Total Maximum Daily Load

Evaluation

for

Four Segments of

the South River

in the

Ocmulgee River Basin

(PCBs)

Submitted to:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4 Atlanta, Georgia

Submitted by: The Georgia Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Division Atlanta, Georgia

January 2002

Table of Contents

Section

<u>Page</u>

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background 1.2 Watershed Description 1.3 Impact of PCBs 1.4 Water Quality Standard	.1 .1 .1
2.0 AVAILABLE MONITORING DATA	.4
3.0 SOURCE ASSESSMENT	.5
4.0 TMDL DEVELOPMENT APPROACH	.6
5.0 ALLOCATION 5.1 Total Maximum Daily Load 5.2 Waste Load Allocations 5.3 Load Allocations 5.4 TMDL Results 5.5 Seasonal Variation 5.6 Margin of Safety 5.7 Load Reductions 5.8 Recommendations	.7 .7 .8 .8 .8 .8
6.0 POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCE APPROACHES	10
7.0 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	11
8.0 INITIAL TMDL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN	12
REFERENCES	16

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Environmental Protection Division of the Georgia Department of Natural Resources (Georgia EPD) assesses its water bodies for compliance with water quality standards criteria established for their designated uses as required by the Federal Clean Water Act (CWA). Assessed water bodies are placed into three categories; supporting, partially supporting, or not supporting their designated uses depending on water quality assessment results. These water bodies are found on Georgia's 305(b) list as required by that section of the CWA that defines the assessment process, and are published in *Water Quality in Georgia* every two years.

Some of the 305(b) partially and not supporting water bodies are also assigned to Georgia's 303(d) list, also named after that section of the CWA. These water bodies are considered to be water quality limited and cannot meet their designated use standards. Water bodies on the 303(d) list are required to have a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) established for the water quality constituent(s) in violation of the water quality standard. The TMDL process establishes the allowable loading of pollutants or other quantifiable parameters for a water body based on the relationship between pollution sources and in-stream water quality conditions. This allows water quality based controls to be developed to reduce pollution and restore and maintain water quality. The TMDL establishes the allowable loadings to the water body, thereby providing the basis for addressing the water quality impairment.

1.2 Watershed Description

On its 2000 §303(d) list, the State of Georgia has identified the following segments in the Ocmulgee River Basin as partially supporting their designated use due to the issuance of fish consumption guidelines because of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) contamination (see Figure 1):


- South River –16 miles- from Atlanta to Flakes Mill Road (Fulton/Dekalb Counties)
- South River 9 miles from Flakes Mill Road to Pole Bridge Creek (Dekalb County)
- South River –15 miles from Pole Bridge Creek to Highway 20 (Rockdale/Henry Counties)
- South River-11 miles from Highway 20 to Snapping Shoals Creek (Newton County)

PCBs have been detected in fish tissue in the last segment listed at Snapping Shoals. There have not been any fish consumption guidelines issued for the first 50 miles of the listed waters. However, because there is a lack of specific data on the source of the contamination at Snapping Shoals and further down to Lake Jackson, the segments have been listed. The watershed is highly developed.

1.3 Impacts of PCBs

The following general background on the impact of PCBs on fish consumption is taken from EPA fact sheet entitled "Fact Sheet; PCBs Update: Impact on Fish Advisories" (EPA-823-F-99-019) (EPA, 1999).

PCBs are a group of synthetic organic chemicals that contain 209 possible individual chlorinated biphenyl compounds. These chemically related compounds are called congeners and vary in their physical and chemical properties and toxicity. There are no known natural sources of PCBs. Although banned in the United States from



further production in 1979, PCBs are distributed widely in the environment because of their persistence and widespread use. PCB mixtures found in the environment are different from the commercially produced PCB mixtures (known as Aroclors in the United States) because of differences in chemical properties, persistence, and bioaccumulation among the different congeners. The most common analytical method used to detect PCBs in the environment is based on Aroclor analysis; however, congener-specific methods have been developed and currently are being tested. PCB exposure is associated with a wide array of adverse health effects in experimental animals. Experimental animal studies have shown toxic effects to the liver, gastrointestinal system, blood, skin, endocrine system, immune system, nervous system, and reproductive system. In addition, developmental effects and liver cancer have been reported. Skin rashes and a severe form of acne have been documented in humans; however, other effects of PCB exposure in humans are not well understood. EPA has classified PCBs as probable human carcinogens (Group B2). As of 1998, 37 states have issued 679 fish advisories for PCBs. These advisories inform the public that high concentrations of PCBs have been found in local fish at levels of public health concern. State advisories recommend either limiting or avoiding consumption of certain fish from specific waterbodies or, in some cases, from specific waterbody types (e.g., all freshwater lakes or rivers).

1.4 Water Quality Standard

The water use classification for the South River is fishing. The fishing classification, as stated in Georgia's Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control Chapter 391-3-6-.03(6)(c), is established to protect the "Propagation of Fish, Shellfish, Game and Other Aquatic Life; secondary contact recreation in and on the water; or for any other use requiring water of a lower quality."

Georgia's in-stream criterion for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) is established for all waters and is deemed to be necessary and applicable to all waters of the State. Georgia's Water Quality Standard for PCBs is expressed in Georgia's Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control, Chapter 391-3-6, Revised April 2000. Georgia Regulation 391-3-6-.03(5)(e)(iv) states that "Instream concentrations of the following chemical constituents listed by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency as toxic priority pollutants pursuant to Section 307(a)(1) of the Federal Clean Water Act (as amended) shall not exceed criteria indicated below under annual average or higher stream flow conditions". The State water quality in-stream target of 0.00045 μ g/L is protective of the FDA action level for fish consumption. This level is also protective of the DNR fish consumption advisory action level.

2.0 AVAILABLE MONITORING DATA

Fish tissue analyzed in 1991,1993 and 1994 triggered various fish consumption guidelines of 1/meal per week as listed in the 1999 Guidelines for Eating Fish from Georgia's Waters (Georgia Department of Natural Resources, 1999). Specific species cited included Largemouth Bass, Black Crappie and Silver Redhorse at Snapping Shoals. White Catfish was included at the Butts/Newton County Line and at Georgia Highway 36. These last two locations are downstream of Snapping Shoals. Samples were collected in 1998 and PCBs were not detected. The newest listing only includes a restriction for Largemouth Bass at Snapping Shoals. There was not any PCB detected but a sufficient fish sample was not collected to remove the restriction.

As of the 2001 Update of Guidelines for Eating Fish from Georgia Waters, there is only one location on the South River with a fish advisory. The location is Snapping Shoals in Henry County. The recommendation is to limit consumption of Largemouth Bass to once per week. The fish tissue data that was used for that listing was collected in 1991 and the PCB concentration was 0.14 mg/kg. This value will be used in the TMDL development.

3.0 SOURCE ASSESSMENT

A source assessment characterizes the known and suspected sources of PCB in the watershed for use in a water quality model and the development of the TMDL. The sources of PCB in this watershed are unknown, but may be either from point sources or nonpoint sources. Both will be addressed in this TMDL.

PCBs are priority toxic pollutants and their use and discharge is not permitted in any of the listed segments. There are no permitted point source dischargers with existing allocation for PCBs.

PCB contamination in the listed segments has been attributed to contamination from urban runoff from Metropolitan Atlanta and Combined Sewer Overflows (CSOs). Other possible sources could include movement of contaminated bedload sediment, soil erosion, air deposition, and other nonpoint source discharges.

4.0 TMDL DEVELOPMENT APPROACH

An important component of TMDL development is to establish relationships between source loadings and in-stream water quality. In this section, the numerical modeling techniques used to develop the TMDL are discussed.

Georgia has a human health based water quality standard for PCB, which is based on the average stream flow. This standard if met, should protect against contaminated fish tissue. This standard is several orders of magnitude less than the detection limit. A mass balance equation is used in Section 5 to calculate the TMDL. The TMDL for the South River equals the annual average flow times the water quality standard.

Water column PCB concentration data does not exist or is not measurable because it is below the detection limit. However, the fish tissue concentration is known and there is a published bioaccumulation factor for PCBs in fish tissue (EPA 4440/5-80-068, October 1980). The water column concentration that resulted in the fish tissue contamination can be estimated using the equation shown below.

WC= TC/BCF

Where WC = Water column concentration (mg/L) TC= Fish tissue concentration (mg/kg) BCF = EPA bioaccumulation Factor (31,200 L/kg)

> 4.48 X 10^{-6} mg/L = (0.14 mg/kg) / 31,200 L/kg WC = 4.5 X 10^{-3} µg/L

This calculated concentration is used to calculate the current load. The calculated current load is compared to the TMDL to determine the percent reduction required.

5.0 ALLOCATION

5.1 Total Maximum Daily Load

A TMDL is the sum of the individual WLAs for point sources and load allocations (LA) for nonpoint sources and natural background (40 CFR 130.2). The sum of these components may not result in an exceedence of water quality standards for that water body. To protect against exceedences, the TMDL must also include a margin of safety (MOS), either implicitly or explicitly, that accounts for the uncertainty in the relationship between pollutant loads and the water quality response of the receiving water body. Conceptually, a TMDL can be expressed as follows:

 $\mathsf{TMDL} = \Sigma \mathsf{WLAs} + \Sigma \mathsf{LAs} + \mathsf{MOS}$

The TMDL is the total amount of pollutant that can be assimilated by the receiving water body while maintaining water quality standards. For pollutants such as metals, TMDLs are expressed on a mass loading basis (e.g., pounds per day). In accordance with 40 CFR Part 130.2(i), "TMDLs can be expressed in terms of ... mass per time, toxicity, or other appropriate measure."

5.2 Waste Load Allocations

There are no permitted point source dischargers with existing allocation for PCBs. Thus, the wasteload allocation (WLA) for PCBs is essentially zero pounds per day. There are however, several major point sources, as well as permitted combined sewer overflows (CSOs), in this watershed. It is known that wastewaters can contain trace levels of chemicals, including PCBs. However, the current detection limit for PCBs is below the current water quality standard. There may come a time when PCBs in a discharges or stormwater can be measured. If individual wasteload allocations for PCBs are needed for specific permits, the wasteload allocations can be calculated by multiplying the design flows (Q in Table 1) by the human health criteria ($0.00045\mu g/l$).

5.3 Load Allocations

The nonpoint sources are diffuse and can include air deposition, stormwater runoff, movement from sediment bedload into the water column, and decaying aquatic organisms. These mechanisms are very complicated and difficult to quantify.

Nonpoint source should be at concentrations equivalent to or less than the water quality standard for PCBs. Thus, the load allocation (LA) allows for no loads that cause or contribute to an in-stream mixed water column PCBs concentration above 0.00045 μ g/l.

5.4 TMDL Results

The TMDL for the South River equals the annual average flow at Island Shoals (approximately 970 cfs) times the water quality standard (0.00045 mg/L). This TMDL can be summarized as follows:

Table 1.	TMDL Allocation
----------	-----------------

Parameter	WLA	MOS	TMDL	
PCBs	Σ(Q*0.00045 μg/L)	1.04X10 ⁻³ kg/day - Σ(Q*0.00045 μg/L)	Implicit	1.04X10 ⁻³ kg/day

5.5 Seasonal Variation

Seasonal variation is not applicable to this TMDL because there is no known point or nonpoint sources. The water quality standard is based on human health guidelines and is targeted to be met at flows greater than or equal to the annual average flow. PCBs will accumulate in fish tissue throughout the year. The mechanism causing higher concentration of a pollutant in the water column during low flows, could be offset by the suspension of particles during heavy flows and rain.

5.6 Margin of Safety

Water column data for PCBs does not exist or has not been detected in the South River. The State was very conservative in listing all segments upstream of the points listed in Georgia's *Guidelines for Eating Fish from Georgia Waters* all the way up to Atlanta. It is also very conservative to develop a TMDL based on fish tissue concentration of 0.14 mg/kg, which is far below the FDA action level of 2.0 mg/kg. This TMDL will use this conservative assumption that the water column throughout all listed segments has no assimilative capacity for PCB loadings at concentrations above the water quality standard of 0.00045 μ g/L.

5.7 Load Reduction

The calculated water column concentration that resulted in the fish tissue contamination is estimated to be 4.5 X $10^{-3} \mu g/L$. This results in a load of $1.04 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kg/day}$. The required load reduction is shown in Table 2.

Parameter	Current Load	TMDL	Percent Reduction		
PCBs	1.04X10 ⁻² kg/day	1.04X10 ⁻³ kg/day	90%		

5.8 Recommendations

The State should continue with its monitoring program of fish tissue in Georgia's waters. Atmospheric sources of PCBs are still being studied and quantified. Hazardous waste incinerators are suspected of being some of the larger sources of atmospheric PCBs on a national level.

6.0 POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCE APPROACHES

An allocation to an individual point source discharger does not automatically result in a permit limit or a monitoring requirement. Through its NPDES permitting process, Georgia will determine whether each of the permitted dischargers to the South River watershed has a reasonable potential of discharging PCB levels equal to or greater than the allocated load. The results of this reasonable potential analysis will determine the specific type of requirements in an individual facility's NPDES permit. As part of its analysis, the EPD will use its EPA-approved 1995 NPDES Reasonable Potential Procedures to determine whether monitoring requirements or effluent limitations are necessary.

If effluent limitations are determined to be necessary for any or all of these facilities, they should be established in accordance with Georgia Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control, Section 391-3-6-.06(4)(d)5.(ii)(b)(2). This regulation establishes that to protect against chronic effects, an effluent limitation should be imposed as a monthly average limit. To protect against acute effects, an effluent limitation should be imposed as a daily maximum limit. Additionally, if effluent limitations or monitoring requirements are determined through a reasonable potential analysis to be necessary for any or all of these facilities, it is recommended that concentration limits or concentration monitoring requirements be included in the permit.

7.0 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

A thirty-day public notice was provided for this TMDL. During that time the availability of the TMDL was public noticed, a copy of the TMDL was provided as requested, and the public was invited to provide comments on the TMDL.

8.0 INITIAL TMDL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

EPD has coordinated with EPA to prepare this Initial TMDL Implementation Plan for this TMDL. EPD has also established a plan and schedule for development of a more comprehensive implementation plan after this TMDL is established. EPD and EPA have executed a Memorandum of Understanding that documents the schedule for developing the more comprehensive plans. This Initial TMDL Implementation Plan includes a list of best management practices and provides for an initial implementation demonstration project to address one of the major sources of pollutants identified in this TMDL while State and/or local agencies work with local stakeholders to develop a revised TMDL implementation plan. It also includes a process whereby EPD and/or Regional Development Centers (RDCs) or other EPD contractors (hereinafter, "EPD Contractors") will develop expanded plans (hereinafter, "Revised TMDL Implementation Plans").

This Initial TMDL Implementation Plan, written by EPD and for which EPD and/or the EPD Contractor are responsible, contains the following elements.

- EPA has identified a number of management strategies for the control of nonpoint sources of pollutants, representing some best management practices. The "Management Measure Selector Table shown below identifies these management strategies by source category and pollutant. Nonpoint sources are the primary cause of excessive pollutant loading in most cases. Any wasteload allocations in this TMDL will be implemented in the form of water-quality based effluent limitations in NPDES permits issued under CWA Section 402. See 40 C.F.R. § 122.44(d)(1)(vii)(B). NPDES permit discharges are a secondary source of excessive pollutant loading, where they are a factor, in most cases.
- 2. EPD and the EPD Contractor will select and implement one or more best management practice (BMP) demonstration projects for each River Basin. The purpose of the demonstration projects will be to evaluate by River Basin and pollutant parameter the site-specific effectiveness of one or more of the BMPs chosen. EPD intends that the BMP demonstration project be completed before the Revised TMDL Implementation Plan is issued. The BMP demonstration project will address the major category of contribution of the pollutant(s) of concern for the respective River Basin as identified in the TMDLs of the watersheds in the River Basin. The demonstration project need not be of a large scale, and may consist of one or more measures from the Table or equivalent BMP measures proposed by the EPD Contractor and approved by EPD. Other such measures may include those found in EPA's "Best Management Practices Handbook", the "NRCS National Handbook of Conservation Practices, or any similar reference, or measures that the volunteers, etc., devise that EPD approves. If for any reason the EPD Contractor does not complete the BMP demonstration project, EPD will take responsibility for doing so.

"Watershed Wisdom -- Georgia's TMDL Program" will be distributed by EPD to the EPD Contractor for use with appropriate stakeholders for this TMDL, and a copy of the video of that same title will be provided to the EPD Contractor for its use in making presentations to appropriate stakeholders, on TMDL Implementation plan development.

- 4. If for any reason an EPD Contractor does not complete one or more elements of a Revised TMDL Implementation Plan, EPD will be responsible for getting that (those) element(s) completed, either directly or through another contractor.
- 5. The deadline for development of a Revised TMDL Implementation Plan, is the end of August, 2003.
- 6. The EPD Contractor helping to develop the Revised TMDL Implementation Plan, in coordination with EPD, will work on the following tasks involved in converting the Initial TMDL Implementation Plan to a Revised TMDL Implementation Plan:
 - A. Generally characterize the watershed;
 - B. Identify stakeholders;
 - C. Verify the present problem to the extent feasible and appropriate, (<u>e.g.</u>, local monitoring);
 - D. Identify probable_sources of pollutant(s);
 - E. For the purpose of assisting in the implementation of the load allocations of this TMDL, identify potential regulatory or voluntary actions to control pollutant(s) from the relevant nonpoint sources;
 - F. Determine measurable milestones of progress;
 - G. Develop monitoring plan, taking into account available resources, to measure effectiveness; and
 - H. Complete and submit to EPD the Revised TMDL Implementation Plan.
- 7. The public will be provided an opportunity to participate in the development of the Revised TMDL Implementation Plan and to comment on it before it is finalized.
- 8. The Revised TMDL Implementation Plan will supersede this Initial TMDL Implementation Plan when the Revised TMDL Implementation Plan is approved by EPD.

Management	Measure	Selector	Table
------------	---------	----------	-------

				2		-		-		2
Land Use	Management Measures	Fecal Coliform	Dissolved Oxygen	рН	Sediment	Temperature	Toxicity	Mercury	Metals (copper, lead, zinc, cadmium)	PCBs, toxaphene
Agriculture	1. Sediment & Erosion Control	_	_		_	_				
	2. Confined Animal Facilities	_	_							
	3. Nutrient Management	_	_							
	4. Pesticide Management		_							
	5. Livestock Grazing	_	_		_	_				
	6. Irrigation		_		_	_				
Forestry	1. Preharvest Planning				_	_				
	2. Streamside Management Areas	_	_		_	_				
	3. Road Construction &Reconstruction		_		_	_				
	4. Road Management		_		_	_				
	5. Timber Harvesting		_		_	_				
	6. Site Preparation & Forest Regeneration		_		_	_				
	7. Fire Management	_	_	_	_	_				
	8. Revegetation of Disturbed Areas	_	_	_	_	_				
	9. Forest Chemical Management		_			_				
					1				1	L

Land Use	Management Measures	Fecal Coliform	Dissolved Oxygen	рН	Sediment	Temperature	Toxicity	Mercury	Metals (copper, lead, zinc, cadmium)	PCBs, toxaphene
	10. Wetlands Forest Management	_	_	_		_		_		
Urban	1. New Development	_	_		_	_			_	
	2. Watershed Protection & Site Development	_	_		_	_		_	_	
	3. Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Control		_		_	_				
	4. Construction Site Chemical Control		_							
	5. Existing Developments	_	_		_	_			_	
	6. Residential and Commercial Pollution Prevention	_	_							
Onsite Wastewater	1. New Onsite Wastewater Disposal Systems	-	-							
	2. Operating Existing Onsite Wastewater Disposal Systems	_	_							
Roads, Highways and Bridges	1. Siting New Roads, Highways & Bridges	_	_		_	_			_	
	2. Construction Projects for Roads, Highways and Bridges		_		_	_				
	3. Construction Site Chemical Control for Roads, Highways and Bridges		-							
	4. Operation and Maintenance-									

L	land Use	Management Measures	Fecal Coliform	Dissolved Oxygen	рН	Sediment	Temperature	Toxicity	Mercury	Metals (copper, lead, zinc, cadmium)	PCBs, toxaphene
		Roads, Highways and Bridges	_	_			_			-	

REFERENCES

- EPA, 1999. Fact Sheet: Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) Update: Impact on Fish Advisories. USEPA Office of Water, September 1999. EPA-823-F-99-019.
- Georgia Department of Natural Resources, 2001. *Guidelines for Eating Fish from Georgia Waters- 2000 Update.*
- GAEPD, *Rules and Regulations For Water Quality Control, Chapter 391-3-6, June 2001*, Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division.
- USEPA, 1998. Better Assessment Science Integrating Point and Nonpoint Sources (BASINS), Version 2.0 User's Manual, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington D.C.
- USEPA, 1991. *Guidance for Water Quality –based Decisions: The TMDL Process.* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, DC. EPA-440/4-91-001, April 1991.

USEPA, 1980. 440/5-80-068, October 1980.