroethylene. The well is located in an industrial area and has, in the past, provided samples contaminated with chlorinated aliphatic compounds. A third, well GWN-VR4A, produced a sample containing 1,1,1-trichloroethane and 1,1-dichloroethane. This well, a recent substitute station sampled for the first time, is also located in an industrial area. None of the volatile organic compounds exceeded the Primary MCLs. Table A-11 presents the analytical summary for the wells and springs located in the Valley and Ridge unconfined aquifers.





Figure 3-18 Locations of Stations Monitoring the Valley and Ridge Unconfined Aquifers



A missing bar indicates that samples were not collected for that year.

Figure 3-19 Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations for Selected Wells and Springs in the Valley and Ridge Unconfined Aquifers

CHAPTER 4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

EPD personnel collected 122 raw water samples from 114 wells and seven springs on the Ground-Water Monitoring Network during calendar year 2001 for volatile organic and limited inorganic analysis. These wells and springs monitor the water quality of nine aquifer systems in Georgia:

- Cretaceous aquifer system
- Providence aquifer system
- Clayton aquifer system
- Claiborne aquifer system
- Jacksonian aquifer system
- Floridan aquifer system
- Miocene aquifer system
- Piedmont/Blue Ridge unconfined aquifers
- Valley and Ridge unconfined aquifers

Comparisons of analyses of water samples collected during calendar year 2001 were made with analyses for the Ground-Water Monitoring Network dating back to 1984, permitting the recognition of temporal trends. Table 4-1 lists the contaminants and pollutants detected at stations of the Ground-Water Monitoring Network during 2001. Although isolated water quality problems existed at specific localities, the quality of water from most of the Ground-Water Monitoring Network stations remains excellent.

Nitrates/nitrites are the most common substances present in ground water in Georgia that can have adverse health effects. One well (GWN-MI15), a shallow domestic well tapping the Miocene aquifer system and located near a row crop field, yielded a water sample with a nitrate/nitrite concentration exceeding the Primary MCL of 10 ppm as nitrogen (Table 4-1). Samples from four other wells (GWN-CT7A, GWN-J8, GWN-MI5, and GWN-MI9A) also had nitrate/nitrite levels that were elevated, though concentrations did not exceed the Primary MCL. All four are shallow domestic-type wells. Wells GWN-MI5 and GWN-CT7A are located near animal enclosures. The other two wells are located near row crop fields. The nitrate/nitrite level for well GWN-CT7A seems to depend on whether or not the nearby animal enclosure is occupied. The level was elevated in 1999 and 2001 when the enclosure was occupied and was depressed in 2000 when the enclosure was vacant. All well or spring owners receive copies of analytical results.

Spatial and temporal limitations of the Ground-Water Monitoring Network preclude the identification of exact sources of increasing levels of nitrogen compounds in some of Georgia's ground water. Nitrate/nitrite originates in ground water from direct sources and through oxidation of other forms of dissolved nitrogen deriving from both natural and manmade sources. The most common sources of manmade dissolved nitrogen in Georgia usually consist of septic systems, agricultural wastes, and storage or

Table 4-1. Pollution and Contamination Incidents, Calendar Year 2001.

Station	Contaminant/Pollutant	MCL
GWN- K1	TCE=3.4 ppb	TCE=5ppb (1st MCL)
GWN- K5	TCE=1.5ppb	TCE=5ppb (1st MCL)
GWN-K8	dichloromethane=1.1ppb	dichloromethane=5ppb (1st MCL)
GWN-K12	chloroform=0.91 ppb bromodichloromethane=1.8 ppb 1-bromo- 2,3-dimethylbenzene=2 ppb*	total trihalomethanes=100ppb (1st MCL) total trihalomethanes=100ppb (1st MCL) (none)
GWN-J8	Mn=81 ppb	Mn=50 ppb (2nd MCL)
GWN-PA33A	chloroform=0.54 ppb	total trihalomethanes=100ppb (1st MCL)
GWN-MI15	NO _x =14 ppm as N	NO _x =10 ppm as N (1st MCL)
GWN-BR2A	chloroform=0.72 ppb	total trihalomethanes=100ppb (1st MCL)
GWN-P1	TCE=7.4 ppb MTBE=6.2 ppb	TCE=5ppb (1st MCL) (none)
GWN- P7	chloroform=1.6 ppb	total trihalomethanes=100ppb (1st MCL)
GWN- P10D	Fe=11000 ppb Mn=96 ppb	Fe=300 ppb (2nd MCL) Mn=50 ppb (2nd MCL)
GWN-P12A	F ⁻ =4.9 ppm	F ⁻ =4 ppm (1st MCL)
GWN-P13A	chloroform=0.73 ppb	total trihalomethanes=100ppb (1st MCL)
GWN-P15A	MTBE=0.87 ppb	(none)
GWN- P18	MTBE=0.86 ppb	(none)
GWN- VR4A	1,1,1-trichloroethane=10 ppb 1,1-dichloroethane=8.5 ppb	1,1,1-trichloroethane=200 ppb (none)
GWN- VR6	chloroform=0.66 ppb PCE=3.3 ppb 1,1,1-trichloroethane=0.58 ppb 1,1-dichloroethylene=3.0 ppb	total trihalomethanes=100ppb (1st MCL) PCE=5 ppb (1st MCL) 1,1,1-trichloroethane=200 ppb (1st MCL) 1,1-dichloroethylene=7 ppb (1st MCL)
GWN-VR8	MTBE=2.5 ppb benzene=0.9 ppb	(none) benzene=5 ppb (1st MCL)

Notes:

NO_x = Nitrate/Nitrite
 MTBE = Methyl tert-butyl ether
 TCE = Trichloroethylene
 PCE = Tetrachloroethylene
 * = Tentatively identified and estimated
 1st MCL = Primary MCL
 2nd MCL = Secondary MCL

application of fertilizers (Robertson, et. al., 1993). Dissolved nitrogen also is present in rainwater and can be derived from terrestrial vegetation and volatilization of fertilizers (Drever, 1988). The conversion of other nitrogen species to nitrate occurs in aerobic environments such as recharge areas. Anaerobic conditions in ground water, which commonly develop along the flow path of ground water, foster the denitrification process. However, the lack of denitrifying bacteria in ground water may inhibit this process (Freeze and Cherry, 1979).

Volatile organic compounds were detected in samples from fourteen stations. MTBE was detected in samples from two wells (GWN-P1 and GWN-P15A) and two springs (GWN-P18 and GWN-VR8). All four of these stations are located in or near built-up areas. The sample from spring GWN-VR8 also showed a trace of benzene. The spring has experienced intermittent low-level pollution by motor fuel components in the past.

Samples from five wells and one spring contained low levels of the trihalomethane, chloroform. For wells GWN-BR2A, GWN-K12, GWN-P7, and GWN-PA33A, the chloroform probably originated from the reflux of chlorinated water down the well bores. The chlorine in the water then reacts with naturally occurring dissolved organic matter to form the chloroform. The reason for the presence of chloroform in spring GWN-P13A and well GWN-VR6 is not clear. The sample from well GWN-K12 also contained bromodichloromethane and 1-bromo-2,3-dimethylbenzene, compounds that may be reflux products.

Samples from five wells were contaminated with chlorinated ethane and ethylene compounds, with the level of trichloroethylene for well GWN-P1 exceeding the Primary MCL. Four wells (GWN-K1, GWN-K5, GWN-VR4A, and GWN-VR6) are located in industrial settings. The remaining well (GWN-P1) is located near a built-up area.

Well GWN-K8 produced a sample containing a small amount of dichloromethane. The use of a penetrating lubricant to free a sticking valve to prepare the well for purging and sampling may have introduced this compound. Fluoride exceeded the Primary MCL (4 ppm) in the sample from spring GWN-P12A, which is located on the Piedmont and has previously provided samples containing excessive fluoride. A sign placed near the spring advises against consuming the water. The source of the fluoride is almost certainly natural.

CHAPTER 5 LIST OF REFERENCES

- Brooks, R., Clarke, J.S., and Faye, R.E., 1985, Hydrology of the Gordon Aquifer System of East-Central Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 75, 41 p., 2 pl.
- Clarke, J.S., Faye, R.E., and Brooks, R., 1983, Hydrogeology of the Providence Aquifer of Southwest Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 11, 5 pl.
- Clarke, J.S., Faye, R.E., and Brooks, R., 1984, Hydrogeology of the Clayton Aquifer of Southwest Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 13, 6 pl.
- Clarke, J.S., Brooks, R., and Faye, R.E., 1985, Hydrogeology of the Dublin and Midville Aquifer Systems of East Central Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 74, 62 p., 2 pl.
- Clarke, J.S., Hacke, C.M., and Peck, M.F., 1990, Geology and Ground-Water Resources of the Coastal Area of Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 113, 116 p., 12 pl.
- Clarke, J.S., Falls, W.F., Edwards, L.E., Frederiksen, N.O., Bybell, L.M., Gibson, T.G., Gohn, G.S., and Fleming, F., 1996, Hydrogeologic Data and Aquifer Interconnection in a Multi-Aquifer System in Coastal Plain Sediments Near Millhaven, Screven County, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 99, 49p. 1pl.
- Crews, P.A., and Huddleston, P.F., 1984, Geologic Sections of the Principal Artesian Aquifer System, in Hydrogeologic Evaluation for Underground Injection Control in the Coastal Plain of Georgia, R. Arora, ed.: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 10, 41pl.
- Davis, K.R., Donahue, J.C., Hutcheson, R.H., and Waldrop, D.L., 1988, Most Significant Ground-Water Recharge Areas of Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 18, 1 pl.
- Drever, J. I., 1988, The Geochemistry of Natural Waters: Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 437 p.
- EPD, 1991, A Ground-Water Management Plan for Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Circular 11 (1991 edition).
- EPD, 1997, Rules for Safe Drinking Water, Section 391-3-5, Rules of the Georgia Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Division, p. 601-691.

- EPD, 1998, A Ground-Water Management Plan for Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Circular 11 (1998 edition).
- Federal Register, 1991, Vol. 56, No. 20.
- Freeze, R.A., and Cherry, J.A., 1979, Groundwater: Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 604 p.
- Glen, J.C., 2001, Pesticide Monitoring Network 1989-2000: Georgia Geologic Survey Project Report 43, 58 p.
- Gorday, L.L., Lineback, J.A., Long, A.F., McLemore, W.H., 1997, A Digital Model Approach to Water-Supply Management of the Claiborne, Clayton, and Providence Aquifers of Southwestern Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 118, 31 p., Appendix, Supplements I and II.
- Hayes, L.R., Maslia, M.L., and Meeks, W.C., 1983, Hydrology and Model Evaluation of the Principal Artesian Aquifer, Dougherty Plain, Southwest Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 97, 93p.
- Hetrick, J.H., 1990, Geologic Atlas of the Fort Valley Area: Georgia Geologic Survey Geologic Atlas 7, 2 pl.
- Hetrick, J.H., 1992, A Geologic Atlas of the Wrens-Augusta Area: Georgia Geologic Survey Geologic Atlas 8, 3 pl.
- Hicks, D.W., Krause, R.E., and Clarke, J.S., 1981, Geohydrology of the Albany Area, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 57, 31 p.
- Huddleston, P.F. and Summerour, J.H., 1996, The Lithostratigraphic Framework of the Uppermost Cretaceous and Lower Tertiary of Eastern Burke County, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 127, 94 p., 1 pl.
- Joiner, C.N., Reynolds, M.S., Stayton, W.L., and Boucher, F.G., 1988, Ground-Water Data for Georgia, 1987: United States Geological Survey Open-File Report 88-323, 172 p.
- Kellam, M.F., and Gorday, L.L., 1990, Hydrogeology of the Gulf Trough-Apalachicola Embayment Area, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Bulletin 94, 74 p., 15 pl.
- Krause, R.E., 1979, Geohydrology of Brooks, Lowndes, and Western Echols Counties, Georgia: United States Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations 78-117, 48 p., 8 pl.

- Krause, R.E., and Clarke, J.S., 2001, Coastal Ground Water at Risk – Saltwater Contamination at Brunswick, Georgia and Hilton Head Island, South Carolina: United States Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 01-4107, 1 pl.
- Long, A.F., 1989, Hydrogeology of the Clayton and Claiborne Aquifer Systems: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 19, 6 pl.
- McFadden, S.S., and Perriello, P.D., 1983, Hydrogeology of the Clayton and Claiborne Aquifers in Southwestern Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 55, 59 p., 2 pl.
- Overacre, L., 2001, Domestic Well Water Testing Project: Georgia Geologic Survey Project Report 42, 30 p.
- Overacre, L., 2002, Domestic Well Water Testing Project: Georgia Geologic Survey Project Report 47, 60 p.
- Pollard, L.D., and Vorhis, R.C., 1980, The Geohydrology of the Cretaceous Aquifer System in Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 3, 5 pl.
- Robertson, S.J., Shellenberger, D.L., York, G.M., Clark, M.G., Eppihimer, R.M., Lineback, J.A., 1993, Sampling for Nitrate Concentrations in North Georgia's Ground Water: 1993 Georgia Water Resources Conference 364-365, 1 p.
- Shellenberger, D.L., Barget, R.G., Lineback, J.A., and Shapiro, E.A., 1996, Nitrate in Georgia's Ground Water: Georgia Geologic Survey Project Report 25, 12 p., 1 pl.
- Stuart, M.A., Rich, F.J., and Bishop, G.A., 1995, Survey of Nitrate Contamination in Shallow Domestic Drinking Water Wells in the Inner Coastal Plain of Georgia: Ground Water, Vol. 33, No. 2, p. 284-290.
- Summerour, J.H., Shapiro, E.A., Lineback, J.A., Huddleston, P.F., and Hughes, A.C., 1994, An Investigation of Tritium in the Gordon and Other Aquifers in Burke County, Georgia: Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 95, 93 p.
- Tolford, B., 1999, Pesticide Monitoring Network 1998-1999: Georgia Geologic Survey Project Report 40, 60 p.
- Tuohy, M.A., 1984, Isopach Map of the Claiborne Aquifer, in Hydrogeologic Evaluation for Underground Injection Control in the Coastal Plain of Georgia, R. Arora, ed: Georgia Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 10.
- Vincent, R.H., 1982, Geohydrology of the Jacksonian Aquifer in Central and East Central Georgia: Georgia: Geologic Survey Hydrologic Atlas 8, 3 pl.

Watson, T., 1982, Aquifer Potential of the Shallow Sediments of the Coastal Area of Georgia: Proceedings, Second Symposium on the Geology of the Southeastern Coastal Plain, Arden, D.D., Beck, B.F., Morrow, E., eds., Georgia Geologic Survey Information Circular 53, p. 183-194.

APPENDIX

Laboratory Data

LABORATORY DATA

The standard testing regimen for all samples collected for the Ground-Water Monitoring Network consisted of laboratory analyses for volatile organic compounds and nitrate/nitrite and of field measurements of pH and conductivity. Tests were carried out at three stations for additional substances subject to Primary MCL's. All three stations had histories of occurrence for these substances.

USEPA has set forth a series of (serially numbered) analytical methods officially recognized as suitable for environmental purposes. The EPD laboratory cites USEPA method numbers along with analysis results, and Tables A-1 and A-2 list the method numbers appropriate to the various analytes.

Tables A-3 through A-11 regularly list results for the following parameters: pH, conductivity, nitrate/nitrite, trihalomethanes, MTBE, benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and total xylenes. Other VOC's are listed if detected. Results for two stations also list metals, including beryllium. Results for another station list substances amenable to EPA method 300.0 -- fluoride, chloride, and sulfate. The abbreviation "ppm", where used in a nitrate/nitrite entry in these tables, is understood to mean parts per million as nitrogen. Mr. Vince Williams, Program Manager for the Ground-Water Management Program, and the author jointly reviewed Tables A-3 through A-11 for accuracy.

For this appendix, the following abbreviations are used:

su	= standard units
mg/L	= milligrams per liter (parts per million)
ppm	= parts per million
ug/L	= micrograms per liter (parts per billion)
ppb	= parts per billion
uS/cm	= microsiemens/centimeter
nd	= not detected
--	= not analyzed
t	= tentatively identified and estimated
rl	= reporting limit

Note:

The reporting limit (rl) for the same substance can vary among different laboratories and can vary for a single laboratory if a sample is diluted to lower the concentration of interfering substances, or if the array of standards used to develop the reporting limit is revised.

Table A-1. Standard Water Quality Analyses: Anions, Volatile Organic Compounds, and Other Parameters.

ANIONS			
Parameter	Test Method	Reporting Limit	Primary Maximum Contaminant Level
Nitrate/Nitrite (NO _x)	EPA 353.2	0.02 mg/L as N	10 mg/L as N

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS			
Parameter	Type of Test	Reporting Limit	Primary Maximum Contaminant Level
Vinyl Chloride	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	2.0 ug/L
1,1-Dichloroethylene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	7.0 ug/L
Dichloromethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	5.0 ug/L
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	100 ug/L
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	70.0 ug/L
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	200 ug/L
Carbon Tetrachloride	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	5.0 ug/L
Benzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	5.0 ug/L
1,2-Dichloroethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	5.0 ug/L
Trichloroethylene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	5.0 ug/L
1,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	5.0 ug/L
Toluene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	1000 ug/L
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	5.0 ug/L
Tetrachloroethylene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	5.0 ug/L
Chlorobenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	100 ug/L
Ethylbenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	700 ug/L
Total Xylenes	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	10,000 ug/L

Table A-1 (Continued). Standard Water Quality Analyses: Anions, Volatile Organic Compounds, and Other Parameters.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS			
Parameter	Type of Test	Reporting Limit	Primary Maximum Contaminant Level
Styrene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	100 ug/L
1,4-Dichlorobenzene (P)	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	75.0 ug/L
1,2-Dichlorobenzene (O)	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	600 ug/L
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	70.0 ug/L
Dichlorodifluoromethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Chloromethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Bromomethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Chloroethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Trichlorofluoromethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
1,1-Dichloroethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
2,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Bromochloromethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Chloroform	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	100 ug/L*
1,1-Dichloropropylene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Dibromomethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Bromodichloromethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	100 ug/L*
Cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
1,3-Dichloropropane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Dibromochloromethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	100 ug/L*

Table A-1 (Continued). Standard Water Quality Analyses: Anions, Volatile Organic Compounds, and Other Parameters.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS			
Parameter	Type of Test	Reporting Limit	Primary Maximum Contaminant Level
1,2-Dibromoethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Bromoform	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	100 ug/L*
Isopropylbenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
1,1,2,2,-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Bromobenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
N-Propylbenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
2-Chlorotoluene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
4-Chlorotoluene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Tert-Butylbenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Sec-Butylbenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
P-Isopropyltoluene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
1,3-Dichlorobenzene (M)	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
N-Butylbenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	0.2 ug/L
Hexachlorobutadiene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Naphthalene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None

Table A-1 (Continued). Standard Water Quality Analyses: Anions, Volatile Organic Compounds, and Other Parameters.

VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS			
Parameter	Type of Test	Reporting Limit	Primary Maximum Contaminant Level
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None
Methyl Tert-butyl Ether	EPA 524.2	0.5 ug/L	None

OTHER PARAMETERS**		
Parameter	Units	Maximum Contaminant Level
pH	0.01 SU	None
Conductivity	1.0 uS	None

Notes:

Primary MCL's from Georgia Rules for Safe Drinking Water, October 1997 edition (EPD, 1997).

* Indicates a trihalomethane compound. The Primary MCL for total trihalomethanes is 100 ug/L.

**pH and conductivity are measured in the field (see Chapter 2).

Table A-2. Optional Water Quality Analyses: Metals and Anions.

METALS			
Parameter	Test Method	Reporting Limit	Max.Contaminant Level
Silver (Ag)	EPA 200.7	10 ug/L	100 ug/L ₂
Aluminum (Al)	EPA 200.7	60 ug/L	50-200 ug/L ₂ *
Arsenic (As)	EPA 200.7	80 ug/L	50 ug/L ₁
Barium (Ba)	EPA 200.7	10 ug/L	2000 ug/L ₁
Beryllium (Be)	EPA 200.7	10 ug/L	4 ug/L ₁
Calcium (Ca)	EPA 200.7	1000 ug/L	None
Cadmium (Cd)	EPA 200.7	10 ug/L	5 ug/L ₁
Cobalt (Co)	EPA 200.7	10 ug/L	None
Chromium (Cr)	EPA 200.7	20 ug/L	100 ug/L ₁
Copper (Cu)	EPA 200.7	20 ug/L	1000 ug/L ₂
Iron (Fe)	EPA 200.7	20 ug/L	300 ug/L ₂
Potassium (K)	EPA 200.7	5000 ug/L	None
Magnesium (Mg)	EPA 200.7	1000 ug/L	None
Manganese (Mn)	EPA 200.7	10 ug/L	50 ug/L ₂
Sodium (Na)	EPA 200.7	1000 ug/L	None
Nickel (Ni)	EPA 200.7	20 ug/L	100 ug/L ₁
Lead (Pb)	EPA 200.7	90 ug/L	None
Antimony (Sb)	EPA 200.7	120 ug/L	6 ug/L ₁
Selenium (Se)	EPA 200.7	190 ug/L	50 ug/L ₁
Titanium (Ti)	EPA 200.7	10 ug/L	None
Thallium (Tl)	EPA 200.7	200 ug/L	2 ug/L ₁
Vanadium (V)	EPA 200.7	10 ug/L	None
Zinc (Zn)	EPA 200.7	20 ug/L	5000 ug/L ₂

Table A-2. (Continued). Optional Water Quality Analyses: Metals and Anions.

ANIONS			
Parameter	Test Method	Reporting Limit	Max. Contaminant Level
Bromide (Br)	EPA 300.0	0.2 mg/L	None
Chloride (Cl)	EPA 300.0	10 mg/L	250 mg/L ₂
Sulfate (SO ₄)	EPA 300.0	10 mg/L	250 mg/L ₂
Fluoride (F)	EPA 300.0	0.2 mg/L	4.0 mg/L ₁ , 2.0 mg/L ₂

Notes:

MCL's from Georgia Rules for Safe Drinking Water, October 1997 edition (EPD, 1997):

₁=Primary Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL).

₂=Secondary MCL.

*=USEPA concluded that the originally suggested Secondary MCL of 50 ppb for aluminum would not be a workable one for many water systems. They therefore adopted a range of 50 ppb – 200 ppb and left the establishment of precise limits to the States (see page 3573, Federal Register Vol. 56, No. 20, 1991). Georgia has adopted the range as is.

Table A-3. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Cretaceous Aquifer System.

GWN-K1			
Well Name:	Englehard Kaolin Company #2		
County:	Wilkinson		
Date Sampled:	03/14/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.26	ppm	
pH	4.65	su	
Conductivity	80	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds Trichloroethylene	3.4	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K2A			
Well Name:	Irwinton #303		
County:	Wilkinson		
Date Sampled:	03/14/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.06	ppm	
pH	5.97	su	
Conductivity	69	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K3			
Well Name:	Sandersville #7B		
County:	Washington		
Date Sampled:	03/14/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.04	ppm	
pH	6.07	su	
Conductivity	80	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K5			
Well Name:	Richmond County #101		
County:	Richmond		
Date Sampled:	09/26/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.98	ppm	
pH	4.98	su	
Conductivity	18	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds Trichloroethylene	1.5	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K6			
Well Name:	Huber #6		
County:	Twiggs		
Date Sampled:	05/09/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.03	ppm	
pH	5.66	su	
Conductivity	32	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K7			
Well Name:	Jones County #4		
County:	Jones		
Date Sampled:	05/09/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.15	ppm	
pH	5.34	su	
Conductivity	15	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-3 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Cretaceous Aquifer System.

GWN-K8			
Well Name:	Mohawk Industries #3		
County:	Laurens		
Date Sampled:	11/29/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.03	su	
Conductivity	230	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes: chloroform	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds Dichloromethane	1.1	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K9			
Well Name:	Marshallville #1		
County:	Macon		
Date Sampled:	03/15/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	4.37	su	
Conductivity	36	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K10B			
Well Name:	Fort Valley #6		
County:	Peach		
Date Sampled:	10/25/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.66	ppm	
pH	5.03	su	
Conductivity	17	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K11A			
Well Name:	Warner Robins #2		
County:	Houston		
Date Sampled:	10/25/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.78	ppm	
pH	4.90	su	
Conductivity	19	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K12			
Well Name:	Perry/Holiday Inn Well		
County:	Houston		
Date Sampled:	10/25/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	4.68	su	
Conductivity	36	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes: chloroform bromodichloromethane	0.91 1.8	ppb ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds 1-bromo-2,3-dimethylbenzene	2(t)	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K13			
Well Name:	Omaha #1		
County:	Stewart		
Date Sampled:	03/28/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	9.17	su	
Conductivity	170	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-3 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Cretaceous Aquifer System.

GWN-K15A			
Well Name:	Georgetown #3		
County:	Quitman		
Date Sampled:	03/28/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	9.37	su	
Conductivity	264	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K16			
Well Name:	Pactiv, Inc. North Well		
County:	Bibb		
Date Sampled:	10/25/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.51	ppm	
pH	5.75	su	
Conductivity	27	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K18A			
Well Name:	Buena Vista #4		
County:	Marion		
Date Sampled:	03/29/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.14	ppm	
pH	5.23	su	
Conductivity	--	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes:	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K19			
Well Name:	Hephzibah/Murphy St. Well		
County:	Richmond		
Date Sampled:	09/26/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.086	ppm	
pH	5.00	su	
Conductivity	13	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-K20			
Well Name:	Plains #7		
County:	Sumter		
Date Sampled:	04/26/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.72	su	
Conductivity	104	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-4. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Providence Aquifer System.

GWN-PD2B			
Well Name:	Preston #4		
County:	Webster		
Date Sampled:	03/29/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	1.9	ppm	
pH	--	su	
Conductivity	24	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PD3			
Well Name:	Port Gaines #2		
County:	Clay		
Date Sampled:	03/28/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	8.56	su	
Conductivity	277	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-5. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Clayton Aquifer System.

GWN-CT2A			
Well Name:	Burton Thomas house well		
County:	Sumter		
Date Sampled:	09/20/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.91	su	
Conductivity	228	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-CT3			
Well Name:	Dawson/ Crawford St. Well		
County:	Terrell		
Date Sampled:	09/20/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.86	su	
Conductivity	245	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-CT5A			
Well Name:	Cuthbert #3		
County:	Randolph		
Date Sampled:	12/12/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.64	su	
Conductivity	271	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-CT7A			
Well Name:	St. John farm well		
County:	Sumter		
Date Sampled:	09/20/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	5.9	ppm	
pH	4.56	su	
Conductivity	81	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-CT8			
Well Name:	Weathersby house well		
County:	Schley		
Date Sampled:	09/20/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.62	ppm	
pH	4.83	su	
Conductivity	21	uS	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-6. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Claiborne Aquifer System.

GWN-CL2			
Well Name:	Unadilla #3		
County:	Dooly		
Date Sampled:	03/15/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.26	ppm	
pH	7.57	su	
Conductivity	150	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-CL4A			
Well Name:	Plains #5		
County:	Sumter		
Date Sampled:	04/26/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	4.2	ppm	
pH	4.71	su	
Conductivity	57	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-CL6			
Well Name:	LTV/ Copperweld Central Supply Well		
County:	Early		
Date Sampled:	03/28/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.80	su	
Conductivity	238	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-CL8			
Well Name:	Flint River Nursery Office Well		
County:	Dooly		
Date Sampled:	03/15/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.02	ppm	
pH	6.25	su	
Conductivity	60	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-CL9			
Well Name:	Newton #3		
County:	Baker		
Date Sampled:	01/31/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	8.06	su	
Conductivity	203	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-7. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Jacksonian Aquifer System.

GWN-J1B			
Well Name:	Quick house well		
County:	Burke		
Date Sampled:	08/23/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	2.6	ppm	
pH	7.36	su	
Conductivity	219	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-J2B			
Well Name:	Oakwood Village Mob. Home Park #3		
County:	Burke		
Date Sampled:	11/29/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.58	ppm	
pH	7.47	su	
Conductivity	226	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-J3			
Well Name:	J.W. Black house well		
County:	Emanuel		
Date Sampled:	08/23/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.86	su	
Conductivity	204	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-J4			
Well Name:	Wrightsville #4		
County:	Johnson		
Date Sampled:	08/23/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.057	ppm	
pH	7.70	su	
Conductivity	205	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-J5			
Well Name:	Cochran #3		
County:	Bleckley		
Date Sampled:	03/15/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.43	su	
Conductivity	256	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-J6			
Well Name:	Wrens #4		
County:	Jefferson		
Date Sampled:	09/26/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.02	su	
Conductivity	157	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

Table A-7 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Jacksonian Aquifer System.

GWN-J7		
Well Name:	Templeton livestock well	
County:	Burke	
Date Sampled:	09/26/2001	
Nitrate/Nitrite	2.9	ppm
pH	5.00	su
Conductivity	46	uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb
Benzene	nd	ppb
Toluene	nd	ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb
Other:		

GWN-J8		
Well Name:	Kahn house well	
County:	Jefferson	
Date Sampled:	08/23/2001	
Nitrate/Nitrite	7.6	ppm
pH	4.86	su
Conductivity	84	uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb
Benzene	nd	ppb
Toluene	nd	ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb
Other: Al	61	ppb
Ba	45	ppb
Be (mdl=10ppb)	nd	ppb
Ca	9000	ppb
Mg	1800	ppb
Mn	81	ppb
Na	5000	ppb
Zn	40	ppb

Table A-8. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Floridan Aquifer System.

GWN-PA1			
Well Name:	Thunderbolt #1		
County:	Chatham		
Date Sampled:	08/22/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.99	su	
Conductivity	603	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA2			
Well Name:	Savannah #13		
County:	Chatham		
Date Sampled:	08/22/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	8.11	su	
Conductivity	200	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA3			
Well Name:	Grist Equipment Co. shop well		
County:	Chatham		
Date Sampled:	08/22/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	8.06	su	
Conductivity	190	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA4			
Well Name:	Tybee #1		
County:	Chatham		
Date Sampled:	08/22/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	8.01	su	
Conductivity	539	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA5A			
Well Name:	Interstate Paper #1		
County:	Liberty		
Date Sampled:	03/01/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	8.04	su	
Conductivity	233	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA6			
Well Name:	Hinesville #5		
County:	Liberty		
Date Sampled:	03/01/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.97	su	
Conductivity	206	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-8 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Floridan Aquifer System.

GWN-PA7			
Well Name:	Darlen New South Well		
County:	McIntosh		
Date Sampled:	04/11/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.47	su	
Conductivity	485	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA8			
Well Name:	Rayonnier #4D		
County:	Wayne		
Date Sampled:	03/01/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.84	su	
Conductivity	311	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA9C			
Well Name:	Miller Ball Park TW 25		
County:	Glynn		
Date Sampled:	04/11/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.87	su	
Conductivity	379	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA10B			
Well Name:	Durango Georgia #11		
County:	Camden		
Date Sampled:	04/11/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.37	su	
Conductivity	986	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:		ppb	

GWN-PA11			
Well Name:	St. Marys #2		
County:	Camden		
Date Sampled:	04/11/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.29	su	
Conductivity	600	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA12			
Well Name:	Folkston #3		
County:	Charlton		
Date Sampled:	02/28/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.025	ppm	
pH	7.61	su	
Conductivity	563	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-8 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Floridan Aquifer System

GWN-PA13			
Well Name:	Waycross #3		
County:	Ware		
Date Sampled:	02/28/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.85	su	
Conductivity	333	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA14			
Well Name:	Statesboro #7		
County:	Bulloch		
Date Sampled:	04/12/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.90	su	
Conductivity	181	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA15			
Well Name:	King Finishing Division Fire Well		
County:	Screven		
Date Sampled:	04/12/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.048	ppm	
pH	8.17	su	
Conductivity	191	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA16			
Well Name:	Millen #1		
County:	Jenkins		
Date Sampled:	02/14/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.69	su	
Conductivity	207	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA17			
Well Name:	Swainsboro #7		
County:	Emanuel		
Date Sampled:	02/14/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.063	ppm	
pH	7.76	su	
Conductivity	173	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA18			
Well Name:	Metter #2		
County:	Candler		
Date Sampled:	02/14/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	8.00	su	
Conductivity	168	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-8 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Floridan Aquifer System.

GWN-PA19			
Well Name:	Douglas #4		
County:	Coffee		
Date Sampled:	01/18/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.72	su	
Conductivity	439	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA20			
Well Name:	Lakeland #2		
County:	Lanier		
Date Sampled:	02/28/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.84	su	
Conductivity	284	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA21A			
Well Name:	Valdosta New #4		
County:	Lowndes		
Date Sampled:	01/17/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	8.13	su	
Conductivity	180	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA22			
Well Name:	Thomasville #6		
County:	Thomas		
Date Sampled:	02/15/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.11	ppm	
pH	7.77	su	
Conductivity	303	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA23			
Well Name:	Cairo #8		
County:	Grady		
Date Sampled:	01/30/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.036	ppm	
pH	7.88	su	
Conductivity	273	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA24			
Well Name:	Bainbridge #1		
County:	Decatur		
Date Sampled:	01/30/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	2.1	ppm	
pH	7.95	su	
Conductivity	173	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-8 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Floridan Aquifer System.

GWN-PA25			
Well Name:	Donalsonville 7th St. Well		
County:	Seminole		
Date Sampled:	11/28/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	1.6	ppm	
pH	7.58	su	
Conductivity	304	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA26			
Well Name:	Colquitt #3		
County:	Miller		
Date Sampled:	01/30/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	2.2	ppm	
pH	7.65	su	
Conductivity	176	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA27			
Well Name:	Camilla/Industrial Park Well		
County:	Mitchell		
Date Sampled:	01/31/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.58	ppm	
pH	7.73	su	
Conductivity	182	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA28			
Well Name:	Moultrie #1		
County:	Colquitt		
Date Sampled:	02/15/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.96	su	
Conductivity	373	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA29			
Well Name:	Adel #6		
County:	Cook		
Date Sampled:	01/17/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.84	su	
Conductivity	280	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA30A			
Well Name:	Amoco/Nashville Mills #1		
County:	Berrien		
Date Sampled:	01/17/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.96	su	
Conductivity	367	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-8 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Floridan Aquifer System.

GWN-PA31			
Well Name:	Tifton #6		
County:	Tift		
Date Sampled:	02/15/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.022	ppm	
pH	7.66	su	
Conductivity	285	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA32			
Well Name:	Ocilla #3		
County:	Irwin		
Date Sampled:	01/18/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	8.06	su	
Conductivity	157	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA33A			
Well Name:	Fitzgerald #G		
County:	Ben Hill		
Date Sampled:	01/18/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.96	su	
Conductivity	159	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes: chloroform	0.54	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA34			
Well Name:	McRae #2 (Telfair Ave.)		
County:	Telfair		
Date Sampled:	10/24/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.43	su	
Conductivity	350	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA35			
Well Name:	Mt. Vernon New Well		
County:	Montgomery		
Date Sampled:	02/14/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.96	su	
Conductivity	211	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA35			
Well Name:	Mt. Vernon New Well		
County:	Montgomery		
Date Sampled:	10/24/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.90	su	
Conductivity	289	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-8 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Floridan Aquifer System.

GWN-PA36			
Well Name:	Vidalia #1		
County:	Toombs		
Date Sampled:	10/24/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd		ppm
pH	8.09		su
Conductivity	247		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd		ppb
Other			

GWN-PA38			
Well Name:	Eastman #4		
County:	Dodge		
Date Sampled:	11/29/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.24		ppm
pH	7.71		su
Conductivity	244		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes:	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd		ppb
Other			

GWN-PA39			
Well Name:	Sylvester #1		
County:	Worth		
Date Sampled:	01/31/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.046		ppm
pH	7.61		su
Conductivity	222		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd		ppb
Other			

GWN-PA40			
Well Name:	Merck #8		
County:	Dougherty		
Date Sampled:	01/31/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	1.6		ppm
pH	7.53		su
Conductivity	214		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd		ppb
Other			

GWN-PA43A			
Well Name:	Owen & Williams Fish Farm office well		
County:	Baker		
Date Sampled:	04/25/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	3.5		ppm
pH	7.63		su
Conductivity	221		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd		ppb
Other			

GWN-PA44			
Well Name:	Sycamore #2		
County:	Turner		
Date Sampled:	02/15/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.17		ppm
pH	7.95		su
Conductivity	148		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd		ppb
Other			

Table A-8 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Floridan Aquifer System.

GWN-PA45A			
Well Name:	Abbeville #1		
County:	Wilcox		
Date Sampled:	01/18/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.46	ppm	
pH	7.63	su	
Conductivity	192	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA46B			
Well Name:	Wenona Mobile Home Park Well		
County:	Crisp		
Date Sampled:	04/26/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	4.2	ppm	
pH	7.77	su	
Conductivity	192	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes:	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA49			
Well Name:	Harmony Church Well		
County:	Dooly		
Date Sampled:	05/09/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	1.6	ppm	
pH	7.89	su	
Conductivity	152	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA50			
Well Name:	Reynolds house well		
County:	Laurens		
Date Sampled:	09/27/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	1.2	ppm	
pH	7.59	su	
Conductivity	227	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA51			
Well Name:	Adams house well		
County:	Mitchell		
Date Sampled:	04/25/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	2.4	ppm	
pH	7.78	su	
Conductivity	177	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-PA52			
Well Name:	Simmons house well		
County:	Mitchell		
Date Sampled:	04/25/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	3.1	ppm	
pH	7.84	su	
Conductivity	167	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-8 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Floridan Aquifer System.

GWN-PA53A		
Well Name:	Cato new house well	
County:	Decatur	
Date Sampled:	11/28/2001	
Nitrate/Nitrite	4.2	ppm
pH	8.02	su
Conductivity	216	uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb
Benzene	nd	ppb
Toluene	nd	ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb
Other		

GWN-PA55		
Well Name:	Parrish/Royals house well	
County:	Burke	
Date Sampled:	09/27/2001	
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.061	ppm
pH	7.74	su
Conductivity	201	uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb
Benzene	nd	ppb
Toluene	nd	ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb
Other		

Table A-9. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Miocene Aquifer System.

GWN-MI1			
Well Name:	McMillan house well		
County:	Cook		
Date Sampled:	07/26/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	8.00	su	
Conductivity	160	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-MI2A			
Well Name:	S. Boutwell house well		
County:	Lowndes		
Date Sampled:	07/25/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	2.6	ppm	
pH	4.65	su	
Conductivity	49	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-MI5			
Well Name:	Carter house well		
County:	Appling		
Date Sampled:	10/24/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	8.8	ppm	
pH	5.16	su	
Conductivity	123	uS	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-MI9A			
Well Name:	Murphy garden well		
County:	Thomas		
Date Sampled:	11/28/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	8.2	ppm	
pH	6.44	su	
Conductivity	143	uS	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-MI10B			
Well Name:	Calhoun house well		
County:	Colquitt		
Date Sampled:	07/26/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	6.66	su	
Conductivity	97	uS	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-MI15			
Well Name:	Aldrich house well		
County:	Bulloch		
Date Sampled:	04/12/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	14	ppm	
pH	4.64	su	
Conductivity	119	uS	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-10. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Aquifer System.

GWN-BR1B			
Well Name:	Young Harris New Well		
County:	Townson		
Date Sampled:	08/08/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.027	ppm	
pH	7.55	su	
Conductivity	86	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-BR2A			
Well Name:	Notla Water Authority #3		
County:	Union		
Date Sampled:	08/08/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	1.2	ppm	
pH	5.64	su	
Conductivity	53	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes: chloroform	0.72	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-BR4			
Well Name:	Morganton Old Well		
County:	Fannin		
Date Sampled:	08/08/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	1.8	ppm	
pH	6.18	su	
Conductivity	69	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P1			
Well Name:	Luthersville New Well		
County:	Meriwether		
Date Sampled:	03/29/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.046	ppm	
pH	5.59	su	
Conductivity	88	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	6.2	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds Trichloroethylene	7.4	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P5			
Well Name:	Flowery Branch #1		
County:	Hall		
Date Sampled:	10/31/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.80	ppm	
pH	6.84	su	
Conductivity	148	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P6A			
Well Name:	Shiloh #1		
County:	Harris		
Date Sampled:	05/10/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.03	ppm	
pH	7.45	su	
Conductivity	105	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

Table A-10 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Aquifer System.

GWN-P7			
Well Name:	Hampton #6		
County:	Henry		
Date Sampled:	05/30/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.30	ppm	
pH	6.60	su	
Conductivity	87	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes: chloroform	1.6	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P8			
Well Name:	Wayne Farms #4		
County:	Jackson		
Date Sampled:	10/31/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.36	ppm	
pH	6.83	su	
Conductivity	264	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P9B			
Well Name:	Gray #10		
County:	Jones		
Date Sampled:	03/14/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	6.80	su	
Conductivity	239	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P10D			
Well Name:	Franklin Springs #10		
County:	Franklin		
Date Sampled:	11/01/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.084	ppm	
pH	6.32	Su	
Conductivity	121	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			
Ba	17	ppb	
Be (rl=10ppb)	nd	ppb	
Ca	5500	ppb	
Fe	11000	ppb	
Mg	3700	ppb	
Mn	96	ppb	
Na	6500	ppb	
Zn	20	ppb	

GWN-P11A			
Well Name:	Danielsville #2		
County:	Madison		
Date Sampled:	11/01/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.34	ppm	
pH	6.43	su	
Conductivity	128	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P12A			
Well Name:	Indian Spring		
County:	Butts		
Date Sampled:	05/10/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd	ppm	
pH	7.29	su	
Conductivity	245	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			
Fluoride	4.9	ppm	
Chloride	13	ppm	
Sulfate	30	ppm	

Table A-10 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Aquifer System.

GWN-P13A			
Well Name:	Academy Spring/Covington		
County:	Newton		
Date Sampled:	05/10/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.58	ppm	
pH	6.04	su	
Conductivity	46	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes: chloroform	0.73	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P14			
Well Name:	Upson County/Sunset Village Well		
County:	Upson		
Date Sampled:	05/10/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.39	ppm	
pH	5.15	su	
Conductivity	13	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P15A			
Well Name:	Bolton garden well		
County:	DeKalb		
Date Sampled:	05/30/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.037	ppm	
pH	7.20	su	
Conductivity	130	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes: chloroform	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.87	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P16C			
Well Name:	Mt. Airy #4		
County:	Habersham		
Date Sampled:	08/09/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.24	ppm	
pH	6.20	su	
Conductivity	32	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P17			
Well Name:	Oconee County/New Hillcrest Well		
County:	Oconee		
Date Sampled:	11/20/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.022	ppm	
pH	7.30	su	
Conductivity	160	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-P18			
Well Name:	Dawsonville City Spring		
County:	Dawson		
Date Sampled:	08/09/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	1.4	ppm	
pH	5.73	su	
Conductivity	39	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.86	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

Table A-10 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Piedmont/Blue Ridge Aquifer System.

GWN-P19			
Well Name:	Fayetteville #1		
County:	Fayette		
Date Sampled:	12/12/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.059	ppm	
pH	7.62	su	
Conductivity	407	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

GWN-P20			
Well Name:	Suwanee #1		
County:	Gwinette		
Date Sampled:	10/31/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.42	ppm	
pH	7.73	su	
Conductivity	349	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other			

Table A-11. 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Valley and Ridge Aquifer System.

GWN-VR1			
Well Name:	Floyd County/Kingston Road Well		
County:	Floyd		
Date Sampled:	06/13/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.68		ppm
pH	7.91		su
Conductivity	164		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes:	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd		ppb
Other:			

GWN-VR2A			
Well Name:	LaFayette/Lower Big Spring		
County:	Walker		
Date Sampled:	06/14/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	2.6		ppm
pH	7.08		su
Conductivity	218		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes:	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd		ppb
Other:			

GWN-VR3			
Well Name:	Crawfish Spring/Chickamauga		
County:	Walker		
Date Sampled:	06/14/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.78		ppm
pH	7.12		su
Conductivity	170		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd		ppb
Other:			

GWN-VR4A			
Well Name:	Coats American #2		
County:	Walker		
Date Sampled:	09/19/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	nd		ppm
pH	7.48		su
Conductivity	382		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	10		ppb
1,1-Dichloroethane	8.5		ppb
Other:			

GWN-VR5			
Well Name:	Chattooga County #4		
County:	Chattooga		
Date Sampled:	09/19/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	2.4		ppm
pH	7.31		su
Conductivity	300		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes	nd		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd		ppb
Other:			

GWN-VR6			
Well Name:	Chemical Products East Well		
County:	Bartow		
Date Sampled:	05/31/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.94		ppm
pH	7.92		su
Conductivity	190		uS/cm
Trihalomethanes: Chloroform	0.66		ppb
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd		ppb
Benzene	nd		ppb
Toluene	nd		ppb
Ethylbenzene	nd		ppb
Total Xylenes	nd		ppb
Other Volatile Organic Compounds:			
1,1-Dichloroethylene	3.0		ppb
Tetrachloroethylene	3.3		ppb
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.58		ppb
Other:			

Table A-11 (Continued). 2001 Ground-Water Quality Analyses of the Valley and Ridge Aquifer System.

GWN-VR7			
Well Name:	Adairsville/Lewis Spring		
County:	Bartow		
Date Sampled:	06/13/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.58	ppm	
pH	7.62	su	
Conductivity	154	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-VR8			
Well Name:	Cedartown Spring		
County:	Polk		
Date Sampled:	06/13/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.75	ppm	
pH	7.33	su	
Conductivity	182	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	2.5	ppb	
Benzene	0.9	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

GWN-VR9			
Well Name:	Polk County #2		
County:	Polk		
Date Sampled:	06/13/2001		
Nitrate/Nitrite	0.96	ppm	
pH	7.51	su	
Conductivity	184	uS/cm	
Trihalomethanes	nd	ppb	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	nd	ppb	
Benzene	nd	ppb	
Toluene	nd	ppb	
Ethylbenzene	nd	ppb	
Total Xylenes	nd	ppb	
Other Volatile Organic Compounds	nd	ppb	
Other:			

40 Books printed
\$80.57 total cost

The Department of Natural Resources is an equal opportunity employer and offers all persons the opportunity to compete and participate in each area of DNR employment regardless of race, color, religion, national origin, age, handicap, or other non-merit factors.